

## Assessment of the article entitled:

Reviewer:

### GENERAL POINTS

#### I. PROBLEM & RESEARCH GOAL

Assessment of methodological issues	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity & concision in setting out the problem					
Clarity & exhaustiveness of explanation of the background to the problem					
Setting out of general and specific research goals.					
Demonstration that the problem is delimited in line with the treatment given to it in the relevant research					
Justification of addressing the problem (practicality, opportunity, critique, new knowledge, major social impact).					
Clarity in explaining the importance of the goal and the link between the variables to be studied.					
<b>Overall assessment</b>					

**Overall comments:**

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

<b>Assessment of methodological aspects</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Demonstration of the link between the problem and the theoretical framework proposed.					
Demonstration of the link between the problem and previous research.					
Coherence and structure of the theoretical framework.					
Evidence that the theories reviewed are sufficient and up-to-date enough to describe the current state of knowledge.					
Presentation of alternative hypotheses not contradictory to the theoretical framework proposed.					
<b>Overall assessment</b>					

**Overall comments:**

### III. HYPOTHESES

<b>Assessment of methodological aspects</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Clarity in setting out the hypotheses underlying the research					
Explanation of the importance of the hypotheses for advancing research and the relevant theory.					
Clarity of definition of concepts and variables included in the hypotheses.					
Operational description of the link between concepts and variables.					
Clarity in distinguishing between dependent and independent variables and in establishing the degree and type of links (correlation, dependency, causality, etc).					
Clarity in indicating potential statistical errors and their consequences for results and their interpretation.					
Clarity in defining the nature of the research, of the mathematical, statistical method used and of its suitability and appropriateness for the subject studied.					
<b>Overall assessment</b>					

**Overall comments:**

#### IV. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

<b>Assessment of methodological aspects</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Clarity of description of sources of statistics and measurement of quantitative variables					
Indication of levels of and criteria for measurement.					
Evidence of their validity and trustworthiness of the measurements taken in regard to the variables analysed.					
Discussion of the most appropriate methods (quantitative or qualitative) for conducting the analysis.					
Use of tables to show links between data analysed.					
Efficient use of graphics in support of the analyses presented.					
Efficient use of statistical techniques in support of the analyses presented.					
Discussion of how the results affect research already extant and how to solve practical problems.					
Structuring of analyses in line with the research goals set.					
Establishment of clear links between conclusions and the hypotheses put forward.					
<b>Overall assessment</b>					

**Overall comments:**

## V. SOURCES OF DATA AND INFORMATION USED

<b>Assessment of methodological aspects</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Clarity of description of sources of information used and evidence of their trustworthiness and comparability.					
Efficient, appropriate use of the Bluegrass it will references in the text.					
Adequacy of the sources used.					
Attaching of the models and data used in the analysis.					
<b>Overall assessment</b>					

**Overall comments:**

**FINAL CONCLUSION:**

## VI. RECOMMENDATIONS AS REGARDS PUBLISHING THE ARTICLE

<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	
Publication as is	
Publication after minor corrections indicated in the assessment	
Publication after significant corrections as indicated in the assessment	
Rejection	