

2024

# DECENTRALISED COOPERATION REPORT

## MAPPING DONOR CITIES

Data on decentralised official development aid (DODA) for 2018–2022. Local aid maps and case studies in Belgium, France, Spain and Switzerland



LANKIDETZARAKO ETA  
ELKARTASUNERAKO  
EUSKAL AGENTZIA  
BASQUE AGENCY  
FOR COOPERATION  
AND SOLIDARITY



EUSKO JAURLARITZA  
GOBIERNO VASCO

Authors: Aitor Pérez, David Utrero y Noor Albakhit, ECOPER consultants.

The authors would like to thank eLankidetza, its director, Paul Ortega, and the staff involved in the design and review of this report, Noemi de la Fuente and Ainara Arrieta, for commissioning this report. Additionally, the report has benefited from the contributions of staff from municipalities, NGO networks, and foreign ministries who collaborated in the collection of DODA data and case studies in Belgium, Spain, France, and Switzerland. The authors are grateful to Sergio Colina and Pilar Moreno (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, European Union and Cooperation) and Juan Sáinz (Permanent Delegation of Spain to the OECD) for facilitating this exercise. The report has also benefited from a contribution from Javier Sanchez Cano (Generalitat de Catalunya), reviews of Tarik Bouarab (ECOPER) and Lisa Butler (Queen's University), as well as the proofreading work of Flavia Fernández (English) and Arantxa Urzay (Spanish).

The assessments and opinions expressed in the report are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of eLankidetza or the participant institutions.

If you have any questions or suggestions regarding the content of the report, please contact:



**Economistas de la Cooperación, S.L. (ECOPER)**

World Trade Center. Torre Oeste, Planta 15 · 50018 Zaragoza (España)

(+34) 976 011 432 - [ecoper@ecoper.eu](mailto:ecoper@ecoper.eu)

[www.ecoper.eu](http://www.ecoper.eu)

# CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| ACRONYMS .....   | 1  |
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 2  |
| 1. DONOR CITIES .....  | 3  |
| 2. DODA IN FIGURES .....   | 8  |
| 2.1. Aid budgets in 2022 and the Ukraine effect .....            | 8  |
| 2.2. Decentralised aid allocation by country .....               | 10 |
| 2.3. Mapping non-central donor governments .....                 | 13 |
| 3. MUNICIPAL AID BY TYPE.....                                    | 16 |
| 3.1. Donor cities in Belgium.....                                | 16 |
| 3.2. Donor cities in France.....                                 | 20 |
| 3.3. Donor cities in Spain.....                                  | 24 |
| 3.4. Donor cities in Switzerland.....                            | 28 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....   | 33 |
| REFERENCES.....  | 34 |
| COUNTRY PROFILES .....   | 36 |
| ANNEXES.....   | 53 |
| Annex I. Methodology .....                                       | 54 |
| Annex II. DODA by country, donor agency and category, 2022 ..... | 56 |
| Annex III. Decentralised donor rankings.....                     | 89 |

# ACRONYMS

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| <b>AFD</b>        | French Development Agency  |
| <b>ADOS</b>       | Association for the Development of Solidarity                                |
| <b>AECID</b>      | Spanish Agency for International Development Cooperation                     |
| <b>CNCD</b>       | National Commission for Decentralised Cooperation                            |
| <b>CRS</b>        | Creditor Reporting System  |
| <b>CSO</b>        | Civil Society Organisations  |
| <b>DDC</b>        | Decentralised Development Cooperation  |
| <b>DEAR</b>       | Development Education and Awareness Raising                                  |
| <b>DAC</b>        | Development Assistance Committee   |
| <b>DODA</b>       | Decentralised Official Development Assistance                                |
| <b>EFD</b>        | European Federation of Municipalities  |
| <b>ESD</b>        | Education for Sustainable Development  |
| <b>EU</b>         | European Union   |
| <b>FAMSI</b>      | Andalusian Fund of Municipalities and Provinces for International Solidarity |
| <b>FGD</b>        | <i>Fédération Genevoise de Coopération</i>                                   |
| <b>FMCU</b>       | World Federation of United Cities  |
| <b>GDP</b>        | Gross Domestic Product   |
| <b>IULA</b>       | International Union of Local Authorities                                     |
| <b>MAEE</b>       | Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs                                       |
| <b>MDGs</b>       | Millennium Development Goals   |
| <b>NGO</b>        | Non-Governmental Organisation  |
| <b>ODA</b>        | Official Development Assistance  |
| <b>OECD</b>       | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development                       |
| <b>PAIR</b>       | Program for Responsible Computer Purchases                                   |
| <b>SDGs</b>       | Sustainable Development Goals  |
| <b>UCLG</b>       | United Cities and Local Governments  |
| <b>UN</b>         | United Nations   |
| <b>UNDP</b>       | United Nations Development Programme   |
| <b>UNESCO</b>     | United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organisation            |
| <b>UN-Habitat</b> | United Nations Human Settlements Programme                                   |
| <b>UNHCR</b>      | United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees                                |
| <b>UNICEF</b>     | United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund                       |
| <b>VET</b>        | Vocational Education and Training  |

# INTRODUCTION

The 2024 Decentralised Cooperation Report delves into the role of cities in international development financing. Building on the foundation laid by previous reports, particularly the 2023 edition that introduced the concept of capillarity, this report highlights a component of cities' role in development cooperation that has been insufficiently studied until now: their role as suppliers of Official Development Assistance (ODA). The report includes the first global map of donor cities and a qualitative analysis of four countries' roles as suppliers of ODA: Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland.

While the term 'donor city' is not commonly used in academic literature or within the international normative framework, city governments and other non-central governments are increasingly engaging in development cooperation with their own financial resources. Such resources are allocated as ODA in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) databases, although the OECD recording system does not identify concrete donor cities. This report aims to enhance the understanding and visibility of decentralised cooperation by quantifying the contributions of cities to global development financing, presenting their geographical distribution, and illustrating with concrete examples how local governments direct their aid towards global development goals.

Section 1 of the report reviews the role that academic literature and international organisations attribute to cities in the field of development cooperation and introduces the key concept of this analysis: 'donor cities.' It explains how cities act as technical partners, development awareness platforms, and refuge cities. Finally, it addresses their participation in development financing and reflects on the complementarity of this contribution with other better-known roles.

Section 2 provides a detailed quantitative analysis of decentralised Official Development Assistance (DODA) from 2022. It is divided into three subsections: the first subsection examines the overall aid budgets, highlighting the significant increase in DODA and the impact of the Ukraine crisis on aid distribution; the second subsection analyses how decentralised aid is allocated across different countries, noting trends and variations in aid distribution; and the third subsection explains the methodology and main results of the mapping of donor cities, which has been made possible by combining Creditor Reporting System (CRS) data with national databases.

Section 3 delves into the specific contributions of donor cities in four focus countries: Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland. It examines the historical context, key initiatives, and strategic frameworks that guide decentralised cooperation in these countries. The section illustrates examples of donor cities of different size along with their approaches to international cooperation. These donor cities include: Ghent, Leuven, and Evergem in Belgium; Lille, Châtelleraut, and Espalion in France; Córdoba, Vitoria-Gasteiz, and Iñesta in Spain; Geneva, Asnières, and Meyrin in Switzerland. Maps, infographics, and decentralised donor rankings are included to provide a more comprehensive world map of donor cities.

The report includes two reflections that concern the full report series, which are outlined in Box 1 and Box 2. Box 1 contains Javier Sanchez Cano's historical analysis of the concept of decentralised cooperation. Box 2 addresses measurement frameworks and limitations found in current ODA statistics.

# 1. DONOR CITIES

Cities' involvement in international cooperation has received increasing attention from international organisations that shape the global development agenda, including the OECD, the United Nations (UN), and the European Union (EU) (see Box 1). These institutions tend to highlight that cities play a key role in the localisation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) which can be enhanced through decentralised cooperation and city-to-city partnerships. The role that local policies play in fostering multicultural, inclusive, and development-aware communities has also been highlighted by development scholars and practitioners. These roles are reviewed in the following paragraphs and connected to this report's central focus, the provision of ODA.

## CITIES AS TECHNICAL PARTNERS

The international cooperation framework increasingly recognises cities as pivotal technical partners in advancing sustainable development agendas. According to the OECD (2018, 2019), nearly 60% of the SDG targets require the involvement of subnational governments, making their participation in internationally agreed objectives and strategies critical. In this regard, the OECD highlights the favourable position of cities in the Global North to assist local governments in the Global South by providing essential public services and promoting sustainable urban development.

From this perspective, cities are seen as technical partners and valued for sharing best practices and knowledge. Additionally, it is highlighted that Global North local governments can contribute to enhancing the capacity of Global South cities, while also promoting innovation in their own cities, favouring a more symmetric egalitarian approach to development cooperation. Similarly, various UN initiatives related to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda underscore the role of local governments in localising the SDGs, recognising that cities are at the forefront of addressing issues such as climate change, inequality, and sustainable urbanisation.

Academic literature further supports cities' technical role in development cooperation, as discussed in previous reports on this topic. Fernández de Losada (2024) argues that decentralised cooperation is crucial for improving public policies and addressing global challenges by leveraging local governments' innovative and collaborative potential. Similarly, Kania (2022) emphasises the added value of regional governments in development cooperation, highlighting their ability to implement local democracy and governance effectively, and to adhere to international standards of aid effectiveness. On this note, some authors go further to acknowledge the importance of cities in global norm-setting by collaborating with the UN and World Bank on policy areas where they usually concentrate expertise, such as sustainable urbanisation, which later favours the dissemination and localisation of such norms (Riegner 2021). While some scholars question the real possibilities of cities engaging in global frameworks like the SDGs (Leavesly et al. 2022), in general terms, both scholars and practitioners have a very positive view of cities' potential to be knowledge providers and technical partners.

## CITIES AS DEVELOPMENT-AWARENESS PLATFORMS

The EU and the UN also emphasise the importance of cities as educational platforms where awareness on development issues is raised and the values, knowledge, and skills to address them are promoted. The United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) holds that cities are pivotal in promoting lifelong learning and play, and have a crucial role in fostering education for sustainable development (ESD) and global citizenship.<sup>1</sup> In general, the UN

---

<sup>1</sup> See [UNESCO's](#) views on 'learning cities' as drivers of inclusion and sustainability.

views cities as hubs where diverse communities can engage in dialogue and collaboration, thereby promoting social cohesion and mutual respect.<sup>2</sup> In Europe, the Dublin Declaration emphasises that local governments play a crucial role in promoting global education by fostering inclusive, lifelong learning and engaging communities by addressing global challenges through education.<sup>3</sup> Indeed, the EU's most important programme on development education and awareness-raising relies on civil society organisations (CSOs) and local authorities.<sup>4</sup>

Academic literature often supports the view that cities are instrumental in advancing ESD and global citizenship. Morgan (2009) points to convergence planning, governance, and educational discourse towards place-based learning and participatory approaches in the pursuit of sustainable development and global citizenship. Research on specific cities and city networks indicates that urban areas, with their dense populations and diverse communities, are uniquely positioned to implement educational initiatives that address local and global challenges (Mazza 2021; Becker 2018).

## REFUGEE CITIES

During the 2015 refugee crisis in Europe, cities across the continent stepped up to provide sanctuary and support for the influx of refugees, coining the term 'refuge city'. This concept emphasised the proactive role that urban centres played in addressing the humanitarian needs of displaced populations, often filling gaps that were not addressed by national and EU policies.

UN literature recognised the pivotal role of cities during the refugee crisis. UNESCO's report "Cities Welcoming Refugees and Migrants" focuses on the 'Welcoming Cities for Refugees' initiative, which promotes inclusion and protects refugee rights through local governance (Taran *et al.* 2016). The report identifies trends and approaches by municipal authorities, emphasising the importance of local governments as key actors in the integration process.

Similarly, a UNICEF Humanitarian Report issue (2021) highlighted cities' efforts to ensure access to essential services such as education, healthcare, and housing for refugees, discussing the importance of local-level interventions for addressing the needs of displaced populations. The issue also provided an overview of the humanitarian situation during the refugee crisis, focusing on the role of cities in providing immediate and long-term support to refugees and migrants. In this vein, the World Refugee Council declared cities as the "twenty-first century front line" in displacement crises and recommended enhanced cooperation between international, national, and municipal levels (Muga and Abdenur, 2018).

Scholars extensively documented the innovative strategies that European cities developed to manage the refugee crisis, including self-positioning as refuge cities (e.g. Barcelona), implementing local initiatives to integrate refugees into their communities (García Agustín & Jorgensen, 2018). This approach resulted in cities leveraging their unique capacities and forming new alliances to address the challenges posed by the sudden increase in asylum seekers, according to different types of solidarity channels: autonomous, civic, and institutional. (Oliver *et al.* 2020).

In summary, following the 2015 refugee crisis in Europe, both academic and UN literature recognised cities' significant contributions to global humanitarian efforts, resulting in the emergence of terms like 'refuge city' or 'welcoming city'.

## DONOR CITIES: CONCEPT AND RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Unlike 'refuge cities', the term 'donor cities' or a similar one has yet to be used in academic literature or within the international normative framework. Although funding activities related to the three roles described above are eligible as ODA and often reported as such, the financial aspects of cities' roles in global issues are frequently overlooked. International organisations tend to praise cities' non-financial contributions to development cooperation, while looking

---

<sup>2</sup> See the [UN's calls for global action](#) to turn cities into engines of sustainable development.

<sup>3</sup> See the [European Declaration on Global Education to 2050](#), adopted at the Dublin Congress on 4 November 2022.

<sup>4</sup> See the [EU programme on Development Education and Awareness Raising Programme \(DEAR\)](#).

at larger players, such as nations, for financial matters, in line with the Addis Ababa motto on shifting from billions to trillions.<sup>5</sup>

Some exceptions to this attention gap can be found in Spain, where DODA has historically represented about one third of Spanish bilateral aid. For instance, a recent paper by Sanchez Cano (2024) discusses the joint participation of cities, the Spanish government, and autonomous communities in achieving the 0.7% ODA target in Spain.

Yet, cities' donor role deserves more attention for several reasons. First, ODA is an eloquent indicator of commitment to development. Second, this indicator encapsulates the financial implications of other cities' recognised roles as technical partners, awareness-raising platforms, and refugee cities. Third, local ODA encourages the formation of civic networks and partnerships that connect local North and South communities with each other and with global development agendas, creating a sense of shared responsibility and global citizenship, as highlighted in the 2023 Decentralised Cooperation Report.

The objective of this 2024 Decentralised Cooperation Report is to measure and understand the scope and logic of cities' participation in development financing. To this end, the report begins by creating a global map of donor cities. This mapping involved breaking down ODA allocations recorded by the OECD under generic names, and using national databases, many of which were obtained through the collaboration of officials from the respective ministries of foreign affairs. Based on this mapping, the four countries with the most donor cities - Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland - were selected for a qualitative study to understand the origins, motives, and functioning of local ODA programmes.

Subsequently, a series of interviews were conducted with privileged observers of donor cities and their aid programmes, who, due to their positions in central governments or state non-governmental organisation (NGO) platforms, had an overview of municipal ODA and its history. Through these interviews, three cities of different sizes were identified in each country, and the available documents on their municipal websites regarding their aid policies and programmes were analysed, including strategies, regulations, grant calls, and communication materials. Finally, interviews were conducted with representatives of the selected cities. This information was triangulated with OECD microdata and related to each city through national databases.

---

<sup>5</sup> This said, the OECD (2023a) defines decentralised development cooperation (DDC) as international development cooperation (including in-kind peer-to-peer learning, capacity-building, and knowledge exchange) and financial contributions (including in-donor spending, as well as resources that cross borders) extended by subnational governments and eligible to count as ODA.

## BOX 1. THE EVOLVING CONCEPT OF DECENTRALISED COOPERATION

By Javier Sánchez Cano

In just three decades, decentralised cooperation has evolved from a relatively marginal position in the development landscape to increased international salience. The term first appeared in the Lomé Convention, adopted by the **European Union in 1995**. Rather than referring to a specific typology of projects, decentralised cooperation was initially about by the entities involved in its implementation. These comprised all local agents, including local authorities and groups as trade unions, universities, or even private firms, as long as they engaged in non-profit activities. Only central administrative structures were excluded.

Although there is no single definition of decentralised cooperation, its different interpretations share some common features: it encompasses actions promoting local development, in a broad sense; focusing on technical capacity-building and mobilising knowledge, rather than economic resources; involving civil society, including non-governmental development organisations and the private sector; and led by local agents. In the EU, decentralised cooperation was conceived as a *modality*, an instrument to channel funds to territories. In contrast, for France and Italy – the only countries that incorporated the term in their domestic regulations – decentralised cooperation was a component of their own public cooperation, with French and Italian local authorities associated with counterparts in partner countries and devoting their own resources to development projects.

In 2003, [Pierre Hafteck](#) shed valuable light on the debate by elaborating a '**conceptual mapping**' of decentralised cooperation and convincingly positioning this notion at the confluence of two avenues: the discussion on development cooperation and the transnational activism of local authorities and their associations. In the first avenue, and notably since the 1980s, it had become apparent that large structural adjustment programmes had proven incapable of enhancing the living conditions of impoverished groups. There was a clear need for new development approaches that were more sensitive to institutional aspects and social issues, and smaller and more focused interventions, involving new agents, especially at the local level. In the second avenue, local public authorities were projecting themselves as development leaders in their territories, with a growing engagement in twinning and networking activities. 'Decentralised cooperation' was not, however, the expression that they preferred to name their practices. 'City-to-city cooperation' or '[C2C](#)' was the term most used by the World Federation of United Cities (FMCU), while the International Union of Local Authorities (IULA) opted for '[inter-municipal cooperation](#).'

Tracking the changes that have occurred in these two avenues is useful to understand the recent evolution of the concept of decentralised cooperation. In international development debates, the focus on 'aid effectiveness' – where the [record of decentralised cooperation](#) was rather poor – shifted to 'development results' in the **post-2015** period. This was a more open, propitious ground for local development actors. In the second avenue, cities were clearly emerging as new actors in international relations, and urban issues became fundamental to understanding and finding solutions to sustainable development challenges. The notion of decentralised cooperation captured these new dynamics well. Thus, without changing its definition – which remained within the parameters described – this term was to be used with three purposes.

**First**, the transnational municipal and regional movements, articulated in networks, made an increasing use of the term 'decentralized cooperation' in their advocacy activities. In 2004, United Cities and Local Governments (UCLG) resulted from the merger of IULA and FMCU with the mission to, *inter alia*, enhance the participation of local authorities in global agendas. The review of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in the post-2015 era and the elaboration of the new SDGs were seized by UCLG as an opportunity to increase its international profile and visibility. It was important to generate a strong narrative on local governments in the global development agenda, and decentralised cooperation was instrumental for establishing cities' contribution to common goals and claiming [their seat at the global table](#). With an exclusive focus on sub-state public agents, it was here that decentralised cooperation had a novel usage, being connected to international advocacy and to the formation of non-central governments as actors in global governance.

**Second**, international advocacy had a local impact. Decentralised cooperation became instrumental in the efforts to achieve local recognition and legal certainty – especially by municipalities, which possess a weaker constitutional basis than regions – in the domestic regulation of external development activities. As a result, a growing number of countries incorporated this notion into their legal provisions. Spain, the donor country with the highest participation of non-central governments in its ODA, is a clear case: the 1998 Cooperation for Development Act referred to the development activities carried out by regions (*comunidades autónomas*) and municipalities (Chapter 3, Section 2), but did not mention ‘decentralised cooperation’ at any time. Twenty-five years later, the [2023 Sustainable Development and Global Solidarity Cooperation Act](#) took a completely different approach, with the same activities now collected in a new, comprehensive section on ‘decentralised cooperation.’

**Thirdly**, and notwithstanding the absence of an agreed, common definition, there has been an effort to embrace decentralised cooperation as a phenomenon to be studied and theorised upon. This involves different research lines, closely linked to the goals and mandates of the organisations promoting them. The OECD, which had issued one single [monograph](#) in 2005 on “aid extended by local and state governments,” initiated a [study series](#) in 2018 dedicated to decentralised cooperation, with five new studies aimed at helping Development Assistance Committee (DAC) members improve the effectiveness, impact, and monitoring of programmes involving sub-state authorities. Reports by the [Platforma](#) coalition, with an unconcealed inclination to advocacy, gear their analysis toward the generation of evidence that strengthens the position of cities and regions in domestic and European development frameworks. Some individual [researchers](#) also made noteworthy efforts to conceptualise and identify the specificity and the added value of decentralised cooperation.


Despite the fact that decentralised cooperation was coined as an expression to designate certain activities – that is, as a *modality* of development cooperation – the extension of the usage of this term does not come without tension. As a *programme*, decentralised cooperation has externally projected a well-built narrative, but has internally failed to establish the guidelines and directives needed to increase the effectiveness of its practices, improving their alignment with local priorities and reducing their fragmentation. In the field of ‘regulation’, the extension of decentralised cooperation which acts as a category that merges municipal and regional cooperation, there have been drawbacks: after several decades of operation, we could expect clearer specialisation and division of labour between cities and regions, as well as higher integration into larger schemes with national and international organisations. As a ‘phenomenon’ to be studied, the analysis of decentralised cooperation has often been bound to the ideological load projected by local governments and their networks, with insufficient data, and without a solid analysis of its real contribution to the definition and achievement of internationally agreed development goals.

Today, the concept of decentralised cooperation has firmly established itself in development debates, with the capacity of sub-state governments gaining considerable recognition. It is now time to enhance decentralised cooperation in three key areas, which require more refined concepts and tools: regulatory frameworks, insertion in global development debates, and scientific analysis. The concept of DODA – official development assistance financed by non-central governments – is a significant step in this direction, as are other data collection and statistical efforts aimed at understanding the differentiated roles of decentralised agents and evaluating their practices.




## 2. DODA IN FIGURES

### 2.1 AID FIGURES IN 2022 AND THE UKRAINE EFFECT



In 2022, DODA reached a total of USD 3,534 million, reflecting a significant contribution from subnational governments to global development finance. Figure 1 represents a notable increase in absolute terms from USD 3,029 million in 2021, marking an impressive growth of over USD 500 million in just one year. This represents a 16% increase, which exceeds any annual increase covered by this report series.

Decentralised aid accounted for 1.7% of the total ODA in DAC donors, and 3.6% of ODA of decentralised donor countries. However, it is important to note a slight decline in the relative share of DODA, which is attributed to the overall growth of aid in 2022.




Source: own elaboration, based on OECD data

Indeed, total ODA disbursements from DAC donors and multilateral organisations increased by 24% in 2022, reaching a record high of USD 269 billion, up from USD 217 billion in 2021. This marks the highest annual percentage increase since 2006, primarily driven by support for Ukraine and the costs associated with hosting refugees in donor countries. Ukraine was the standout recipient in 2022, receiving USD 29.2 billion – more than the next five recipients combined,

and the all-time largest amount received by a country recipient in history.<sup>6</sup> When excluding aid to Ukraine and in-donor refugee costs, ODA still saw a modest increase of 4.6% in real terms, as reported by the OECD to the 2023 Financing for Sustainable Development Report.<sup>7</sup>

On this note, it is worth highlighting that in-donor country expenditure, including refugee assistance, dominates DODA. In 2024, refugee assistance amounted to nearly USD 1 million, representing 27% of DODA. Various kinds of in-donor country DODA amounted to almost USD 2 million, representing 82%.


Figure 2. Evolution of decentralised ODA, 2018-2022 (USD millions and %)



Source: own elaboration with OECD data (see Annex II)

Indeed, the Ukraine effect further accentuated the salience of in-donor country expenditure within decentralised aid budgets. Figure 3 compares the various DODA categories in 2021 and 2022, suggesting that decentralised donors have followed the same trend as central governments and multilateral donors. Notably, in-country support to refugees has significantly increased. In 2022, aid for refugees surged by USD 632 million, rising to USD 938 million from USD 306 million in 2021. Conversely, other in-donor country aid categories, such as administrative costs, global education, and student aid declined, with reductions of USD 5 million, USD 14 million, and USD 99 million, respectively. Overall, aid within donor countries increased by USD 514 million, from USD 2.397 million in 2021 to USD 2.911 million in 2022. As for international DODA (i.e. decentralised aid effectively transferred to developing countries), this experienced an overall, slight decrease of USD 9 million.

Figure 3. 2021-2022 variations in DODA per aid category



Source: OECD data classified according to the Decentralised Cooperation Report categories (see Annex II)

In the following section, a country-by-country analysis of international DODA further delves into recent changes in the distribution and evolution of DODA.


<sup>6</sup> See the analysis of 2022 ODA by Development Initiatives: [Aid in 2022: Key facts about official development assistance](#).

<sup>7</sup> [Data update to the 2023 Financing for Sustainable Development Report](#), following the release of 2022 ODA data on 12 April 2023.

## 2.2 DECENTRALISED AID ALLOCATION BY COUNTRY

The Ukraine effect was also visible in the ranking of decentralised donor countries, where the **Czech Republic (Czechia)** shows up in 2022 and stands out as the most decentralised donor country, with 24.3% of its aid allocated at the regional and local levels. Spain, which usually ranks first, stepped back from 26.76% in 2021 to 19.4% due to the increase of central ODA.

Figure 4. Decentralised donor countries, 2022. (USD millions and % over total bilateral aid)



Source: own elaboration with OECD data (see Annex II).

Other significant increases in DODA, which were primarily driven by refugee assistance, include Canada and Austria, two countries which have always represented the largest share of DODA. Canada saw an increase of USD 184.6 million, with 92% of this rise attributed to refugee support. Similarly, Austria's DODA grew by USD 124.2 million, with 99% of this increase linked to refugee aid.

Another notable increase is that of Belgium (USD 59.9 million), which was actually the highest increase in relative terms and was also driven by refugee support. In Spain, an increase in refugee support of USD 47.66 million compensated for budget reductions in direct and indirect cooperation, as well as development awareness-raising, and resulted in an

overall increase of USD 26.9 million. Finally, France also added USD 32.9 million to DODA for refugee assistance. As for the rest, Switzerland, Japan, and Portugal slightly increased their local support to refugees without significantly impacting DODA budgets, while Germany, Italy, and the United Kingdom did not record any refugee support by local and regional governments as ODA.

Per the factsheets enclosed in this report, countries where ODA is predominantly allocated to in-donor country expenditures were Austria, Canada, Germany, Portugal, Czechia, Japan and France. Germany stands out with an exceptional allocation of 97% of its DODA for students, amounting to USD 1,875 million and greatly influencing the overall DAC distribution of DODA, as stated in the previous paragraph. **Czechia** stands out for its concentration of refugee costs (99.9%), which is only nuanced by academic international cooperation funded by and channelled through universities. The rest of this cluster of countries - **Austria, Canada, Portugal, and Japan** - allocated between 74% and 92% to in-donor country aid concentrated on refugee support well before the Ukraine war. **France** allocated 73% of its DODA to in-donor country aid and 27% to international aid.


In countries such as Spain, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and Italy, DODA is primarily directed towards international aid, often channelled through NGOs rather than directly by non-central governments. **Spain** allocated 67% of its DODA to international aid, with a significant portion channelled through NGOs. Moreover, within in-donor country aid, NGO-driven development awareness-raising programmes stood out over increasing refugee costs before the Ukraine war. **Italy, Switzerland and United Kingdom** allocated even greater portions of their DODA budgets to international aid, ranging from 75% to 100%, mainly relying on the NGO channel for this end.

Countries like Belgium, and Lithuania allocated a similar portion of resources to in-country and international aid. **Belgium** allocated 47% of its DODA to refugee support and 52% to international aid, showing an even distribution between in-country and international efforts. **Lithuania** stands out with a unique feature, where direct cooperation exceeds indirect cooperation, allocating 36% of its DODA to direct international aid and only 6% through NGOs.

It is worth noting that the distribution of DODA across aid categories varies between regional and local donors. With data consolidated in this report for the first time (see Box 2), it is possible to make such a differentiation. The analysis shows that cities are more balanced in terms of aid distribution, dedicating almost as much to international ODA as to in-donor country aid (USD 104 million versus USD 129 million). Cities predominantly rely on NGOs to channel aid beyond their territory, as illustrated in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Cities’ aid budgets balance international aid (45%) and in-donor country aid (55%)

2022 cities’ ODA by category (USD million)



Source: own elaboration with OECD data and national databases (see Annex II).

## BOX 2. THE METRICS OF DECENTRALISED AID AND ITS LIMITS

One significant limitation in the analysis of DODA, as highlighted in both the [2022](#) and the [2023](#) Decentralised Cooperation Reports, is the lack of detailed identification of subnational donor agencies in OECD databases. These databases often use generic terms such as ‘municipalities’ rather than specifying the exact subnational entities involved. This lack of granularity can obscure the contributions of individual governments at the regional and local levels, making it challenging to accurately assess and compare their roles in decentralised development cooperation.

The following list contains a summary of the limitations encountered during the elaboration of this report series:

- Only four countries detail their regional donors (Spain, Belgium, Germany, and the United Kingdom), while the rest use a generic agency.
- There is no case in which DAC statistics identify local governments.
- In the case of Germany, although the CRS includes a specific field for each state, student costs are not broken down by region and do not contain detailed information by programme, despite the CRS’s function of accounting for ODA action by action; In 2022, German regions reported USD 1.5 billion in student costs as ODA, accounting for 42% of global DODA.
- In some cases, regional and municipal governments are reported under the same code.
- In practice, there is a supra-municipal level where municipalities often pool their international cooperation efforts. No donor country has created an identifying code for this level of government, which includes Spanish provinces, agglomerations and water syndicates in France, and the federation of Canadian municipalities.
- Some donors are using CRS fields that allow for a certain degree of freedom to keep track on regional and local donors (e.g. description, channel reported name).
- Other donors are keeping national ODA databases that include precise information on subnational donor governments as well as data for each CRS field.
- Technical assistance, including the allocation of non-central government personnel to direct cooperation actions, is largely underreported according to various interviewees who contributed to this report series. This underreporting trend is due to the small budgetary value of this type of assistance, which results in many fragmented records with low budgetary impact and high reporting costs. Unfortunately, this trend hinders the mapping and qualitative analysis of city-to-city and region-to-region technical cooperation.
- Moreover, there is a large number of municipalities that have stable development cooperation programs which are not reported to central governments and do not reach the DAC statistics.
- The OECD defines decentralised cooperation as both the financing (DODA) and the execution of aid by non-central governments. Data on donor and implementing agencies cannot be consolidated by cities or regions.

This report has partly overcome the above limitations that concern information on non-central donor agencies, but only for 2022 DODA. The DAC reporting system still lacks a permanent and institutional solution and some member states, like Spain, are currently looking for one.

## 2.3 MAPPING NON-CENTRAL DONOR GOVERNMENTS

The information available in OECD databases on subnational donor governments does not allow for a comprehensive understanding of the diversity and reach of DODA (see Box 2). This limitation has been largely addressed in this report by analysing the narrative fields of the CRS and collaborating with statistical officers in key ministries of foreign affairs. These public servants manage the datasets reported to the CRS and have access to details that are often lost during international consolidation.

As a result of this exercise, the donor governments for 13,689 DODA actions in 2022 have been identified out of a total of 15,606 actions. Identified non-central donors account for nearly USD 1.5 billion out of a total budget of USD 3.5 billion of DODA. Most of the remaining USD 2 billion corresponds to developing countries' student costs in the federal states of Germany (see Box 2).

Based on the collected data, it is found that a significant portion of DODA is allocated at the regional level: 84.7% of the global DODA budget (USD 2,992.48 million out of USD 3,533.63 million). Behind regional ODA, there are at least **227 regional entities**, including regional governments and universities, that have been precisely identified in this mapping exercise.

These regional entities concentrate in Spain, with 17 autonomous communities and 39 private universities; Czechia with 13 regional governments and 26 universities; Switzerland with 26 regional governments, Germany with 13 states; France with 12 regional councils; and Canada with 10 provinces. Table 1 contains a ranking of the main regional donor agencies, and a full list of regional entities is provided in Annex II.

Table 1. Top 10 regional donor governments, 2022 (DODA budgets)

| Regional government        | Donor country | USD Millions |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1. Flanders                | Belgium       | 123          |
| 2. Catalunya               | Spain         | 106          |
| 3. Valencian Community     | Spain         | 64           |
| 4. Basque Country          | Spain         | 57           |
| 5. Andalucía               | Spain         | 21           |
| 6. Walloon                 | Belgium       | 18           |
| 7. Quebec                  | Canada        | 17           |
| 8. Central Bohemian Region | Czechia       | 16           |
| 9. Geneva Canton           | Switzerland   | 16           |
| 10. Navarra                | Spain         | 16           |

Source: own elaboration with OECD data and national databases. Full list in Annex III.

As for local entities, this research has mapped **767 local entities** which provided DODA in 2022. These entities included **650 cities** or municipalities and **117 supra-municipal entities**, which jointly managed a budget of USD 362.77 million and funded 6,796 development cooperation activities. Supra-municipal entities encompass a variety of organisational structures that facilitate cooperation beyond individual municipalities. In Spain, this includes provincial authorities (*diputaciones provinciales*), island councils (*cabildos* and *consejos insulares*), and an association (*Federación Navarra de Municipios y Concejos*). In France, it includes metropolitan areas (*métropoles*), urban communities (*communautés urbaines*), agglomeration communities (*communautés d'agglomération*), and departmental councils (*conseils départementaux*). In Czechia, regional associations of municipalities, such as those in Central Bohemia, Hradec Kralove, Karlovy Vary, and other regions, play a significant role. In Canada, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities represents municipalities at the national level.

Local donors are concentrated in four countries: Switzerland, with 195 municipalities; Spain, with 147 municipalities and 28 supra-municipal entities; France, with 92 municipalities and 72 supra-municipal entities; and Belgium, with 143

entities. The remaining entities (104) are distributed among Lithuania, Czechia, Italy, Japan, Portugal, Germany, Austria, and Canada. The following tables rank the main local governmental entities according to their ODA budget.

Table 2. **Top 10 donor cities and supra-municipal entities, 2022 (DODA budgets)**


|  | Donor country | USD Millions |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| <b>Top 10 cities</b>                             |               |              |
| 1. Paris   | France        | 79.98        |
| 2. Prague  | Czechia       | 31.73        |
| 3. Madrid  | Spain         | 14.81        |
| 4. Hamburg                                       | Germany       | 11.74        |
| 5. Barcelona                                     | Spain         | 8.66         |
| 6. Geneva  | Switzerland   | 7.18         |
| 7. Zürich  | Switzerland   | 5.03         |
| 8. Bilbao  | Spain         | 3.43         |
| 9. Vitoria-Gasteiz                               | Spain         | 3.13         |
| 10. Valencia                                     | Spain         | 2.73         |
| <b>Top 10 supra-municipal entities</b>           |               |              |
| 1. Conseil Départemental de Seine-Saint-Denis    | France        | 42.39        |
| 2. Biscay Provincial Council                     | Spain         | 8.51         |
| 3. Conseil Départemental du Val-De-Marne         | France        | 7.48         |
| 4. Moravian-Silesian municipalities              | Czechia       | 4.61         |
| 5. Zlin municipalities                           | Czechia       | 4.53         |
| 6. Gipuzkoa Provincial Council                   | Spain         | 4.50         |
| 7. Service Public de l'Assainissement Francilien | France        | 3.95         |
| 8. Central Bohemian municipalities               | Czechia       | 3.10         |
| 9. Conseil Départemental des Hauts de Seine      | France        | 2.57         |
| 10. Conseil Départemental de Seine-Saint-Denis   | France        | 2.54         |

Source: own elaboration with OECD data and national databases (see Annex II)

Figure 6 shows how cities and supra-municipal entities are distributed across donor countries, with high concentration in Europe and some activity in Canada and Japan. Additional information on ODA extended in 2022 by these 767 local entities is provided in Annexes II and III. Annex III also contains data on per capita ODA, allowing for a better comparison of the aid efforts of governments with different capacities.

Map 1. Donor cities in the world, 2024

650 cities (641 in Europe and 9 Japan) and 117 supra-municipal entities (116 in Europe and 1 in Canada) provided ODA in 2024.



● Cities ● Supra-municipal entities ● Overlap of supra-municipal entities and cities

Source: Data from CRS and national databases (See Annex III). Full quality and details available at [Flourish](#)


## 3. MUNICIPAL AID BY COUNTRY

This section delves into the detailed mapping of DODA by focusing on donor cities of four countries, examining their contributions, the types of entities involved, and the specific actions funded. The focus countries are Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland, which collectively account for 77% of the local ODA budget, 86% of the funding entities, and 94% of the funded actions.

### 3.1 DONOR CITIES IN BELGIUM

In Belgium today, 129 cities have their own ODA budget. These mainly concentrate in its Northern region, Flanders, as shown in the following map.

Map 2. 129 Belgian cities provide ODA



Dots are commensurate with each city's aid budget. (Maximum at USD 0.578 million)  
Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II. Geo-localisation with full data available at [Flourish](#).

Belgian cities' provision of development aid dates back to the 1980s and has grown ever since. As explained by civil society sources, initially, local governments engaged in international cooperation through modest grants to local NGOs. This early involvement was largely symbolic, aimed at showing solidarity with global communities and driven by a desire to contribute, even in a small way, to global issues. However, by the 1990s, a shift began towards more structured forms of support as municipalities recognised the growing interconnectedness of local and global development challenges.

By the early 2000s, this evolution became more pronounced, especially in larger municipalities such as Antwerp, Ghent, and Brussels. These cities moved beyond fragmented donations to NGOs and began establishing international service desks, which provided a formal structure for managing international cooperation efforts. According to one NGO informant, this shift was motivated by the need for municipalities to engage more directly with global development, moving toward a strategic role in fostering partnerships with cities in the Global South. It was also at this time that

municipalities committed to allocating at least 0.7% of their budgets to international development cooperation. This target, as noted by the informant, aligned with global standards for ODA and reflected Belgium's growing commitment to supporting sustainable development globally, despite challenges related to budgetary limitations.

The larger cities, like Antwerp, not only increased their budget allocations but also expanded their engagement by creating platforms that connected with diaspora groups from countries such as Congo, Senegal, Morocco, and Ghana. These platforms were aimed at enhancing social cohesion and fostering partnerships between Belgian cities and the Global South. NGO sources indicate that such initiatives have been key to strengthening citizens' support to Belgium's international cooperation efforts, particularly by promoting collaboration and cultural exchange with represented communities.

Medium-sized cities such as Leuven, Mechelen, and Hasselt also adopted structured approaches to international cooperation, though on a smaller scale compared to their larger counterparts. Despite operating with fewer resources, these cities remained committed thanks to flagship projects, which often involved the leadership or participation of their own citizens and sought coherence with the development strategies of the municipalities themselves.

In smaller municipalities, despite their limited capacities, involvement in international cooperation has been maintained through small-scale solidarity projects. According to the interviewees, these have been sustained during times of crisis, contributing to the resilience of international solidarity networks and projects. In fact, a priority for Belgian cities in international cooperation is supporting small-scale solidarity efforts by citizens, which is viable and manageable even from small municipalities. These efforts are known as the 'fourth pillar' of development cooperation, mainly in Flanders.<sup>8</sup>

As international cooperation progressed, Belgian municipalities increasingly aligned their efforts with global frameworks such as the SDGs and climate action, allowing municipalities to integrate global sustainability goals into their local policies. Despite these advances, Belgian municipalities faced several challenges, particularly in balancing local needs with international commitments. Budgeting constraints often made it difficult to prioritise international cooperation. However, larger cities like Antwerp, Brussels, and Ghent responded by professionalising their international cooperation programmes, dedicating teams of three to five full-time employees to manage these efforts. Meanwhile, smaller towns relied on more limited resources, focusing on smaller-scale projects while continuing to contribute to global solidarity within their means.

Looking ahead, the future of municipal cooperation in Belgium is set to focus on enhancing coordination across municipalities, expanding data collection, and strengthening the narrative around Belgium's contribution to global development. According to various interviewees, there is a growing recognition that municipal cooperation must continue to evolve, integrating sustainability and local-global interconnectedness as core elements of Belgium's decentralised cooperation model.

The following infographics provide quantitative information on aid provided by Belgian cities, and three of them, highlighted as longstanding and pioneer donor cities by Belgian NGO sources, are further analysed in the following paragraphs.

---

<sup>8</sup> The fourth pillar operates alongside governmental (first pillar), multilateral (second pillar), and NGO (third pillar) channels. It encourages individual citizens and micro-organisations to engage in international solidarity initiatives outside of formal frameworks, reflecting a more grassroots level of engagement. These initiatives are supported with grants from local governments and technical assistance from the Fourth Pillar Support Centre, funded by Flanders ([See 2023 Report](#)).

Figure 6. Key data on Belgian donor cities



Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II.

## GHENT: FROM LOCAL PACIFISM TO A CITY VISION ON GLOBAL SOLIDARITY

Ghent, a city with a population of 477,052, has developed an international solidarity programme that builds on local activism for global peace and solidarity. The programme was rooted in the 90s, when a group of passionate activists received support from the city government in the form of a building provided by the municipality to host civic initiatives. This building, known as Vredeshuis or Peace House, became a hub for exhibitions, educational projects, and events on topics like the Cold War, children's rights, or a series of celebrations around the topic of global peace. According to a municipal informant, this early grassroots initiative laid the groundwork for what would later become Ghent's broader approach to international solidarity and sustainable development.

Today, the City of Ghent has a comprehensive support programme for [global initiatives](#), focusing on financial assistance, capacity-building, and resource provision, with a stable budget that is symbolically said to be of at least EUR 1 per citizen.<sup>9</sup> The city offers subsidies and project grants to organisations that promote global solidarity, particularly in areas such as [human rights, fair trade, and decolonisation](#). Additionally, Ghent organizes workshops and training sessions to enhance these organisations' skills and knowledge, covering topics like project management and fundraising. The city also facilitates networking events to encourage collaboration and experience-sharing among local and international organisations.

The programme is framed under the [International Solidarity Policy Note for 2020-2025](#) and managed by Ghent's Global Solidarity Team within the International Networks and Grants Department, ensuring integration across various municipal services. The team remains actively engaged in knowledge-exchange with other Flemish municipalities through regular meetings, promoting best practices in global cooperation.

Ghent's commitment to international solidarity is largely channelled through local NGOs working with partners in the Global South. These NGOs must undergo a recognition by the city's government in order to apply for funding and other forms of support. In terms of infrastructure, Ghent still provides meeting spaces and equipment loans to support

<sup>9</sup> Ghent's ODA budget of USD 578,000 is the largest among Belgian cities. This budget is distributed across various sectors, with significant allocations to health, humanitarian aid, and sensitisation efforts within Belgium. Notably, USD 20,000, or approximately 3.5% of the total budget, is allocated to international NGOs for humanitarian purposes, specifically targeting Ukraine. The aid channelled through Belgian NGOs is distributed across several countries, including Bangladesh, Cambodia, Colombia, Kenya, Malawi, Niger, Pakistan, the Palestinian Territories, and Tanzania, with varying amounts allocated to sectors such as health, social services, energy, agriculture, and humanitarian aid.

the logistical needs of organisations working on global initiatives. Indeed, the House of Peace has been renamed the Global House, and hosts selected NGOs that are active in themes encompassed by Ghent's vision on [Global Solidarity](#). CSOs also contribute to the city's vision and priorities through an Advisory Council on Global Solidarity.

As for direct cooperation, the city has historically held various twinning and partnership agreements with Northern cities and maintains a long-standing twinning arrangement with the Japanese city of Kanazawa. However, some voices in the local government have suggested exploring twinning arrangements with cities in the Global South, aligning with the city's vision on global solidarity.

## MUNDIA: A LOCAL-GLOBAL PLATFORM IN LEUVEN

Leuven, with a population of 96,942 as of 2024, is deeply committed to fostering a more inclusive and sustainable global community in partnership with NGOs. The municipality actively engages with various NGOs to promote international development and cultivate a spirit of global solidarity, namely through [Mundia](#), an NGO platform receiving direct support from the municipality. It connects local organisations, citizens, and the municipal government to promote global justice initiatives and implement campaigns. Through Mundia, Leuven's government facilitates meeting spaces for these organisations, allowing them to collaborate, co-create, and promote initiatives that encourage community involvement in global issues. This support not only strengthens local capacities but also enhances the collective impact of initiatives aimed at fostering awareness and action around pressing global challenges.

A flagship campaign run by Mundia, *Faire Gemeente* or Fair Municipality, is an initiative that aims to promote fair trade and ethical consumption within the city. The initiative encourages local businesses, organisations, and citizens to commit to fair trade practices and support products that are ethically sourced. The goal is to raise awareness about the importance of fair trade and to integrate these principles into the daily lives of the community, contributing to a more just and sustainable world. In addition to supporting Mundia, the City of Leuven provides [annual operating grants](#) for Northern activities of Leuven global actors who are involved in global education in Leuven, Southern cooperation of Leuven development collaborators, and Southern operation of Leuven's 4th Pillar organisations.<sup>10</sup>

Mundia is also the space for NGOs to influence local policies, as in the case of the elaboration of the policy paper "[Together for a Global Leuven](#)," which contains eight key recommendations from global partners for Leuven's municipal policy, focusing on promoting peace, socio-economic justice, human rights, and climate justice. It emphasises the integration of global goals into local policies and the importance of collaboration between the city and its global partners.<sup>11</sup>

Finally, it is worth noting that Leuven's partnerships with global NGOs are consistent with other international cooperation initiatives that foster a culture of solidarity that transcends borders, empowering individuals and communities to contribute to a fairer and more equitable world. This includes local programmes targeting migrant youth, as in the Casablanca project, which works with youth with migrant backgrounds in a Leuven neighbourhood to improve their community's image through social interaction activities and engage in international exchanges with Morocco, where they volunteer at an orphanage. The project has been framed by Leuven's mayor as part of a broader effort to strengthen [social cohesion and diversity as a force for positive change](#).

---

<sup>10</sup> Leuven's 2022 ODA budget amounted to USD 316,000. Of this budget, 91% represented in-donor country expenditure, directed towards administrative costs, unspecified sectors, and sensitisation efforts within Belgium. The remaining funds were disbursed through Belgian NGOs for small interventions in El Salvador, Ethiopia, Cameroon, Kenya, Peru, and Zambia.

<sup>11</sup> Among other statements, NGOs in Leuven state that the budget for international development initiatives represent the alignment of local fiscal policies with global solidarity objectives and advocate an increase from EUR 3.26 per resident in 2022 to EUR 3.74 in 2024.

## EVERGEM'S TWINNING WITH GURANDA, ECUADOR

Despite its limited size of 36,570 inhabitants, Evergem has a longstanding development cooperation programme sustained by a dedicated officer. Evergem decisively entered into city-to-city cooperation [with the city of Guaranda in Ecuador](#), driven by an environmentalist city councillor and the president of a local NGO involved in projects in Ecuador. The collaboration between Evergem and Guranda is part of a broader trend of cities' twinning, which became more prominent in the early 2000s with support from the Federal Government.

Guaranda is in the Bolivar Province in the Andes at the foot of the Chimborazo volcano and hosts 80,000 indigenous people. Both municipalities have engaged in development projects in Guaranda, while sharing and building up knowledge on social services, waste management, libraries, childcare, and communication. In addition, 'twinning' is also used to raise awareness in Evergem about the North-South relations. On this note, Ecuadorian artists have visited Evergem within the 'twinning' framework, engaging in creative and awareness-raising activities with schools, volunteers, associations, and people with disabilities.

Evergem allocates its own local funding for projects in Guaranda and dedicates a higher budget to support 14-16 local NGO initiatives under the 'fourth pillar.' Yet the major share of its aid budget consists of supporting the Project Coordinator for Local Global Policy, who manages the 'twinning' activities, as well as supporting the Fourth Pillar and volunteer activities in the municipality.<sup>12</sup> This includes linking with a voluntary council and an advisory council, which participate in planning and allocating resources for educational activities and 'fourth pillar' initiatives.

These capacities also allow Evergem to engage in decentralised cooperation activities in municipalities' associations, as well as to leverage other financial resources. Indeed, the major share of its development projects in Guaranda are funded with Belgian grants for decentralised cooperation. This includes significant projects such as the integrated water resource management project in Guaranda, fully funded by federal resources.<sup>13</sup>

## 3.2 DONOR CITIES IN FRANCE


France has a long-standing tradition of decentralised cooperation in its broad sense, encompassing a wide range of international cooperation activities, including 'twinning' technical exchanges. A significant milestone in French decentralised cooperation was the 2005 Oudin-Santini Law, allowing local authorities to also become ODA providers by allocating up to 1% of their water and sanitation budgets to international cooperation projects.

---

<sup>12</sup> Evergem's ODA budget for 2022 of USD 86,880 is allocated as follows: USD 47,350 for office costs, USD 14,321 for grants to Ecuador, and USD 25,209 for other grants.

<sup>13</sup> According to city sources, Evergem channels EUR 60,000 from the federal government to its twin city, while allocating approximately EUR 12,000 to its twin city of Guaranda, which is consistent with ODA data above.

Map 3. 92 French cities provide ODA



Dots are commensurate with each city's aid budget. (Maximum at USD 37.7 million)  
Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II. Geo-localisation with full data available at [Flourish](#)

Since 2005, French municipalities have increasingly played an active role in development aid, aligning their efforts with national and international objectives. Initially, municipalities faced challenges in aligning local strategies with national policies, securing funding, and managing complex partnerships. These obstacles were gradually overcome through comprehensive frameworks that encouraged dialogue and coordination between the State and local authorities.

In 2006, the central government issued Orientations for Decentralised Cooperation and in 2007, the Thiollière Law was adopted. This law provided a legal framework for municipalities to engage in international aid efforts more broadly, recognising that local and regional authorities have the freedom and authority to develop cooperative relations with other foreign local authorities.

Additional developments of the French central framework for decentralised cooperation include the Atlas of Decentralised Cooperation, which records and promotes relationships between French municipalities and their global peers, the establishment of the National Commission for Decentralised Cooperation (CNCD), and the 2010 French Guidelines for the International Action of Local Authorities, which provide additional strategic direction for local authorities' international actions.

Under this framework, the Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs (MAEE) commits to ensuring the security of international action by allowing local bodies to allocate up to 1% of their budget to international cooperation, as established by the 2005 Oudin-Santini Law and the 2007 Thiollière Law. Additionally, the central government fosters dialogue within the National Commission of Decentralised Cooperation and co-funds city-to-city cooperation with regular calls for projects.

The French Development Agency (AFD) also partners with French cities to seek complementarities and enhance the impact of decentralised cooperation. It offers funding, technical expertise, and support for project implementation. The AFD collaborates with local authorities to develop and execute projects addressing global challenges, thereby strengthening the capacity of local governments to contribute to sustainable development.

France’s Atlas of Decentralised Cooperation catalogues a history of over 12,000 projects undertaken by more than 4,700 local authorities in partnership with nearly 10,000 entities across 139 countries since 2009. In 2022, the CRS included 623 actions funded by local cities as detailed in Figure 7.<sup>14</sup>

Figure 7. Key data on French donor cities



Source: Data on donor cities in Annex III. This figure features only aid provided by cities directly, which represent USD 87 million and 41% of French DODA. Supra-municipal entities add an additional USD 72 million, representing 40% of French DODA.

Paris is the city with the highest ODA budget in France and worldwide, which is mainly dedicated to refugee assistance.<sup>15</sup> Additionally, the city of Paris runs international programmes, like Solidev, which supports Parisian associations which are not necessarily professionalised by offering them funding to carry out their international projects or to promote the SDGs within Paris. This programme is part of a broader international action policy aimed at maintaining Paris as a hub of progress and international attraction, where solidarity is a key aspect of its dynamism. Other cooperation activities of the city include thematic programmes on culture and HIV/AIDS, participation in numerous city networks and direct cooperation projects, often in concert with French national cooperation efforts.<sup>16</sup>

## LOCAL FESTIVALS AND GLOBAL AID IN LILLE

Lille, with a population of 226,827, is actively addressing key development challenges such as climate change, inclusivity, and cultural diversity. The municipality prioritises a sustainable and culturally inclusive approach to engaging its diverse community, leveraging culture as a key tool for international cooperation under a policy for shared sustainable culture. Indeed, Lille’s cultural initiatives are a hallmark of its international cooperation strategy, with major events such as the Festival of International Solidarities drawing nearly two million participants. These events not only celebrate cultural diversity but also stimulate reflection on pressing environmental issues, particularly through the “Call to Act” initiative, which brings together 46 cities and members of the EU network Eurocities, to collaboratively address the cultural sector’s carbon footprint.

Lille’s international cooperation efforts are notably reflected in its ‘twinning’ relationships with cities like Oujda in Morocco and Saint-Louis in Senegal, focusing on cultural exchange, health, and economic development. Beyond these, Lille extends its aid to Togo, Cameroon, Vietnam, and Madagascar, through the city’s youth engagement in

<sup>14</sup> Infographics on donor cities in all focus countries refer only to aid directly provided by cities. For data on supra-municipal regions see Annex II and III, which also contain data on regional donors.

<sup>15</sup> 82% of Paris ODA in 2022 was allocated to refugee assistance.

<sup>16</sup> See the 2023 Decentralised Cooperation Report

[international solidarity projects](#) and support from a scholarship programme. In addition to international aid, the city has increasingly allocated assistance to refugees as ODA.<sup>17</sup>

These international connections are part of a broader strategy that emphasises cultural dialogue, community engagement, cross-cultural exchanges, and local capacity-building. Indeed, Lille’s international cooperation initiatives are managed by the city’s International Relations Department, which ensures that community involvement is a central element of project planning. The department is under the leadership of the adjunct major for culture, tourism, and international solidarity, further linking aid with culture, and leveraging international cultural activities and networks.

## CHÂTELLERAULT: REFUGE AND DONOR CITY

As part of a broader movement across Europe to support Ukraine during a time of unprecedented need, Châtellerault, a city with approximately 32,000 inhabitants, has become a paradigmatic example of a refuge city.<sup>18</sup> In 2022, the city mobilised its resources to provide shelter, essential services, and support to those fleeing the conflict in Ukraine. The city and agglomeration of Châtellerault, along with the Community Center for Social Action, local organisations, and volunteers, provided immediate relief and assistance in integrating refugees into the community.

Beyond emergency response, Châtellerault, is actively engaged in [international cooperation](#). A key aspect of this engagement is its partnership with the city of Kaya in Burkina Faso, with an emphasis on solar energy systems, waste management, and healthcare. The collaboration has led to significant initiatives, including sending essential hospital equipment and implementing the [PLASTIC](#) waste management programme, which has benefited local municipalities in Burkina Faso. This programme integrates education and income-generating activities centred around waste management, ensuring a sustainable and long-term impact on the community.

Projects like the PLASTIC initiative, which focuses on capacity-building and climate adaptation, are consistent with the local government action in Châtellerault’s, as seen in solidarity programmes like the *Pacte Local des Solidarités* and the “[Quartiers 2030](#)” contract, both of which aim to promote sustainability and social cohesion and support employment opportunities for residents.

Governance and citizen participation play a central role in Châtellerault’s cooperation efforts. The International Relations and Decentralised Cooperation service is responsible for managing and coordinating international projects. The municipality ensures that community members are actively involved in the decision-making process through participatory budgeting and citizen councils. These mechanisms foster transparency, accountability, and a sense of ownership over the projects. Local evaluations and consultations are conducted regularly to assess progress and gather community feedback.

## ESPALION: A SMALL CITY COOPERATING WITH MINISTERIAL SUPPORT

With a population of 4,798, Espalion ranks third in France’s per capita ODA. Despite its size, the municipality allocates 0.07% of its budget<sup>19</sup> to international cooperation efforts and leverages funding sourced from the French Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs alongside local contributions. These resources are channelled to development initiatives

---

<sup>17</sup> In 2022, the city of Lille allocated a total of USD 484,200 in ODA, of which 52% was dedicated to humanitarian aid related to refugee support under bilateral, unspecified aid. NGOs received 39% of the budget, with notable allocations including for basic education in Armenia and smaller amounts for various social infrastructure and services projects in Algeria, Europe, Morocco, Senegal, Togo, and the West Bank and Gaza Strip. The remaining 9% was distributed through recipient governments, with 5% for multi-sector aid in Morocco and 4% for similar aid in Senegal.

<sup>18</sup> In 2022, Châtellerault’s ODA budget reached USD 1 million driven by refugee assistance (97% of total ODA) and represented the second highest per capita local ODA budget in France. In 2021, Châtellerault’s ODA fully concentrated on Burkina Faso, totalling USD 686,000. Channelled entirely through NGOs, it was allocated to water supply and sanitation, agricultural development, and waste treatment. In 2022, international aid targeted Kenya for water supply and sanitation, Senegal for water supply and sanitation, and Palestine for post-secondary education.

<sup>19</sup> In 2022, Espalion’s ODA totalled USD 79,900 and was allocated to cultural activities in Côte d’Ivoire, Senegal and Africa as a whole

that directly benefit partner municipalities, in areas where French municipalities typically work, such as clean water and sanitation.


The municipality's formal written strategy reflects a clear focus on sustainable development, with projects aiming at expanding access to water and improving sanitation conditions in these partner regions. Espalion's efforts not only target infrastructure but also emphasise the importance of training local leaders and fostering community engagement through participatory diagnostics. More recently, Espalion has also relied on a cooperation framework set by the MAEE to cooperate in the field of sports.

Espalion has forged [decentralised cooperation initiatives](#) with cities like Dagana in Senegal and Maféré in Côte d'Ivoire. These collaborations are centred on both sports development, notably table tennis, and enhancing water and sanitation facilities.

### 3.3 DONOR CITIES IN SPAIN

Out of the four case studies, Spain accounts for the most regional and local donor governments (Annex II), including 147 cities spread across the whole country, as shown in Map 4.

Map 4. 147 Spanish cities provide ODA



Dots are commensurate with each city's aid budget (Maximum at USD 15 million).  
Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II. Geo-localisation with full data available at [Flourish](#).

The origin of Spanish decentralised cooperation dates back to the late 1980s, when Spain took part in a broader global initiative urging developed countries to allocate 0.7% of their Gross National Income (GNI) to ODA. The campaign gained significant momentum in Spain during the 1990s, leading to widespread public demonstrations in every major Spanish city and increased pressure on the government to enhance its commitment to international development. The impact of these demonstrations was profound, influencing the country's development cooperation policies and shaping its decentralised cooperation. The movement addressed the demand for increased solidarity and compliance with UN commitments, not only to the central government, but also to local and regional governments. Through these demonstrations, local and regional authorities increasingly played a significant role in international development

efforts, making decentralised cooperation a hallmark of Spanish cooperation, with autonomous communities and municipalities actively participating in development projects and partnerships.

As early as 1988, three cities— Vitoria-Gasteiz, Córdoba, and Ordizia—accepted the demonstrators’ petition for the 0.7% campaign and initiated early programmes focused on solidarity and collaboration with NGOs, laying the foundation for Spain’s decentralised cooperation framework. According to NGO sources, the evolution of municipal cooperation in Spain has transitioned from informal and fragmented efforts to structured, strategically planned policies.

In addition to the citizen movement, the development of Spanish decentralised cooperation was facilitated in cities by pre-existing ‘twinning’ with cities in the global South, generally Latin American cities, and by the development of municipal cooperation funds. In the 1980s, the first municipal funds were created in Catalonia and the Basque Country to combine efforts in municipal cooperation, especially in Central America and the Caribbean. These were followed by six other regional funds and a confederation of funds (CONFOCOS). In this way, municipal cooperation was consolidated, creating a space for strategic coordination and common services to respond to the management challenges posed by ODA for small institutions where dedicated units for international cooperation cannot be established.

Moreover, these municipal funds found support in an international municipalism movement, which defended the relevance of decentralized cooperation and city-to-city cooperation in various declarations. Among them, the Bulawayo Call of 1990 and the Berlin Charter of 1992 stood out.<sup>20</sup>

In the 2000s, the development of master plans marked a significant shift from improvised solidarity actions to structured policies, establishing a groundwork for more comprehensive cooperation frameworks. Initially, municipalities faced numerous challenges, including a lack of resources, strategic policy frameworks, and trained personnel dedicated to managing international cooperation initiatives. Early obstacles were mitigated through partnerships with NGOs, which have historically managed the largest share of Spanish cities aid and influenced its priorities through NGO platforms and advisory councils. Additionally, cities developed municipal cooperation funds, playing a crucial role in guiding municipalities during their nascent stages of international development work and assuming the management of certain aid modalities, including technical and direct cooperation.

Over time, municipalities developed regulatory frameworks and governance structures that ensured accountability and enhanced the effectiveness of their international cooperation initiatives. This evolution was first aligned with the MDGs and the aid effectiveness agenda, and later with the 2030 Agenda and growing recognition of municipalities’ roles in achieving the SDGs.

However, the trajectory of cities’ aid towards their 0.7% target has been hampered by various circumstances, including the financial crisis of the 2010s and the break in cross-partisan consensus on international solidarity resulting from the irruption of a radical right party in local politics. Nevertheless, Spanish cities’ aid has proven resilient, as shown previously in Figure 3.

---

<sup>20</sup> The Bulawayo Call of 1990 is a declaration advocating for justice and equity in international relations, highlighting the need to overcome the economic dependence of developing countries. The Berlin Charter of 1992 establishes principles for decentralized cooperation and the strengthening of local capacities in the field of sustainable development. For more information, see the CONFOCOS (2001) document [Municipalism and Solidarity](#).

Figure 8. Key data on Spanish donor cities



Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II. This figure features only aid provided by cities directly, which represent USD 56.93 million and 13% of Spanish DODA. Other local entities, mainly provincial councils, add an additional USD 25.79 million, representing 6% of Spanish DODA.

## BUILDING INTERNATIONAL SOLIDARITY IN CÓRDOBA

With a population of about 325,708, Córdoba is one of the pioneers of Spanish decentralised cooperation. International solidarity was introduced in local policies in response to a strong social movement rooted in the city’s commitment to addressing global inequalities. This movement was part of the broader 0.7% social movement, which advocated for allocating 0.7% of municipal budgets to development cooperation.

Córdoba’s international solidarity efforts were institutionalised with the creation of the [Delegation of Cooperation and Solidarity](#); the NGO network [Cordoba Solidaria](#); the [municipal Council on Cooperation and International Solidarity](#); and the city’s [Municipal Plan for Peace and Solidarity](#), which forms the backbone of its international cooperation strategy. This plan emphasises enhancing local cooperation structures, creating room for innovative proposals, and maintaining flexibility when responding to emergencies. A key goal is for the municipality to reach the internationally recognised target of allocating 0.7% of its budget to development aid, particularly for the most vulnerable communities.

The engagement of Córdoba in international solidarity was driven by a politically sensitive environment and the demands of local CSOs. Over the years, despite changes in political leadership, the city’s commitment to development cooperation has proved to be resilient. Indeed, Córdoba’s international cooperation policy has faced several challenges over the years that resulted in budget cuts, including a 35% reduction in 2023. The policy was strongly contested during the financial crisis of the 2010s and continues to be contested by some municipal sectors who argue that development cooperation is not a core municipal responsibility. Despite these challenges, the policy has demonstrated remarkable resilience, thanks to the strong support from local associations and the community.

The policy is implemented mainly through NGO grants for projects that span various sectors, with a notable focus on social infrastructure services and humanitarian aid.<sup>21</sup> Additionally, Córdoba participates in the Andalusian Fund of Municipalities and Provinces for International Solidarity FAMSÍ, the Andalusian municipal fund, which has facilitated

<sup>21</sup> In 2022, Córdoba allocated a total of approximately USD 1.82 million to ODA. A significant portion of this budget, around 24%, was dedicated to global education and development awareness raising initiatives, reflecting the city’s commitment to fostering a culture of international solidarity and informed global citizenship. Most of the funds, approximately 60%, were channelled through international cooperation projects, primarily executed by NGOs. These projects spanned various sectors, with a notable focus on social infrastructure and services, humanitarian aid, and multi-sectoral initiatives. Key recipient countries included Bolivia, which received substantial support for food sovereignty and gender equality projects, and Mozambique, where efforts were concentrated on education and social transformation. Other significant allocations were made to Peru, focusing on food security and resilience, and Guatemala, supporting women’s empowerment and violence prevention.

the networking of local governments and other entities since 2000 and coordinates technical and financial resources for international cooperation.

Looking ahead, there are positive perspectives for Córdoba's international cooperation policy. In 2024, a slight budget increase of 10% reversed previous trends. Additionally, the establishment of the "[House of Solidarity](#)" will provide a dedicated space for local NGOs to work and collaborate on development projects, further strengthening Córdoba's commitment to building international solidarity.

## VITORIA-GASTEIZ, A PIONEER IN GLOBAL CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND DIRECT COOPERATION<sup>22</sup>

Vitoria-Gasteiz is a pioneer in decentralised cooperation and continues to stand out among Spanish cities, ranking third in per capita ODA and fourth in total ODA in 2022. The city's international cooperation policy began in 1988, the same year the Spanish Agency for International Development Cooperation (AECID) was created. This policy emerged in the context of broader decentralisation in Spain, where local entities had significant autonomy and resources, especially in the Basque Country. Vitoria-Gasteiz, was one of the first Spanish municipalities to approve a cooperation budget, calculated as 0.7% of the city's current income, in response to a national campaign advocating for this percentage of GDP to be allocated to development aid.

The motivation behind Vitoria's international aid policy was strongly influenced by human rights concerns and the socio-political context of the late 1980s. Conflicts in culturally close regions like Central America (e.g. El Salvador, Nicaragua) and the situation of Sahrawi refugees were significant factors. The policy was closely linked to civil society demands, particularly the call for 0.7% of GDP to be allocated to development aid.

The then-mayor of Vitoria-Gasteiz was especially sensitive to these demands, as well as to the situation in Central America. He set a city vision embedded in solidarity and social cohesion, both locally and globally. This vision entailed that the municipality should play a crucial role in social policies while committing to international development to the extent possible. Under his leadership, Vitoria-Gasteiz developed a network of civic centres and promoted access to sports and culture for all citizens, while supporting civic entities engaging in other countries and sharing the city's vision on cooperation, human rights, and social progress.

The foundational connections of Vitoria's cooperation and civic movements shaped the implementation of this policy, which has been primarily channelled through NGOs, and overseen since 1999 by a [Development Cooperation Council](#), comprising mainly NGOs. However, Vitoria-Gasteiz also pioneered the mobilisation of municipal expertise through direct cooperation, particularly in the field of water and sanitation. The city initiated direct cooperation with municipalities in El Salvador and specialised in water and sanitation projects, leveraging the expertise of its municipal water company, AMVISA-Aguas Municipales de Vitoria SA, Euskal Fundoa, and the Basque municipal fund, which played a crucial role in facilitating city-to-city cooperation and mobilising the city's expertise effectively.

The Alava Municipality Emergency Fund (*Fondo Alavés de Emergencias*) fund is another key component of Vitoria-Gasteiz's international cooperation efforts. Established in 2005 through a tripartite agreement with the Alava Province Council and a local bank, this fund has provided a rapid response mechanism for humanitarian emergencies in developing countries, and is considered a good practice in donor coordination and has inspired other territories in Spain.

Vitoria-Gasteiz's aid has remained stable over the years and, despite political turnover, has even surpassed the 0.7% target at some stages. However, it was also hampered by the fiscal crisis and the questioning of local governments in international cooperation and other policies considered "improper" by a Spanish 2013 Law on the rationalisation of

---

<sup>22</sup> In 2022, Vitoria-Gasteiz allocated approximately USD 3.13 million to ODA. The funds were distributed as follows: 80% (USD 2.52 million) were grants to NGOs, while 20% (USD 620,000) was channelled through an emergency fund and studies implemented by the local government. The main geographic and thematic priorities were Colombia (21.6%), focusing on social infrastructure, production sectors, and gender equality; support for Sahrawi refugees (11.1%); and Ecuador (7.3%), targeting social infrastructure and sustainable development.

local governments Against this backdrop, cities in the Basque Country benefited from Law 2/2016 on Local Institutions of Euskadi, which explicitly established this mandate in its Article 17.1.37.

## INIESTA: A LATE BUT DETERMINED ADHESION TO THE 0.7% MOVEMENT<sup>23</sup>

The local government of [Iniesta](#), a small municipality in the province of Cuenca, Spain, with a population of over 4,000 residents, has [recently adhered to the 0.7% commitment](#). In 2018, 30 years after Spanish pioneer cities embraced this UN resolution, Iniesta began supporting development projects in Bolivia. This initiative was driven by the city mayor, who evoked the UN resolution but later raised an agreement to allocate this symbolic percentage of the city's budget to international aid, and personally visited Bolivia to learn about and support projects by a local NGO.

Since then, the Iniesta local government has provided [grants to NGOs](#) connected to the local government, such as the Red Cross, which provides social services in Iniesta, the Catholic NGO Manos Unidas, represented in the municipality by the local parish priest; and the local action group, Asociación de Desarrollo Integral de la Manchuela Conquense (ADIMAN).

[ADIMAN](#) is a local association that runs rural development initiatives in the Manchuela Conquense area, where Iniesta is located. This entity also networks with associations of similar scope in Bolivia, implementing various rural development projects, including greenhouses for sustainable agriculture, irrigation, and agricultural entrepreneurship. In addition to its development projects, Iniesta and ADIMAN participate in the European project "[Network of European Cities that Promote Intercultural Dialogue](#)." This initiative aims to foster intercultural dialogue and combat discrimination. The project involves various stakeholders, including local, provincial, and regional representatives, and focuses on promoting social integration and diversity.

Despite its limited size and late incorporation into decentralized cooperation, Iniesta has built a strong commitment to cooperation by relying on the 0.7% narrative and collaboration with civil society organizations working locally and internationally on issues such as rural development, interculturality, and social integration, which are part of the global development agenda and Iniesta's local agenda.


## 3.4 DONOR CITIES IN SWITZERLAND

In Switzerland, 195 cities are actively contributing to international development cooperation, with an average budget of USD 16,439 per city. Collectively, these municipal aid budgets account for 41% of DODA, with the remainder provided by cantons. Although this municipal aid represents only 1% of Switzerland's total aid, it holds significant symbolic value, often estimated as 0.7% of the municipal budget.

---

<sup>23</sup> In 2022, the local government of Iniesta provided ODA amounting to approximately USD 4,627, supporting the sustainable improvement of water for irrigation in several communities of Tarija, Bolivia, through a project implemented by the local action group ADIMAN.

Map 5. 195 Swiss municipalities provide ODA



Dots are commensurate with each city's aid budget (Maximum at USD 7.19 Million).  
Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II. Geo-localisation with full data available at [Flourish](#).

Swiss cities' engagement in international development has evolved significantly since the late 20th century. Initially constrained by financial limitations and a lack of expertise, municipalities have progressively increased their involvement through partnerships with NGOs and participation in international networks. This evolution reflects a broader recognition of global interdependence and the essential role of local governments in addressing issues like poverty, inequality, and climate change.

A notable milestone in this journey is the commitment of the city of Geneva to allocate 0.7% of its budget to international solidarity. This benchmark, also adopted by other municipalities, underscores the symbolic importance of local contributions in a country that has not yet met the United Nations' recommendation of allocating 0.7% of Gross National Income (GNI) to ODA.

The scale and nature of international aid efforts vary significantly across Swiss cities, largely depending on their size. Larger cities like Zurich and Geneva lead the way with substantial resources and formal cooperation frameworks. Additionally, their international visibility allows them to network or establish twinning agreements and international relations policies that can create synergies with development cooperation.

Medium-sized cities focus on targeted projects through collaborations with local NGOs, demonstrating flexibility despite limited resources. Medium and small cities in the Canton of Geneva all have the possibility to channel their aid through the [Geneva Federation of Cooperation \(FGC\)](#). FGS is the platform for NGOs in the canton and channels municipal resources to its partner organizations, networks, and local counterparts. Some of these municipalities also open their own calls for NGO aid but require them to choose between direct access to these grants or participation in the FGS municipal fund.

Figure 9. Key data on Swiss donor cities



Source: Data on donor cities in Annex II.

SOLIDAR Suisse, a prominent Swiss development organisation, plays a crucial role in supporting and guiding these municipal efforts. SOLIDAR partners with donor cities to implement development projects and promote responsible procurement practices. It also publishes a [solidarity rating of Swiss cities](#), highlighting their contributions and encouraging best practices.

Swiss cities tend to adopt a twofold commitment: providing financial aid and engaging in responsible procurement. This dual approach ensures that the goods and services purchased by municipalities are produced under fair and sustainable conditions, adhering to international labour standards and promoting fair wages. Public procurement in Switzerland amounts to approximately CHF 40 billion annually, with municipalities accounting for 40% of this spending. By adopting responsible procurement policies, cities can significantly impact global development while setting an example for their citizens.

Swiss cities are increasingly integrating international cooperation into their local policies, demonstrating a commitment to global solidarity and sustainable development. By combining financial contributions with responsible procurement practices, municipalities can enhance their impact on global development and promote ethical standards at home. This dual approach not only supports local development but also contributes to broader global goals, reinforcing Switzerland's role and reputation on the international stage.

## GENEVA: A UN CITY COMMITTED TO THE 0.7% UN RESOLUTION<sup>24</sup>

[Geneva](#), a multicultural city and UN hub, places significant emphasis on its international engagement. The city is committed to international cooperation, supporting international NGOs and allocating up to 0.7% of its operating budget to global solidarity initiatives. Geneva's new [strategy for external relations](#), adopted in January 2024, outlines three main priorities. First, the city promotes values of equality, diversity, and international solidarity, supporting humanitarian aid and cooperation projects. Second, it aims to strengthen its role as a hub for international actors by

<sup>24</sup> In 2022, Geneva provided a total of \$7,188,820 USD in Official Development Assistance (ODA), with 10.14% managed directly by the local government and 88.38% managed indirectly through NGOs. The aid was geographically prioritized, with significant contributions to Ukraine (8.74%), Burkina Faso (5.42%), Haiti (2.83%), Brazil (2.58%), and South Sudan (1.46%), among others. Thematically, the aid focused on social infrastructure and services (50.12%), multi-sector/cross-cutting initiatives (18.29%), humanitarian aid (12.23%), and production sectors (10.47%), reflecting Geneva's commitment to supporting international development through diverse and impactful projects.

maintaining attractive conditions for their presence. Third, Geneva seeks to be a leader in urban diplomacy, participating in multilateral forums and city networks to address global issues.

The international solidarity of the city of Geneva is managed through [three complementary structures](#): the Geneva City Solidarity Delegation, the Secretariat, and the Advisory Commission. The Delegation is a three-member commission to which the Government Council delegates the implementation of the city's international solidarity policy. The Secretariat, within the Agenda 21 – Sustainable City Department, manages aid requests and relies on an Advisory Commission, composed of seven experts, for the most significant funding requests.

The most important instrument of this municipal policy is the grants to NGOs, which are regulated by the [Regulation for the granting of subsidies dedicated to international solidarity](#). This text provides for both one-time project grants and core funding for organizations active in sustainable development and human rights. This latter area, including the protection of defenders, is a strategic priority for the city, which hosts the United Nations Human Rights Council.

[Bilateral cooperation](#) and [city networks](#) are also part of international relations, although they are not necessarily managed by the Geneva City Solidarity Delegation. While avoiding twinning agreements as part of its neutrality policy, the city has established collaborations with cities such as Abidjan, Asunción, Beirut, Dakar, and Shinagawa. These partnerships involve cultural exchanges, support for scientific events, and collaborative projects in areas such as urban planning, youth engagement or botanical gardens.

The city's participation in networks aims to unite the voices with other cities in areas such as peace, security, human rights, and sustainable development. For example, the friendship charter with Shinagawa fosters mutual understanding through youth exchange programmes, while cooperation with Beirut includes the creation of a botanical garden.

## RESPONSIBLE PROCUREMENT AND INTERNATIONAL AID IN MEYRIN

Meyrin, with approximately 26,000 inhabitants, formalized its sustainable development policy in 2017. Since then, the municipality has demonstrated its commitment to international solidarity by allocating 0.7% of its operating budget to development aid. Meyrin's development cooperation efforts are also guided by the [Commission for International Cooperation and Solidarity](#), which oversees policies related to international partnerships and solidarity initiatives.

Through partnerships with NGOs linked to the municipality, Meyrin has supported drinking water initiatives in Benin, reforestation efforts in Brazil, and women's empowerment in Burkina Faso. As advocated by Swiss NGOs, Meyrin's international commitment is further strengthened by a responsible procurement policy that incorporates social and environmental criteria, ensuring that its procurement processes contribute to broader sustainability goals.<sup>25</sup>

## ANIÈRES, A SMALL TOWN CONNECTED TO 60 INTERNATIONAL NGOS

Anières, [a municipality with an estimated population of 2,521](#) in 2023, is committed to [international solidarity](#), prioritising humanitarian aid and sustainable development through various partnerships and projects that support the Global South. The municipality aims to allocate 0.7% of its budgeted operating expenses for humanitarian aid subsidies, reflecting a broader trend in the Geneva and other Swiss cantons.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>25</sup> In 2022, Meyrin provided a total of USD 893,360 in ODA, with all funds managed indirectly through NGOs. The aid was geographically prioritised, with significant contributions to Colombia (7.85%), Mali (7.85%), Peru (7.85%), Tanzania (7.85%), and Benin (3.99%), among others. Thematically, the aid focused on social infrastructure and services (50.17%), production sectors (31.46%), and multi-sector/cross-cutting initiatives (18.37%).

<sup>26</sup> In 2022, Anières allocated a total of USD 157,116 in aid, demonstrating its commitment to international solidarity. The municipality's aid was managed entirely through NGOs, supporting a variety of projects across different sectors. Key contributions included 33.33% to the FGC for multi-sector initiatives, and 33.33% to the Swiss Red Cross for humanitarian aid in Ukraine. Other notable allocations were directed towards social infrastructure and services in countries like Ecuador (13.33%) and Thailand (4.00%), as well as production sector projects in Armenia (3.33%) and Bolivia (3.33%).

The local government opens an annual call for NGOs to submit their humanitarian proposals. Additionally, Geneva municipalities like Asnières can use the capacities of the Fédération Genevoise de Coopération (FGC). The [partnership between Geneva cities and FGC](#) plays a crucial role in advancing international solidarity and development. The FGC, which includes nearly 60 international NGOs based in Geneva, collaborates with cities channelling their aid through member organisations. The FGC supports a wide range of projects focused on development, knowledge-sharing, and public information, aligning with the SDG. By leveraging the expertise and networks of its member organisations, the FGC enhances the effective engagement of municipalities in Geneva in Geneva in global challenges and SDGs.

# CONCLUSIONS

Decentralised aid, both local and regional, continues to grow. In 2022, DODA reached a historic peak of USD 3,534 million, driven by refugee assistance in donor cities and regions. Beyond yearly variations, this report shows that decentralised cooperation is sustained by the longstanding commitment of **650 cities** and **117 supra-municipal** entities, as well as **227 regional entities**. This study focused on donor cities and found that their aid anchors development finance and UN commitments to the territories of donor countries, connecting to citizens and civic organisations, and providing a political backbone to the development finance agenda. While regional donors often manage higher budgets and have greater capacity to establish transnational relations, local aid further enhances the capillarity of development cooperation with four times more donor agencies than regional aid.

Donor cities are highly concentrated in Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland, but they **spread across 12 countries**. These cities tend to share some commonalities that demonstrate their added value to development finance:

- Local aid policies emerged from the late 1980s onwards due to a combination of grassroots activism, public demonstrations, and a growing demand for global solidarity. These initiatives were often driven by social movements advocating for increased solidarity and compliance with international development commitments.
- The 0.7% target has been highly important in the narrative of local aid. It has served both as a criterion for allocating local budgetary resources and as a symbolic commitment, aligning cities and citizens with this UN commitment.
- Over the decades, local activism has evolved into clear and formal policies, programmes, regulations, and dedicated teams to manage the provision of ODA grants.
- Cities' ODA grants are generally channelled through NGOs that are grounded in or connected to the cities, often finding synergies with other cooperation modalities, such as direct cooperation, with a much smaller weight.
- Local aid programmes are presented as part of broader commitments that emphasise the global-local connection. They cover a wide array of actions, including climate action, responsible procurement, multicultural relations, awareness-raising, and diversity promotion. Cities integrate these initiatives into their local policies, aligning them with global sustainability goals and fostering a sense of shared responsibility and global citizenship.
- The Ukraine crisis has driven a 16% increase in decentralised aid, highlighting the importance of refugee support in local aid. This finding underscores the role played by 'refuge cities' since 2015, as recognised by scholars and international organisations.

Yet, the financial dimension of cities' international solidarity has not attracted much attention. The concept of 'donor cities' should be introduced into the global conversation about cities' actual and potential contributions to development. This concept encapsulates the financial implications of cities' multifaceted roles as technical partners, awareness-raising platforms, and refuge cities, as well as their support to civic networks and partnerships that connect local and global communities. Recognising cities as donors will contribute to localising and sustaining development finance commitments.

# REFERENCES

- Becker, G. (2018). Climate Change Education for Sustainable Development in Urban Educational Landscapes and Learning Cities. Experiences Perspectives from Osnabrück. In: Azeiteiro, U., AKERMAN, M., Leal Filho, W., Setti, A., Brandli, L. (eds) Lifelong Learning and Education in Healthy and Sustainable Cities. World Sustainability Series. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69474-0\\_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69474-0_26)
- CONFOCOS (2001) Municipalism and Solidarity. Confederation of Cooperation and Solidarity Funds. Barcelona: ABC Disseny. [https://dhs.hegoa.ehu.es/uploads/resources/4660/resource\\_files/municipalismo\\_y\\_solidaridad.pdf](https://dhs.hegoa.ehu.es/uploads/resources/4660/resource_files/municipalismo_y_solidaridad.pdf)
- Fernández de Losada, A. (2024) 'The value of decentralized cooperation', *CIDOB Opinion*. Available at: [https://www.cidob.org/sites/default/files/2024-07/712\\_OPINION\\_AGUSTI%20FERNANDEZ%20DE%20LOSADA\\_ANG.pdf](https://www.cidob.org/sites/default/files/2024-07/712_OPINION_AGUSTI%20FERNANDEZ%20DE%20LOSADA_ANG.pdf).
- García Agustín, Ó. and Bak Jørgensen, M. (2018) 'Institutional Solidarity: Barcelona as Refuge City', in *Solidarity and the 'Refugee Crisis' in Europe*. Springer, pp. 97–117. Available at: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-91848-8\\_5](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-91848-8_5)
- Hafteck, P. (2003) 'An introduction to Decentralized Cooperation: definitions, origins and conceptual mapping', *Public Administration and Development*, 23(4). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.286>.
- Kania, M. (2020) 'Sub-state Governments as Rising Stakeholders in Development Cooperation. The Added Value of Regional Governments in the Light of Debates on Effectiveness in Development', *The European Journal of Development Research*, 33, pp. 604–625. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/s41287-020-00286-9>.
- Mazza, P. (2021). Education & Smart Cities: The Role of the Goals of Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development of Smart Cities. *International Journal of Innovative Studies in Sociology and Humanities*, Volume 6, Issue 2, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20431/2456-4931.0602003>
- Morgan, A. D. (2009). Learning communities, cities and regions for sustainable development and global citizenship. *Local Environment*, 14(5), 443–459. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549830902903773>
- Muggah, R. and A. Erthal Abdenur (2018). Refugees and the City: The Twenty-first-century Front Line. [online] World Refugee Council Available at: <https://www.worldrefugeecouncil.org/publications/refugees-and-city-twenty-first-century-front-line> [Accessed 21 Oct. 2024].
- OECD (2018), *Reshaping Decentralised Development Co-operation: The Key Role of Cities and Regions for the 2030 Agenda*, OECD Publishing, Paris. Available at: [https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/reshaping-decentralised-development-co-operation\\_9789264302914-en.html](https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/reshaping-decentralised-development-co-operation_9789264302914-en.html).
- OECD (2019), *Unlocking the Potential of Decentralised Development Co-operation*, OECD Publishing, Paris. Available at: [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/urban-rural-and-regional-development/unlocking-the-potential-of-decentralised-development-co-operation\\_3cb22851-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/urban-rural-and-regional-development/unlocking-the-potential-of-decentralised-development-co-operation_3cb22851-en).
- OECD (2023a), "Decentralised development co-operation: A global policy toolkit and guidance for practitioners", *OECD Regional Development Papers*, No. 46, OECD Publishing, Paris.. Available at: [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/urban-rural-and-regional-development/decentralised-development-co-operation\\_3cb22851-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/urban-rural-and-regional-development/decentralised-development-co-operation_3cb22851-en).
- OECD (2023b). *Development finance statistics: Resources for reporting*. [pdf] Available at: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/9789264062689-14-en.pdf?expires=1734537812&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=F5A7F61DBA490B06EBC5A81E15755007>
- OECD (2024). *Creditor Reporting System*. [online] Available at: [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/development/data/creditor-reporting-system\\_dev-cred-data-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/development/data/creditor-reporting-system_dev-cred-data-en)
- Oliver, C., Dekker, R., Geuijen, K. et al. (2020). Innovative Strategies for the Reception of Asylum Seekers and Refugees in European Cities. *Comparative Migration Studies* [online] Available at: <https://comparativemigrationstudies.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40878-020-00189-y> [Accessed 21 Oct. 2024].
- Sánchez Cano, Javier (2024). Regional ODA in Spain: examining the possibilities of linking it to the Regional Gross National Income. *Comunicación al VII Congreso Internacional de Estudios de Desarrollo (CIED)*.
- Taran, P., Neves de Lima, G. and Kadyshcheva, O. (2016) *Cities welcoming refugees and migrants: Enhancing effective urban governance in an age of migration*. UNESCO. Available at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000246558>.

- Generalitat de Catalunya / Universitat Oberta de Catalunya Taran, P., Neves de Lima, G., & Kadysheva, O. (2016). Cities welcoming refugees and migrants: Enhancing effective urban governance in an age of migration. UNESCO. Available at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000246558>
- Riegner, M. (2021). "Chapter 20: Development cooperation and the city". In Research Handbook on International Law and Cities. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. Retrieved Oct 24, 2024, from <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788973281.00028>
- Singh, D. (2022). Linking Sustainability of Smart Cities to Education and Health: A Broad Study of Smart City Mission, India. In: Mishra, R.K., Kumari, C.L., Chachra, S., Krishna, P.S.J., Dubey, A., Singh, R.B. (eds) Smart Cities for Sustainable Development. Advances in Geographical and Environmental Sciences. Springer, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7410-5\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7410-5_9)
- UNICEF (2021). Refugee and Migrant Crisis in Europe: Humanitarian Situation Report No.40. [online] Available at: [https://www.unicef.org/media/104191/file/Refugee and Migrant Crisis in Europe Humanitarian Situation Report No.40, 30 June 2021.pdf](https://www.unicef.org/media/104191/file/Refugee%20and%20Migrant%20Crisis%20in%20Europe%20Humanitarian%20Situation%20Report%20No.40,%2030%20June%202021.pdf) [Accessed 21 Oct. 2024].

# COUNTRY PROFILES

# SPAIN

## 436

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development  
Assistance (DODA)


## 19.4%

of bilateral ODA


## 7,694

Actions implemented in 2022

### EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



### WHERE IT COMES FROM




## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


## COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY




### CHANNEL




### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES




### ALLOCATED TO



|                          | M.USD |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Guatemala                | 25.9  |
| Bolivia                  | 22.2  |
| El Salvador              | 21.4  |
| Colombia                 | 20.1  |
| Peru                     | 15.8  |
| Senegal                  | 15.1  |
| West Bank and Gaza Strip | 13.2  |
| Morocco                  | 12.1  |
| Ecuador                  | 8.6   |
| Mali                     | 8.1   |
| Mozambique               | 7.4   |
| Honduras                 | 7.0   |
| Nicaragua                | 6.8   |
| Ukraine                  | 6.8   |
| DR Congo                 | 6.1   |

### SDG

**100%** of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



**54%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

## SPAIN

| MAIN DONORS         | M.USD | % <sup>1</sup> |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| Catalonia           | 106.1 | 24.3%          |
| Valencian community | 64.1  | 14.7%          |
| Basque Country      | 57.1  | 13.1%          |
| Andalusia           | 21.0  | 4.8%           |
| Navarre             | 15.7  | 3.6%           |
| Extremadura         | 12.3  | 2.8%           |
| Galicia             | 8.7   | 2.0%           |
| Asturias            | 6.7   | 1.5%           |
| Balearic Islands    | 6.5   | 1.5%           |
| Aragon              | 6.1   | 1.4%           |
| Madrid Community    | 5.0   | 1.1%           |
| Castile and Leon    | 4.5   | 1.0%           |
| Canary Islands      | 3.8   | 0.9%           |
| Cantabria           | 3.3   | 0.7%           |
| Castile-La Mancha   | 3.2   | 0.7%           |
| La Rioja            | 3.0   | 0.7%           |
| Murcia Region       | 0.8   | 0.2%           |

<sup>1</sup> % of country's DODA

## CATALONIA

**106.1** USD | **3.0%** of total | **303** actions implemented

2018: 37 | 2019: 30 | 2020: 52 | 2021: 55 | 2022: 106

### BY CATEGORY

40%

60%

#### INTERNATIONAL

22% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries)

18% Direct cooperation

#### IN-DONOR COUNTRY

Global education 10%

Refugees 45%

Students 0%

Administrative costs 5%

#### BY RECIPIENT

UNSPECIFIED | 72%

MENA | 11%

LATIN AMERICA | 7%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA | 5%

EUROPE | 3%

ASIA AND PACIFIC | 0.3%

MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES: Colombia, Senegal, Guatemala, Mozambique, Ukraine.

#### BY SECTOR

HUMANITARIAN AID | 52%

SOCIAL SERVICES | 30%

OTHER | 14%

MULTISECTOR | 2%

PRODUCTIVE SECTORS | 1%

ECONOMIC SERVICES | 1%

BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR: Education (2%), health (12%), water and sanitation (0.4%), government and civil society (14%), other (0.2%)

### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)

**21%** channeled through NGOs

**64%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

## VALENCIAN COMMUNITY

**64.1** USD | **1.8%** of total | **302** actions implemented

2018: 23 | 2019: 32 | 2020: 37 | 2021: 54 | 2022: 64

### BY CATEGORY

94%

6%

#### INTERNATIONAL

93% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries)

1% Direct cooperation

#### IN-DONOR COUNTRY

Global education 6%

Refugees 0.1%

Students 0%

Administrative costs 0%

#### BY RECIPIENT

LATIN AMERICA | 60%

MENA | 14%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA | 13%

UNSPECIFIED | 6%

ASIA AND PACIFIC | 4%

EUROPE | 3%

MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES: Bolivia, Guatemala, El Salvador, Colombia, Peru.

#### BY SECTOR

SOCIAL SERVICES | 61%

PRODUCTIVE SECTORS | 14%

HUMANITARIAN AID | 13%

MULTISECTOR | 7%

OTHER | 6%

ECONOMIC SERVICES | 0%

BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR: Education (12%), health (11%), water and sanitation (2%), government and civil society (33%), other (2%)

### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)

**99%** channeled through NGOs

**81%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

## BASQUE COUNTRY

**57.1** USD | **1.6%** of total | **380** actions implemented

2018: 59 | 2019: 53 | 2020: 51 | 2021: 61 | 2022: 57

### BY CATEGORY

79%

21%

#### INTERNATIONAL

77% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries)

2% Direct cooperation

#### IN-DONOR COUNTRY

Global education 5%

Refugees 11%

Students 0%

Administrative costs 6%

#### BY RECIPIENT

LATIN AMERICA | 46%

UNSPECIFIED | 24%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA | 20%

MENA | 8%

ASIA AND PACIFIC | 2%

EUROPE | 0%

MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES: Guatemala, Colombia, El Salvador, Peru, Mexico.

#### BY SECTOR

SOCIAL SERVICES | 49%

HUMANITARIAN AID | 26%

OTHER | 11%

PRODUCTIVE SECTORS | 8%

MULTISECTOR | 6%

ECONOMIC SERVICES | 0.4%

BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR: Education (3%), health (1%), water and sanitation (2%), government and civil society (42%), other (0.4%)

### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)

**91%** channeled through NGOs

**78%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)


# BELGIUM

**152**  
USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

**11.6%**  
of bilateral ODA

**348**  
Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

**97%**

REGIONAL


**3%**

LOCAL


## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE

COUNTRY PROFILE  
2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY



### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

**1%**  
LATIN AMERICA

**23%**  
SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA


**1%**  
MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH

**1%**  
ASIA AND PACIFIC

**2%** EUROPE  
**72%** UNSPECIFIED

#### ALLOCATED TO


**9%** Fragile states  
**14%** LDCs



|              | M.USD |
|--------------|-------|
| Malawi       | 7.2   |
| Mozambique   | 6.9   |
| DR Congo     | 3.1   |
| Ukraine      | 3.0   |
| South Africa | 2.0   |
| Senegal      | 1.0   |
| Morocco      | 0.9   |
| Benin        | 0.9   |
| Burkina Faso | 0.6   |
| Rwanda       | 0.4   |
| Uganda       | 0.2   |
| Egypt        | 0.2   |
| Bolivia      | 0.2   |
| Vietnam      | 0.2   |
| Iraq         | 0.2   |

### SDG

**75%** of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



**10%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# BELGIUM

| MAIN DONORS             | M.USD | % <sup>1</sup> |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Flanders                | 123.4 | 81.2           |
| Wallonia                | 18.8  | 12.4%          |
| Brussels-Capital Region | 1.1   | 0.7%           |

# FLANDERS




## BY CATEGORY



| INTERNATIONAL  | IN-DONOR COUNTRY        |
|--|-------------------------|
| 19% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries) | Global education 0%     |
| 22% Direct cooperation                                   | Refugees 57%            |
|  | Students 1%             |
|  | Administrative costs 1% |

## BY RECIPIENT



## MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

Malawi, Mozambique, Ukraine, South Africa, Morocco.

## BY SECTOR





## BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR

Education (1%), health (21%), water and sanitation (1%), government and civil society (1%), other (0.5%)

## SDG

91% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)



# WALLONIA




## BY CATEGORY



| INTERNATIONAL  | IN-DONOR COUNTRY        |
|--|-------------------------|
| 34% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries) | Global education 1%     |
| 65% Direct cooperation                                   | Refugees 0%             |
|  | Students 0%             |
|  | Administrative costs 0% |

## BY RECIPIENT



## MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

DR Congo, Senegal, Benin, Burkina Faso, Morocco.

## BY SECTOR





## BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR


Education (2%), government and civil society (4%), other (3%)

## SDG

77% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)



# BRUSSELS-CAPITAL REGION




## BY CATEGORY



| INTERNATIONAL  | IN-DONOR COUNTRY        |
|--|-------------------------|
| 73% Indirect cooperation (NGOs and other intermediaries) | Global education 27%    |
| 0% Direct cooperation                                    | Refugees 0%             |
|  | Students 0%             |
|  | Administrative costs 0% |

## BY RECIPIENT



## MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

DR Congo, Ukraine, Morocco, Bilateral, unspecified.

## BY SECTOR






## BREAKDOWN OF SOCIAL SECTOR

health (1%), water and sanitation (1%), government and civil society (8%), other (20.3%)

## SDG

94% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions (number of actions)



<sup>1</sup> % of country's DODA

# GERMANY

**1,932**

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

**5.9%**


of bilateral ODA

**1,222**

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA

(USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

**99%**

LÄNDER

**1%**


MUNICIPALITIES

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY



### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

**6%**

LATIN AMERICA

**8%**

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

**26%**

MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH

**46%**

ASIA AND PACIFIC

**12%**


EUROPE

**2%**

UNSPECIFIED

#### ALLOCATED TO


**29%** Fragile states  
**7%** LDCs



|                      | M. USD |
|----------------------|--------|
| China                | 325.0  |
| India                | 260.2  |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 165.6  |
| Iran                 | 102.1  |
| Turkey               | 100.0  |
| Egypt                | 63.4   |
| Pakistan             | 57.0   |
| Ukraine              | 53.5   |
| Cameroon             | 53.0   |
| Tunisia              | 51.6   |
| Bangladesh           | 45.6   |
| Indonesia            | 42.4   |
| Morocco              | 40.2   |
| Vietnam              | 38.5   |
| Nigeria              | 31.7   |

### SDG

**97%** of SDG-related actions over total reported actions






**1%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

## GERMANY

| MAIN DONORS                | M.USD | % <sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------------|-------|----------------|
| North Rhineland-Westphalia | 13.5  | 0.7%           |
| Hamburg                    | 11.7  | 0.6%           |
| Bavaria                    | 10.2  | 0.5%           |
| Hesse                      | 7.6   | 0.4%           |
| Baden-Württemberg          | 6.2   | 0.3%           |
| City State of Berlin       | 2.7   | 0.1%           |
| Schleswig-Holstein         | 2.2   | 0.1%           |
| Rhineland-Palatinate       | 2.0   | 0.1%           |
| Lower-Saxony               | 1.3   | 0.1%           |
| Saxony                     | 1.2   | 0.1%           |
| City State of Bremen       | 0.7   | 0.0%           |
| Mecklenburg-West Pomerania | 0.6   | 0.0%           |
| Thuringia                  | 0.5   | 0.0%           |
| Saarland                   | 0.4   | 0.0%           |
| Saxony-Anhalt              | 0.3   | 0.0%           |
| Brandenburg                | 0.1   | 0.0%           |

<sup>1</sup> % of country's DODA



# CANADA

## 381

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development


## 5.3%

of bilateral ODA

## 407

Actions implemented in 2022

### EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



### WHERE IT COMES FROM

100%

REGIONAL

0%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


## COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY




### CHANNEL




### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES



### SDG




# FRANCE

**183**  
USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

**1.4%**  
of bilateral ODA

**2,237**  
Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

**8%**

REGIONAL

**88%**


LOCAL

**3%**


NOT IDENTIFIED

# DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


## BY CATEGORY




## CHANNEL



## SECTOR



## GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES



4% EUROPE  
73% UNSPECIFIED

### ALLOCATED TO


14% Fragile states  
13% LDCs



|              | M.USD |
|--------------|-------|
| Ukraine      | 5.9   |
| Senegal      | 3.3   |
| Burkina Faso | 3.1   |
| Madagascar   | 3.1   |
| Mali         | 2.7   |
| Guinea       | 2.1   |
| Togo         | 1.9   |
| Benin        | 1.8   |
| Morocco      | 1.5   |
| Lebanon      | 1.3   |
| Tunisia      | 1.2   |
| Kenya        | 1.2   |
| Cameroon     | 1.0   |
| Armenia      | 1.0   |
| Haiti        | 0.9   |

## SDG

**100%** of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



**39%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# CZECHIA

178

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

24.2%


of bilateral ODA

55

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA

(USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

71%

REGIONAL

29%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY




### CHANNEL



### SECTOR




### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES



0% EUROPE  
100% UNSPECIFIED

### ALLOCATED TO

0% Fragile states  
0% LDCs



M.USD  
Ukraine 0.1

### SDG

45% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



0% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# AUSTRIA

159

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development


14.5%

of bilateral ODA

438

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

99%


REGIONAL

1%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


### BY CATEGORY




### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES



2% EUROPE  
94% UNSPECIFIED

#### ALLOCATED TO


- 2% Fragile states
- 2% LDCs



| Country      | M.USD |
|--------------|-------|
| Ukraine      | 2.5   |
| Burkina Faso | 0.7   |
| Albania      | 0.5   |
| Tanzania     | 0.5   |
| Uganda       | 0.5   |
| India        | 0.4   |
| Ethiopia     | 0.3   |
| Ghana        | 0.2   |
| Nepal        | 0.2   |
| Kenya        | 0.2   |
| Bolivia      | 0.2   |
| DR Congo     | 0.2   |
| Iraq         | 0.2   |
| Armenia      | 0.1   |
| Brazil       | 0.1   |

### SDG

93% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



3% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# SWITZERLAND

60

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development


1.6%

of bilateral ODA

2,105

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

59%

REGIONAL


41%

LOCAL

# DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE

COUNTRY PROFILE  
2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)

## BY CATEGORY




## CHANNEL

90%

Channeled through NGOs

- 6% Donor government
- 3% Recipient government
- 0.3% Multilateral
- 1% Private sector

## SECTOR



## GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

12%

LATIN AMERICA

33%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

5%

MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH

10%


ASIA AND PACIFIC

12% EUROPE

30% UNSPECIFIED

## ALLOCATED TO

- 37% Fragile states
- 34% LDCs




| Country      | M.USD |
|--------------|-------|
| Ukraine      | 6.1   |
| Burkina Faso | 2.1   |
| Madagascar   | 1.5   |
| Colombia     | 1.2   |
| Tanzania     | 1.2   |
| Nepal        | 1.1   |
| Haiti        | 1.0   |
| Benin        | 1.0   |
| Senegal      | 0.9   |
| Kenya        | 0.9   |
| DR Congo     | 0.9   |
| Niger        | 0.8   |
| Ethiopia     | 0.8   |
| India        | 0.8   |
| Mali         | 0.8   |

## SDG

99%

of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



14%

Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)


# UNITED KINGDOM

**22**  
USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

**0.2%**  
of bilateral ODA

**61**  
Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM


**89%**  
SCOTLAND

**11%**  
WELSH ASSEMBLY


# DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE

COUNTRY PROFILE  
2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


## BY CATEGORY




## CHANNEL



## SECTOR



## GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES




**23%**  
EUROPE

**23%**  
UNSPECIFIED

## ALLOCATED TO


**12%**  
Fragile states

**37%**  
LDCs



## SDG

**100%** of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



**22%** Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# ITALY

13

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development


0.4%

of bilateral ODA

892

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

75%


REGIONAL

25%


LOCAL

# DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


## BY CATEGORY



## CHANNEL



## SECTOR



## GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

9%

LATIN AMERICA

39%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

20%

MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH


21%

ASIA AND PACIFIC

9% EUROPE

2% UNSPECIFIED


### ALLOCATED TO



| Country                  | M.USD |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Iran                     | 0.9   |
| Kenya                    | 0.8   |
| Afghanistan              | 0.6   |
| Tanzania                 | 0.5   |
| India                    | 0.5   |
| Uganda                   | 0.5   |
| Ethiopia                 | 0.4   |
| Ukraine                  | 0.4   |
| West Bank and Gaza Strip | 0.4   |
| Mozambique               | 0.4   |
| Lebanon                  | 0.4   |
| Kazakhstan               | 0.4   |
| Tunisia                  | 0.4   |
| Senegal                  | 0.3   |
| Benin                    | 0.3   |

## SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



20% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# LITHUANIA

8

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

5.7%


of bilateral ODA

146

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA

(USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

34%

REGIONAL

66%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY




### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES




42% EUROPE  
60% UNSPECIFIED

#### ALLOCATED TO


0% Fragile states  
0% LDCs

M.USD  
Ukraine 3.4



### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



5% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# JAPAN

5

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development

0.03%


of bilateral ODA

106

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA

(USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

69%

REGIONAL

31%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


## COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY



### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

6%

LATIN AMERICA

0%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

0%

MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH

5%


ASIA AND PACIFIC

10% EUROPE

75% UNSPECIFIED


### ALLOCATED TO

2% Fragile states  
2% LDCs



### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



0% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# PORTUGAL

4

USD Million  
2022 Decentralised  
Official Development


1.6%

of bilateral ODA

104

Actions implemented in 2022

## EVOLUTION OF DODA (USD Million)



## WHERE IT COMES FROM

0%

REGIONAL

100%


LOCAL

## DECENTRALISED AID AT A GLANCE


COUNTRY PROFILE

2022 CRS data (OECD, 2024a)


### BY CATEGORY



### CHANNEL



### SECTOR



### GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND MAIN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES

0%

LATIN AMERICA

14%

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

0%

MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH

1%


ASIA AND PACIFIC

1% EUROPE

86% UNSPECIFIED

#### ALLOCATED TO


3% Fragile states  
9% LDCs



| Country               | M.USD  |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Sao Tome and Principe | 0.2    |
| Cape Verde            | 0.2    |
| Guinea-Bissau         | 0.07   |
| Ukraine               | 0.05   |
| Mozambique            | 0.05   |
| India                 | 0.02   |
| Nepal                 | 0.01   |
| Brazil                | 0.01   |
| Bangladesh            | 0.003  |
| Moldova               | 0.002  |
| Thailand              | 0.001  |
| Angola                | 0.0004 |
| Venezuela             | 0.0003 |
| China                 | 0.0001 |

### SDG

100% of SDG-related actions over total reported actions



0% Of aid focuses on gender equality as a central or cross-cutting objective (marker 1 and 2)

# ANNEXES

# ANNEX I. METHODOLOGY

The main concepts and indicators used in this report are defined below, with a description of their sources and processes.

## Decentralised cooperation

The term decentralised cooperation refers to the various forms of subnational government involvement in international cooperation that vary according to the role of these governments as funders, channelers, or recipients of development aid, among other factors. This report focuses on decentralised cooperation that involves disbursements of ODA by a subnational government, that is, DODA.

## Decentralised Official Development Assistance (DODA)

This report consolidates DODA actions as ODA activities financed by subnational budgets. It is calculated based on aid activities recorded in the CRS database managed by the OECD Development Directorate General, which is fostered by all OECD DAC countries and accessible to the public via the internet. To identify DODA, the CRS agency name field has been used, which provides information on the administration in charge of granting aid within each country. The DAC itself provides a series of master tables classifying the different agencies into six categories that include local governments.

## Local and regional donors

This term is used to refer to subnational governments that are DODA donors in line with the terminology of OECD databases. The mapping of donor cities involved breaking down ODA allocations recorded by the OECD. This was done by using textual information contained in the descriptive fields of the CRS, utilizing national databases available on the internet, or, as a last resort, requesting the data from the relevant services of the ministries of foreign affairs. The collaboration of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, European Union and Cooperation of Spain and its Permanent Representation to the OECD was of great help in this regard.

Then data on cities aid was connected to geographic data and population size in Excel, which provides data from national statistics institutes gathered via Bing. Geographic data was used for visualization supported with Flourish, and population data was used to provide per-capita DODA rankings in countries' infographics and annexes on donor cities, which are the focus on this study.

## Case selection and qualitative analysis

Based on this mapping, the four countries with the most donor cities - Belgium, France, Spain, and Switzerland - were selected for a qualitative study to understand the origins, motives, and functioning of local ODA programmes. Subsequently, a series of interviews were conducted with privileged observers of donor cities and their aid programmes, who, due to their positions in central governments or state NGO platforms, had an overview of municipal ODA and its history. Through these interviews, three cities of different sizes were identified in each country, and the available documents on their municipal websites regarding their aid policies and programmes were analysed, including strategies, regulations, grant calls, and communication materials. Finally, interviews were conducted with representatives of the selected cities. This information was triangulated with OECD microdata and related to each city through national databases.

## Categories of DODA

The categorisation of DODA in this report is based on the CRS fields type of aid and channel (OECD 2023b). Through the aid type field, the first division of DODA is created, distinguishing ODA, which involves an effective transfer of financial resources from developed to least developed countries (international aid) to aid that is used in the donor agency's own territory (in-country aid).


International and in-country aid is composed of the following types of CRS aid as defined by the OECD DAC:

| Category                | CODE      | AID Type  |
|-------------------------|-----------|---|
| <b>International</b>    | <b>A*</b> | Budget support  |
|                         | <b>B*</b> | Core contributions and pooled programmes and funds                    |
|                         | <b>C*</b> | Project-type interventions  |
|                         | <b>D*</b> | Experts and other technical assistance                                |
| <b>In-donor country</b> | <b>E*</b> | Scholarships and student costs in donor countries                     |
|                         | <b>F*</b> | Debt relief   |
|                         | <b>G*</b> | Administrative costs not included elsewhere                           |
|                         | <b>H*</b> | Other in-donor expenditures (included Refugees and awareness raising) |

In turn, these broad categories are divided into subcategories, according to the combination of the type of aid and channel fields, thus allowing ODA to be broken down into concepts that are relevant to decentralised cooperation, which are presented in the following table.

| Category         | Subcategory               | Type of aid      | Channel                                |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| International    | NGOs (and other partners) | A*,B*,C*, D*, D* | NGO, Multilateral                      |
|                  | Direct Cooperation        | A*,B*,C*, D*, D* | Donor government, Recipient government |
|                  | • Technical               | D*               | Donor government, Recipient government |
|                  | • Projects                | A*,B*,C*         | Donor government, Recipient government |
| In-donor country | Students                  | E*               | All                                    |
|                  | Refugees                  | H02 - H05        | All                                    |
|                  | Global education          | H01              | All                                    |
|                  | Administrative costs      | G01              | All                                    |
|                  | Other                     | F01              | All                                    |

As in most ODA studies, this report relies on fields from the CRS database to characterise decentralised cooperation. These fields are country and geographical area of destination, sector, and channel. For this report, a series of groups have been made within these fields in order to facilitate the interpretation of the data. The following tables show examples of how some groups have been made:



### Other general characteristics of DODA

As in most ODA studies, this report is based on country and sector fields. Due to its extension, the country field has been grouped into regions that do not necessarily coincide with those of the OECD (Africa, America, Asia and Pacific, Europe, and MENA) and other sectors. Similarly, the sector field is presented and grouped according to OECD macro-sectors in all cases except for the social macro-sector, which is broken down due to its interest in decentralised cooperation.

### CSOs and NGOs

In this study, the term civil society or organised civil society is used in OECD terms (2012) as "the multitude of associations around which society organises itself voluntarily and which represent a wide range of interests and linkages. CSOs can be defined to include all non-state and non-market organisations (outside the family) in which people organise themselves to pursue shared interests in the public domain. Cases include community organisations and village associations, environmental groups, women's rights groups, farmers' associations, religious organisations, trade unions, cooperatives, professional associations, chambers of commerce, independent research institutes, and non-profit media."

When operationalising this concept for the ODA study, the term CSOs is equated with NGOs as identified in the CRS database, under the channel field. This way, some CSOs linked to the private sector or academia, which have different channel codes where the state and market organisations are mixed, may be excluded from the analysis.

## ANNEX II. DODA BY COUNTRY, DONOR AGENCY AND CATEGORY, 2022

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |             | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |            | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA   |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
|                             | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %           | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %          | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %           | M USD           |
| <b>GERMANY</b>              | <b>9.66</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>33.92</b>    | <b>2%</b>  | <b>43.58</b>             | <b>2%</b>  | <b>1,875.15</b> | <b>97%</b>  |                 |            | <b>11.41</b>     | <b>1%</b>  | <b>2.31</b>           | <b>0%</b> | <b>1,888.87</b>               | <b>98%</b>  | <b>1,932.45</b> |
| <b>Identified:</b>          | <b>9.66</b>     | <b>16%</b> | <b>33.92</b>    | <b>55%</b> | <b>43.58</b>             | <b>71%</b> | <b>3.82</b>     | <b>6%</b>   |                 |            | <b>11.41</b>     | <b>19%</b> | <b>2.31</b>           | <b>4%</b> | <b>17.54</b>                  | <b>29%</b>  | <b>61.12</b>    |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>             | <b>9.12</b>     | <b>20%</b> | <b>23.40</b>    | <b>51%</b> | <b>32.52</b>             | <b>71%</b> | <b>3.15</b>     | <b>7%</b>   |                 |            | <b>8.19</b>      | <b>18%</b> | <b>2.11</b>           | <b>5%</b> | <b>13.45</b>                  | <b>29%</b>  | <b>45.97</b>    |
| North Rhineland-Westphalia  | 1.761           | 13%        | 5.258           | 39%        | 7.020                    | 52%        | 0.591           | 4%          |                 |            | 4.861            | 36%        | 0.985                 | 7%        | 6.438                         | 48%         | <b>13.458</b>   |
| Bavaria                     | 3.332           | 33%        | 5.535           | 54%        | 8.867                    | 87%        | 1.229           | 12%         |                 |            |                  |            | 0.107                 | 1%        | 1.336                         | 13%         | <b>10.203</b>   |
| Hesse                       | 0.475           | 6%         | 6.326           | 84%        | 6.801                    | 90%        | 0.364           | 5%          |                 |            | 0.411            | 5%         |                       |           | 0.774                         | 10%         | <b>7.575</b>    |
| Baden-Württemberg           | 0.155           | 3%         | 4.602           | 75%        | 4.757                    | 77%        | 0.380           | 6%          |                 |            | 1.017            | 17%        |                       |           | 1.397                         | 23%         | <b>6.154</b>    |
| Schleswig-Holstein          | 0.739           | 34%        | 0.653           | 30%        | 1.392                    | 63%        | 0.033           | 2%          |                 |            | 0.752            | 34%        | 0.030                 | 1%        | 0.815                         | 37%         | <b>2.207</b>    |
| Rhineland-Palatinate        | 1.352           | 66%        | 0.064           | 3%         | 1.416                    | 69%        |                 |             |                 |            | 0.101            | 5%         | 0.528                 | 26%       | 0.629                         | 31%         | <b>2.045</b>    |
| Lower-Saxony                | 0.244           | 19%        | 0.413           | 32%        | 0.657                    | 50%        | 0.142           | 11%         |                 |            | 0.056            | 4%         | 0.454                 | 35%       | 0.653                         | 50%         | <b>1.310</b>    |
| Saxony                      | 0.729           | 63%        | 0.313           | 27%        | 1.042                    | 89%        | 0.124           | 11%         |                 |            |                  |            |                       |           | 0.124                         | 11%         | <b>1.165</b>    |
| Mecklenburg-West Pomerania  | 0.051           | 9%         | 0.005           | 1%         | 0.056                    | 9%         |                 |             |                 |            | 0.537            | 91%        |                       |           | 0.537                         | 91%         | <b>0.593</b>    |
| Thuringia                   | 0.027           | 6%         | 0.185           | 39%        | 0.212                    | 44%        | 0.260           | 54%         |                 |            | 0.005            | 1%         |                       |           | 0.265                         | 56%         | <b>0.477</b>    |
| Saarland                    | 0.112           | 30%        | 0.017           | 4%         | 0.128                    | 34%        |                 |             |                 |            | 0.245            | 65%        | 0.004                 | 1%        | 0.249                         | 66%         | <b>0.377</b>    |
| Saxony-Anhalt               | 0.039           | 13%        | 0.025           | 8%         | 0.064                    | 22%        | 0.032           | 11%         |                 |            | 0.202            | 68%        |                       |           | 0.233                         | 78%         | <b>0.297</b>    |
| Brandenburg                 | 0.105           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.105                    | 100%       |                 |             |                 |            |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.105</b>    |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>0.54</b>     | <b>4%</b>  | <b>10.52</b>    | <b>69%</b> | <b>11.06</b>             | <b>73%</b> | <b>0.66</b>     | <b>4%</b>   |                 |            | <b>3.23</b>      | <b>21%</b> | <b>0.20</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>4.09</b>                   | <b>27%</b>  | <b>15.15</b>    |
| Hamburg                     | 0.259           | 2%         | 10.363          | 88%        | 10.621                   | 90%        | 0.538           | 5%          |                 |            | 0.583            | 5%         |                       |           | 1.120                         | 10%         | <b>11.742</b>   |
| Berlin                      | 0.206           | 8%         | 0.064           | 2%         | 0.270                    | 10%        |                 |             |                 |            | 2.216            | 83%        | 0.198                 | 7%        | 2.414                         | 90%         | <b>2.685</b>    |
| Bremen                      | 0.074           | 10%        | 0.096           | 13%        | 0.170                    | 24%        | 0.124           | 17%         |                 |            | 0.429            | 59%        |                       |           | 0.553                         | 76%         | <b>0.723</b>    |
| <b>Not identified:</b>      |                 |            |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>  | <b>1,871.33</b> | <b>100%</b> |                 |            |                  |            |                       |           | <b>1,871.33</b>               | <b>100%</b> | <b>1,871.33</b> |
| German Länder               |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         | 1,871.329       | 100%        |                 |            |                  |            |                       |           | 1,871.329                     | 100%        | <b>1,871.33</b> |
| <b>SPAIN</b>                | <b>291.09</b>   | <b>67%</b> | <b>30.40</b>    | <b>7%</b>  | <b>321.49</b>            | <b>74%</b> | <b>4.24</b>     | <b>1%</b>   | <b>56.59</b>    | <b>13%</b> | <b>30.85</b>     | <b>7%</b>  | <b>22.91</b>          | <b>5%</b> | <b>114.59</b>                 | <b>26%</b>  | <b>436.08</b>   |
| <b>Identified:</b>          | <b>278.68</b>   | <b>66%</b> | <b>30.40</b>    | <b>7%</b>  | <b>309.08</b>            | <b>73%</b> | <b>4.24</b>     | <b>1%</b>   | <b>56.59</b>    | <b>13%</b> | <b>30.85</b>     | <b>7%</b>  | <b>22.91</b>          | <b>5%</b> | <b>114.59</b>                 | <b>27%</b>  | <b>423.67</b>   |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>             | <b>209.08</b>   | <b>61%</b> | <b>28.84</b>    | <b>8%</b>  | <b>237.92</b>            | <b>70%</b> | <b>4.20</b>     | <b>1%</b>   | <b>55.55</b>    | <b>16%</b> | <b>23.21</b>     | <b>7%</b>  | <b>20.07</b>          | <b>6%</b> | <b>103.03</b>                 | <b>30%</b>  | <b>340.95</b>   |
| Catalonia                   | 23.478          | 22%        | 19.015          | 18%        | 42.492                   | 40%        |                 |             | 48.460          | 46%        | 10.166           | 10%        | 5.007                 | 5%        | 63.633                        | 60%         | <b>106.126</b>  |
| Valencian community         | 59.599          | 93%        | 0.859           | 1%         | 60.458                   | 94%        |                 |             | 0.084           | 0%         | 3.538            | 6%         |                       |           | 3.622                         | 6%          | <b>64.080</b>   |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |     | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |     | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %   | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD           | %   | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Euskadi                                | 43.697          | 77% | 1.170           | 2%  | 44.867                   | 79%  |                 |     | 6.109           | 11% | 2.986            | 5%  | 3.148                 | 6%  | 12.242                        | 21%  | <b>57.109</b> |
| Andalusia                              | 14.334          | 68% | 1.073           | 5%  | 15.407                   | 73%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.897            | 4%  | 4.708                 | 22% | 5.605                         | 27%  | <b>21.012</b> |
| Navarre                                | 14.762          | 94% |                 |     | 14.762                   | 94%  |                 |     | 0.047           | 0%  | 0.563            | 4%  | 0.361                 | 2%  | 0.971                         | 6%   | <b>15.734</b> |
| Extremadura                            | 8.911           | 72% | 0.368           | 3%  | 9.279                    | 75%  |                 |     |                 |     | 1.824            | 15% | 1.242                 | 10% | 3.066                         | 25%  | <b>12.345</b> |
| Galicia                                | 6.800           | 78% | 0.689           | 8%  | 7.489                    | 86%  |                 |     | 0.105           | 1%  | 0.306            | 4%  | 0.780                 | 9%  | 1.192                         | 14%  | <b>8.681</b>  |
| Asturias                               | 6.297           | 94% | 0.105           | 2%  | 6.402                    | 95%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.249            | 4%  | 0.076                 | 1%  | 0.325                         | 5%   | <b>6.727</b>  |
| Balearic Islands                       | 5.504           | 84% | 0.192           | 3%  | 5.697                    | 87%  |                 |     | 0.037           | 1%  | 0.441            | 7%  | 0.373                 | 6%  | 0.851                         | 13%  | <b>6.548</b>  |
| Aragon                                 | 5.468           | 90% | 0.126           | 2%  | 5.594                    | 92%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.504            | 8%  |                       |     | 0.504                         | 8%   | <b>6.098</b>  |
| Madrid Community                       | 3.991           | 80% | 0.408           | 8%  | 4.399                    | 88%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.157            | 3%  | 0.416                 | 8%  | 0.573                         | 12%  | <b>4.972</b>  |
| Castile and Leon                       | 3.788           | 84% | 0.053           | 1%  | 3.841                    | 85%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.484            | 11% | 0.210                 | 5%  | 0.694                         | 15%  | <b>4.535</b>  |
| Canary Islands                         | 3.778           | 98% | 0.063           | 2%  | 3.841                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>3.841</b>  |
| Cantabria                              | 2.483           | 76% | 0.057           | 2%  | 2.540                    | 78%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.162            | 5%  | 0.549                 | 17% | 0.712                         | 22%  | <b>3.252</b>  |
| Castile-La Mancha                      | 2.776           | 88% |                 |     | 2.776                    | 88%  |                 |     |                 |     | 0.100            | 3%  | 0.281                 | 9%  | 0.381                         | 12%  | <b>3.157</b>  |
| La Rioja                               | 2.540           | 85% | 0.229           | 8%  | 2.769                    | 92%  |                 |     | 0.033           | 1%  | 0.167            | 6%  | 0.029                 | 1%  | 0.229                         | 8%   | <b>2.998</b>  |
| Universitat d'Alacant                  |                 |     | 1.148           | 67% | 1.148                    | 67%  | 0.064           | 4%  | 0.152           | 9%  | 0.008            | 0%  | 0.349                 | 20% | 0.573                         | 33%  | <b>1.721</b>  |
| Universidad de Granada                 |                 |     | 0.121           | 10% | 0.121                    | 10%  | 0.358           | 31% |                 |     | 0.000            | 0%  | 0.678                 | 59% | 1.036                         | 90%  | <b>1.158</b>  |
| Universitat de Girona                  |                 |     | 0.103           | 9%  | 0.103                    | 9%   | 0.496           | 44% | 0.014           | 1%  | 0.185            | 16% | 0.335                 | 30% | 1.030                         | 91%  | <b>1.132</b>  |
| Universidad Carlos III de Madrid       |                 |     | 0.181           | 16% | 0.181                    | 16%  | 0.425           | 38% | 0.364           | 33% | 0.002            | 0%  | 0.146                 | 13% | 0.937                         | 84%  | <b>1.118</b>  |
| Universitat de València                |                 |     | 0.035           | 3%  | 0.035                    | 3%   | 0.677           | 67% |                 |     | 0.102            | 10% | 0.200                 | 20% | 0.979                         | 97%  | <b>1.014</b>  |
| Murcia Region                          | 0.798           | 95% | 0.046           | 5%  | 0.845                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.845</b>  |
| Universidad Politécnica de Madrid      |                 |     | 0.658           | 79% | 0.658                    | 79%  | 0.113           | 14% |                 |     | 0.006            | 1%  | 0.059                 | 7%  | 0.178                         | 21%  | <b>0.836</b>  |
| Universidad de Valladolid              |                 |     |                 |     | 0.000                    | 0%   | 0.513           | 89% | 0.009           | 2%  | 0.023            | 4%  | 0.032                 | 6%  | 0.577                         | 100% | <b>0.577</b>  |
| Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya   |                 |     | 0.193           | 40% | 0.193                    | 40%  | 0.067           | 14% |                 |     | 0.048            | 10% | 0.181                 | 37% | 0.295                         | 60%  | <b>0.488</b>  |
| Universidad del País Vasco             |                 |     | 0.076           | 17% | 0.076                    | 17%  | 0.091           | 21% |                 |     | 0.095            | 21% | 0.178                 | 40% | 0.364                         | 83%  | <b>0.440</b>  |
| Universidad de Cantabria               |                 |     | 0.262           | 63% | 0.262                    | 63%  | 0.150           | 36% | 0.006           | 1%  |                  |     |                       |     | 0.156                         | 37%  | <b>0.418</b>  |
| Universidad Internacional de Andalucía |                 |     | 0.018           | 5%  | 0.018                    | 5%   | 0.249           | 73% |                 |     | 0.074            | 22% |                       |     | 0.323                         | 95%  | <b>0.341</b>  |
| Universidad Autónoma de Madrid         |                 |     | 0.087           | 25% | 0.087                    | 25%  | 0.090           | 26% |                 |     | 0.023            | 7%  | 0.141                 | 42% | 0.254                         | 75%  | <b>0.340</b>  |
| Universidad de Jaén                    |                 |     | 0.005           | 2%  | 0.005                    | 2%   | 0.295           | 96% |                 |     | 0.008            | 3%  |                       |     | 0.303                         | 98%  | <b>0.308</b>  |
| Universidad de Burgos                  |                 |     | 0.077           | 28% | 0.077                    | 28%  | 0.014           | 5%  | 0.131           | 47% | 0.002            | 1%  | 0.053                 | 19% | 0.201                         | 72%  | <b>0.278</b>  |
| Universidad de Sevilla                 |                 |     | 0.223           | 84% | 0.223                    | 84%  | 0.041           | 16% |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.041                         | 16%  | <b>0.264</b>  |
| Universitat Jaume I de Castelló        |                 |     | 0.124           | 50% | 0.124                    | 50%  | 0.018           | 7%  |                 |     |                  |     | 0.104                 | 42% | 0.122                         | 50%  | <b>0.246</b>  |
| Universitat Politècnica de València    |                 |     | 0.200           | 90% | 0.200                    | 90%  | 0.002           | 1%  |                 |     |                  |     | 0.019                 | 9%  | 0.022                         | 10%  | <b>0.222</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                                   | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |           | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|                                   | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| Universidad Miguel Hernández      |                 |            | 0.124           | 63%       | 0.124                    | 63%        |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           | 0.072                 | 37%       | 0.072                         | 37%        | <b>0.196</b>  |
| Universidad Complutense de Madrid |                 |            | 0.179           | 96%       | 0.179                    | 96%        |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           | 0.007                 | 4%        | 0.007                         | 4%         | <b>0.186</b>  |
| Universidad de Almería            |                 |            | 0.047           | 26%       | 0.047                    | 26%        | 0.069           | 37%       |                 |           |                  |           | 0.068                 | 37%       | 0.137                         | 74%        | <b>0.184</b>  |
| Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona |                 |            | 0.079           | 45%       | 0.079                    | 45%        | 0.091           | 52%       |                 |           | 0.005            | 3%        |                       |           | 0.096                         | 55%        | <b>0.175</b>  |
| Universidad Pablo de Olavide      |                 |            | 0.086           | 55%       | 0.086                    | 55%        | 0.068           | 44%       |                 |           | 0.000            | 0%        |                       |           | 0.069                         | 45%        | <b>0.154</b>  |
| Universidad de Salamanca          |                 |            | 0.063           | 45%       | 0.063                    | 45%        | 0.038           | 27%       |                 |           |                  |           | 0.039                 | 28%       | 0.077                         | 55%        | <b>0.140</b>  |
| Universidad de Oviedo             |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.029           | 22%       |                 |           |                  |           | 0.106                 | 78%       | 0.135                         | 100%       | <b>0.135</b>  |
| Universitat de les Illes Balears  |                 |            | 0.087           | 68%       | 0.087                    | 68%        | 0.005           | 4%        |                 |           | 0.029            | 23%       | 0.007                 | 5%        | 0.042                         | 32%        | <b>0.129</b>  |
| Universidad Pública de Navarra    |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.027           | 28%       |                 |           | 0.001            | 1%        | 0.068                 | 70%       | 0.097                         | 100%       | <b>0.097</b>  |
| Universidade de Vigo              |                 |            | 0.047           | 51%       | 0.047                    | 51%        | 0.036           | 39%       |                 |           | 0.009            | 10%       |                       |           | 0.045                         | 49%        | <b>0.092</b>  |
| Universidad de Alcalá de Henares  |                 |            | 0.088           | 100%      | 0.088                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.088</b>  |
| Universidad de León               |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.084           | 100%      |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.084                         | 100%       | <b>0.084</b>  |
| Universidade da Coruña            |                 |            | 0.010           | 12%       | 0.010                    | 12%        | 0.006           | 8%        |                 |           | 0.032            | 41%       | 0.031                 | 39%       | 0.070                         | 88%        | <b>0.079</b>  |
| Universidad de Zaragoza           |                 |            | 0.017           | 24%       | 0.017                    | 24%        | 0.054           | 76%       |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.054                         | 76%        | <b>0.071</b>  |
| Universitat de Lleida             |                 |            | 0.046           | 86%       | 0.046                    | 86%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.008            | 14%       |                       |           | 0.008                         | 14%        | <b>0.053</b>  |
| Universidad de La Rioja           |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.031           | 63%       |                 |           |                  |           | 0.018                 | 37%       | 0.049                         | 100%       | <b>0.049</b>  |
| Universitat Pompeu Fabra          | 0.044           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.044                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.044</b>  |
| Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha | 0.029           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.029                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.029</b>  |
| Universidad de Córdoba            |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           |                 |           | 0.002            | 100%      |                       |           | 0.002                         | 100%       | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Universidad de Huelva             |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%      | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Universidad de Extremadura        |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Universitat de Barcelona          |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b>       | <b>48.27</b>    | <b>85%</b> | <b>1.09</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>49.36</b>             | <b>87%</b> | <b>0.04</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.49</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>4.74</b>      | <b>8%</b> | <b>2.29</b>           | <b>4%</b> | <b>7.57</b>                   | <b>13%</b> | <b>56.93</b>  |
| Madrid                            | 13.564          | 92%        |                 |           | 13.564                   | 92%        |                 |           |                 |           | 1.250            | 8%        |                       |           | 1.250                         | 8%         | <b>14.814</b> |
| Barcelona                         | 6.659           | 77%        | 0.183           | 2%        | 6.842                    | 79%        |                 |           | 0.308           | 4%        | 1.039            | 12%       | 0.471                 | 5%        | 1.819                         | 21%        | <b>8.660</b>  |
| Bilbao                            | 2.988           | 87%        | 0.033           | 1%        | 3.021                    | 88%        | 0.013           | 0%        |                 |           | 0.181            | 5%        | 0.224                 | 7%        | 0.418                         | 12%        | <b>3.439</b>  |
| Vitoria-Gasteiz                   | 2.422           | 77%        | 0.211           | 7%        | 2.632                    | 84%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.128            | 4%        | 0.373                 | 12%       | 0.501                         | 16%        | <b>3.133</b>  |
| Valencia                          | 2.371           | 87%        |                 |           | 2.371                    | 87%        | 0.026           | 1%        |                 |           | 0.341            | 12%       |                       |           | 0.367                         | 13%        | <b>2.738</b>  |
| Zaragoza                          | 1.892           | 89%        |                 |           | 1.892                    | 89%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.191            | 9%        | 0.053                 | 2%        | 0.243                         | 11%        | <b>2.135</b>  |
| San Sebastián                     | 1.050           | 56%        | 0.188           | 10%       | 1.238                    | 66%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.390            | 21%       | 0.238                 | 13%       | 0.628                         | 34%        | <b>1.866</b>  |
| Córdoba                           | 1.494           | 82%        | 0.034           | 2%        | 1.527                    | 84%        |                 |           | 0.012           | 1%        | 0.278            | 15%       |                       |           | 0.289                         | 16%        | <b>1.817</b>  |
| Gijón                             | 1.587           | 97%        |                 |           | 1.587                    | 97%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.045            | 3%        |                       |           | 0.045                         | 3%         | <b>1.631</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                           | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |     | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|
|                           | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %   | M USD         |
| Pamplona                  | 1.312           | 82%  |                 |     | 1.312                    | 82%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.117            | 7%  | 0.169                 | 11% | 0.286                         | 18% | <b>1.597</b>  |
| Oviedo                    | 1.014           | 94%  |                 |     | 1.014                    | 94%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.022            | 2%  | 0.041                 | 4%  | 0.062                         | 6%  | <b>1.077</b>  |
| Málaga                    | 0.968           | 96%  |                 |     | 0.968                    | 96%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.040            | 4%  |                       |     | 0.040                         | 4%  | <b>1.007</b>  |
| Valladolid                | 0.532           | 64%  | 0.141           | 17% | 0.673                    | 80%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.016            | 2%  | 0.148                 | 18% | 0.165                         | 20% | <b>0.837</b>  |
| Sevilla                   | 0.664           | 85%  | 0.100           | 13% | 0.763                    | 97%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.021            | 3%  |                       |     | 0.021                         | 3%  | <b>0.784</b>  |
| Burgos                    | 0.748           | 100% |                 |     | 0.748                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.748</b>  |
| Albacete                  | 0.533           | 95%  |                 |     | 0.533                    | 95%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.014            | 3%  | 0.015                 | 3%  | 0.029                         | 5%  | <b>0.562</b>  |
| Terrassa                  | 0.478           | 88%  |                 |     | 0.478                    | 88%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.066            | 12% |                       |     | 0.066                         | 12% | <b>0.544</b>  |
| Tarragona                 | 0.454           | 86%  |                 |     | 0.454                    | 86%  |                 |   | 0.016           | 3%  | 0.061            | 11% |                       |     | 0.076                         | 14% | <b>0.531</b>  |
| L'Hospitalet De Llobregat | 0.268           | 51%  |                 |     | 0.268                    | 51%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.134            | 26% | 0.121                 | 23% | 0.255                         | 49% | <b>0.523</b>  |
| Castellón De La Plana     | 0.221           | 47%  |                 |     | 0.221                    | 47%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.044            | 9%  | 0.203                 | 43% | 0.247                         | 53% | <b>0.468</b>  |
| Santander                 | 0.224           | 59%  |                 |     | 0.224                    | 59%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.037            | 10% | 0.117                 | 31% | 0.155                         | 41% | <b>0.379</b>  |
| Elche                     | 0.254           | 73%  |                 |     | 0.254                    | 73%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.091            | 26% | 0.004                 | 1%  | 0.094                         | 27% | <b>0.349</b>  |
| Eivissa                   | 0.295           | 100% |                 |     | 0.295                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.295</b>  |
| Ciudad Real               | 0.282           | 100% |                 |     | 0.282                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.282</b>  |
| Eibar                     | 0.243           | 100% |                 |     | 0.243                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.243</b>  |
| Leioa                     | 0.229           | 100% |                 |     | 0.229                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.229</b>  |
| Alcobendas                | 0.222           | 100% |                 |     | 0.222                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.222</b>  |
| Avilés                    | 0.214           | 100% |                 |     | 0.214                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.214</b>  |
| Lleida                    | 0.105           | 53%  |                 |     | 0.105                    | 53%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.094            | 47% | 0.000                 | 0%  | 0.094                         | 47% | <b>0.199</b>  |
| Zarautz                   | 0.162           | 82%  |                 |     | 0.162                    | 82%  |                 |   | 0.032           | 16% | 0.003            | 2%  |                       |     | 0.035                         | 18% | <b>0.198</b>  |
| Coslada                   | 0.091           | 47%  | 0.101           | 53% | 0.192                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.192</b>  |
| Guadalajara               | 0.186           | 99%  | 0.003           | 1%  | 0.189                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.189</b>  |
| Santiago De Compostela    | 0.186           | 100% |                 |     | 0.186                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.186</b>  |
| Errenteria                | 0.175           | 100% |                 |     | 0.175                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.175</b>  |
| Granollers                | 0.137           | 78%  |                 |     | 0.137                    | 78%  |                 |   | 0.003           | 2%  | 0.017            | 10% | 0.017                 | 10% | 0.037                         | 22% | <b>0.174</b>  |
| Beasain                   | 0.173           | 100% |                 |     | 0.173                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.173</b>  |
| Teruel                    | 0.163           | 96%  |                 |     | 0.163                    | 96%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.007            | 4%  |                       |     | 0.007                         | 4%  | <b>0.170</b>  |
| Igualada                  | 0.139           | 84%  |                 |     | 0.139                    | 84%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.012            | 7%  | 0.015                 | 9%  | 0.027                         | 16% | <b>0.166</b>  |
| Murcia                    | 0.158           | 99%  |                 |     | 0.158                    | 99%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.002            | 1%  |                       |     | 0.002                         | 1%  | <b>0.160</b>  |
| Soria                     | 0.149           | 100% |                 |     | 0.149                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.149</b>  |
| Palencia                  | 0.147           | 100% |                 |     | 0.147                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.147</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                          | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |   | TOTAL<br>DODA |      |              |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|---|---------------|------|--------------|
|                          | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | % | M USD         |      |              |
| Sant Adrià De Besòs      | 0.124           | 85%  |                 |     | 0.124                    | 85%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.023                 | 15% |                               |   | 0.023         | 15%  | <b>0.147</b> |
| Castellbisbal            | 0.144           | 100% |                 |     | 0.144                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.144</b> |
| Vilanova I La Geltrú     | 0.132           | 100% |                 |     | 0.132                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.132</b> |
| Alicante                 | 0.119           | 92%  |                 |     | 0.119                    | 92%  |                 |   | 0.005           | 4%  | 0.005            | 4%  |                       |     |                               |   | 0.011         | 8%   | <b>0.129</b> |
| Mahon                    | 0.127           | 100% |                 |     | 0.127                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.127</b> |
| Miranda De Ebro          | 0.126           | 100% |                 |     | 0.126                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.126</b> |
| Santa Perpètua De Mogoda | 0.110           | 97%  | 0.003           | 3%  | 0.113                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.113</b> |
| Mataró                   | 0.111           | 100% |                 |     | 0.111                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.111</b> |
| Vilafranca Del Penedès   | 0.105           | 97%  |                 |     | 0.105                    | 97%  |                 |   | 0.003           | 3%  |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.003         | 3%   | <b>0.108</b> |
| El Prat De Llobregat     | 0.089           | 84%  |                 |     | 0.089                    | 84%  |                 |   | 0.006           | 6%  | 0.011            | 10% |                       |     |                               |   | 0.017         | 16%  | <b>0.107</b> |
| Fuenlabrada              | 0.093           | 88%  |                 |     | 0.093                    | 88%  |                 |   | 0.013           | 12% |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.013         | 12%  | <b>0.105</b> |
| Badajoz                  | 0.037           | 36%  | 0.066           | 64% | 0.102                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.102</b> |
| Cartagena                | 0.100           | 100% |                 |     | 0.100                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.100</b> |
| Ponferrada               | 0.084           | 84%  |                 |     | 0.084                    | 84%  |                 |   | 0.006           | 6%  | 0.009            | 9%  |                       |     |                               |   | 0.016         | 16%  | <b>0.100</b> |
| Getafe                   | 0.063           | 67%  |                 |     | 0.063                    | 67%  |                 |   | 0.032           | 33% |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.032         | 33%  | <b>0.095</b> |
| Burlada/Burlata          | 0.087           | 100% |                 |     | 0.087                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.087</b> |
| Santa Eulalia del Río    | 0.085           | 100% |                 |     | 0.085                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.085</b> |
| Pinto                    | 0.074           | 88%  |                 |     | 0.074                    | 88%  |                 |   | 0.011           | 12% |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.011         | 12%  | <b>0.084</b> |
| Ordizia                  | 0.082           | 100% |                 |     | 0.082                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.082</b> |
| Salamanca                | 0.079           | 100% |                 |     | 0.079                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.079</b> |
| Lebrija                  | 0.065           | 88%  |                 |     | 0.065                    | 88%  |                 |   | 0.009           | 12% |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.009         | 12%  | <b>0.074</b> |
| Zamora                   | 0.070           | 100% |                 |     | 0.070                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.070</b> |
| Palma de Mallorca        |                 |      |                 |     | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   |                 |     | 0.012            | 18% | 0.056                 | 82% |                               |   | 0.069         | 100% | <b>0.069</b> |
| Tres Cantos              | 0.045           | 70%  |                 |     | 0.045                    | 70%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.019            | 30% |                       |     |                               |   | 0.019         | 30%  | <b>0.064</b> |
| Casares                  | 0.040           | 67%  |                 |     | 0.040                    | 67%  |                 |   | 0.011           | 18% | 0.009            | 15% |                       |     |                               |   | 0.019         | 33%  | <b>0.059</b> |
| Aldaia                   | 0.058           | 100% |                 |     | 0.058                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.058</b> |
| Narón                    | 0.045           | 79%  | 0.012           | 21% | 0.056                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.056</b> |
| Montilla                 | 0.052           | 97%  | 0.002           | 3%  | 0.053                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.053</b> |
| Antequera                | 0.044           | 83%  | 0.009           | 17% | 0.053                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.053</b> |
| Zumarraga                | 0.052           | 100% |                 |     | 0.052                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.052</b> |
| Tafalla                  | 0.049           | 100% |                 |     | 0.049                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     |                               |   | 0.000         | 0%   | <b>0.049</b> |
| Artà                     | 0.040           | 81%  |                 |     | 0.040                    | 81%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.009            | 19% |                       |     |                               |   | 0.009         | 19%  | <b>0.049</b> |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |      | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------|------------------|------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|                             | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %    | M USD            | %    | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Mieres                      | 0.047           | 100% |                 |      | 0.047                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.047</b>  |
| Algemés                     | 0.031           | 65%  |                 |      | 0.031                    | 65%  |                 |   | 0.016           | 35%  |                  |      |                       |     | 0.016                         | 35%  | <b>0.047</b>  |
| Ávila                       | 0.039           | 100% |                 |      | 0.039                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.039</b>  |
| Lucena                      | 0.037           | 100% |                 |      | 0.037                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.037</b>  |
| Polinyà                     | 0.036           | 100% |                 |      | 0.036                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.036</b>  |
| Utebo                       | 0.035           | 100% |                 |      | 0.035                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.035</b>  |
| León                        | 0.023           | 100% |                 |      | 0.023                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Meliana                     | 0.023           | 100% |                 |      | 0.023                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Felanitx                    | 0.018           | 100% |                 |      | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Cambre                      | 0.018           | 100% |                 |      | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Morella                     | 0.018           | 100% |                 |      | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Las Palmas de Gran Canarias |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   |                 |      | 0.016            | 100% |                       |     | 0.016                         | 100% | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Zigoitia                    | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Algaida                     | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Pontevedra                  | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Granada                     | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Zizur Mayor                 | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Laguna De Duero             | 0.016           | 100% |                 |      | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Santa Marta De Tormes       | 0.013           | 100% |                 |      | 0.013                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Peralta                     | 0.013           | 100% |                 |      | 0.013                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Lugo                        | 0.010           | 85%  |                 |      | 0.010                    | 85%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      | 0.002                 | 15% | 0.002                         | 15%  | <b>0.012</b>  |
| Andorra                     | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Torrejón De Ardoz           | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Monzón                      | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Burriana                    | 0.009           | 100% |                 |      | 0.009                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Carreño                     | 0.009           | 100% |                 |      | 0.009                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Torreperogil                | 0.006           | 100% |                 |      | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Móstoles                    |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   | 0.006           | 100% |                  |      |                       |     | 0.006                         | 100% | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Nules                       | 0.006           | 100% |                 |      | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Esplugues De Llobregat      |                 |      | 0.006           | 100% | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Peñarroya - Pueblonuevo     | 0.006           | 100% |                 |      | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Carcabuey                   | 0.006           | 100% |                 |      | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |      |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.006</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                       | (1)      |      | (2+3)  |   | (1+2+3)       |      | (4)      |   | (5)      |      | (6)       |      | (7)            |   | (4+5+6+7)        |      | TOTAL |
|-----------------------|----------|------|--------|---|---------------|------|----------|---|----------|------|-----------|------|----------------|---|------------------|------|-------|
|                       | Indirect |      | Direct |   | International |      | Students |   | Refugees |      | Awareness |      | Administration |   | In-donor country |      | DODA  |
|                       | M USD    | %    | M USD  | % | M USD         | %    | M USD    | % | M USD    | %    | M USD     | %    | M USD          | % | M USD            | %    | M USD |
| Malpartida de Cáceres |          |      |        |   | 0.000         | 0%   |          |   |          |      | 0.005     | 100% |                |   | 0.005            | 100% | 0.005 |
| El Grado              | 0.005    | 100% |        |   | 0.005         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.005 |
| Canet De Mar          | 0.005    | 100% |        |   | 0.005         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.005 |
| El Real de la Jara    | 0.005    | 100% |        |   | 0.005         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.005 |
| Iniesta               | 0.005    | 100% |        |   | 0.005         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.005 |
| Villalarbo            |          |      |        |   | 0.000         | 0%   |          |   | 0.004    | 100% |           |      |                |   | 0.004            | 100% | 0.004 |
| Arteixo               | 0.004    | 100% |        |   | 0.004         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.004 |
| San Pedro             |          |      |        |   | 0.000         | 0%   |          |   |          |      | 0.004     | 100% |                |   | 0.004            | 100% | 0.004 |
| Ossa de Montiel       |          |      |        |   | 0.000         | 0%   |          |   |          |      | 0.003     | 100% |                |   | 0.003            | 100% | 0.003 |
| La Carlota            | 0.003    | 100% |        |   | 0.003         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.003 |
| Ames                  | 0.003    | 100% |        |   | 0.003         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.003 |
| Nigrán                | 0.003    | 100% |        |   | 0.003         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.003 |
| Riveira               | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Fene                  | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Redondela             | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Ponteareas            | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Peñamellera Baja      | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Banyeres De Mariola   | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Lena                  | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Teo                   | 0.002    | 100% |        |   | 0.002         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.002 |
| Monforte De Lemos     | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Navas de San Juan     |          |      |        |   | 0.000         | 0%   |          |   |          |      | 0.001     | 100% |                |   | 0.001            | 100% | 0.001 |
| Tomiño                | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Barco De Valdeorras   | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Laracha               | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Huéscar               | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Xove                  | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Burela                | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Porto Do Son          | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Pontedeume            | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Bergondo              | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |
| Carral                | 0.001    | 100% |        |   | 0.001         | 100% |          |   |          |      |           |      |                |   | 0.000            | 0%   | 0.001 |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                         | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |           | (6)<br>Awareness |            | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|                         | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD            | %          | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| San Cibrao Das Viñas    | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.001         |
| San Sadurniño           | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.001         |
| Arrigorriaga            | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.001         |
| Moeche                  | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.001         |
| Cabanas                 | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.001         |
| Rodeiro                 | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.000         |
| Laxe                    | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.000         |
| Castrelo De Miño        | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.000         |
| Vilasantar              | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.000         |
| Medellín                | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 0.000         |
| Jerez de la Frontera    |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Barañain                |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Sant Boi De Llobregat   |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Legazpi                 |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Portugalete             |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Alzira                  |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Sant Pere De Ribes      |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Agüimes                 |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Azuqueca de Henares     |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Segovia                 |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| Castrillón              |                 |            |                 |           | 0.000                    |            |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | 0.000         |
| <b>LOCAL (OTHER)</b>    | <b>21.33</b>    | <b>83%</b> | <b>0.47</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>21.80</b>             | <b>85%</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.55</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>2.90</b>      | <b>11%</b> | <b>0.55</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>3.99</b>                   | <b>15%</b> | <b>25.79</b>  |
| Diputación de Bizkaia   | 8.058           | 95%        |                 |           | 8.058                    | 95%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.454            | 5%         |                       |           | 0.454                         | 5%         | 8.512         |
| Diputación de Gipuzkoa  | 3.974           | 88%        |                 |           | 3.974                    | 88%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.262            | 6%         | 0.269                 | 6%        | 0.531                         | 12%        | 4.504         |
| Diputación de Valencia  | 1.285           | 83%        |                 |           | 1.285                    | 83%        |                 |           | 0.147           | 9%        | 0.125            | 8%         |                       |           | 0.272                         | 17%        | 1.557         |
| Diputación de Barcelona | 0.044           | 3%         | 0.168           | 13%       | 0.213                    | 16%        |                 |           |                 |           | 1.089            | 84%        |                       |           | 1.089                         | 84%        | 1.302         |
| Diputación de Alicante  | 1.271           | 99%        |                 |           | 1.271                    | 99%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.016            | 1%         |                       |           | 0.016                         | 1%         | 1.287         |
| Diputación de Córdoba   | 0.925           | 80%        | 0.225           | 20%       | 1.150                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | 1.150         |
| Cabildo de Tenerife     | 0.636           | 58%        | 0.068           | 6%        | 0.705                    | 64%        |                 |           | 0.165           | 15%       | 0.177            | 16%        | 0.049                 | 4%        | 0.390                         | 36%        | 1.094         |
| Diputación de Huelva    | 0.867           | 98%        |                 |           | 0.867                    | 98%        |                 |           | 0.018           | 2%        |                  |            |                       |           | 0.018                         | 2%         | 0.885         |
| Diputación de Cádiz     | 0.339           | 41%        |                 |           | 0.339                    | 41%        |                 |           | 0.053           | 6%        | 0.436            | 53%        |                       |           | 0.489                         | 59%        | 0.828         |
| Diputación de Jaén      | 0.653           | 90%        | 0.011           | 2%        | 0.664                    | 91%        |                 |           | 0.053           | 7%        | 0.011            | 1%         |                       |           | 0.063                         | 9%         | 0.727         |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |             | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| Consell de Menorca                                   | 0.381           | 60%         |                 |           | 0.381                    | 60%         |                 |           | 0.021           | 3%         | 0.170            | 27%       | 0.060                 | 10%       | 0.251                         | 40%        | <b>0.632</b>  |
| Diputación de Cáceres                                | 0.385           | 66%         |                 |           | 0.385                    | 66%         |                 |           |                 |            | 0.121            | 21%       | 0.078                 | 13%       | 0.199                         | 34%        | <b>0.584</b>  |
| Diputación de Valladolid                             | 0.529           | 92%         |                 |           | 0.529                    | 92%         |                 |           |                 |            | 0.011            | 2%        | 0.037                 | 6%        | 0.047                         | 8%         | <b>0.576</b>  |
| Diputación de Granada                                | 0.463           | 87%         |                 |           | 0.463                    | 87%         |                 |           |                 |            | 0.012            | 2%        | 0.056                 | 11%       | 0.068                         | 13%        | <b>0.531</b>  |
| Diputación de Huesca                                 | 0.253           | 97%         |                 |           | 0.253                    | 97%         |                 |           |                 |            | 0.008            | 3%        |                       |           | 0.008                         | 3%         | <b>0.262</b>  |
| Federación Navarra de Municipios y Concejos          | 0.246           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.246                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.246</b>  |
| Diputación de Palencia                               | 0.218           | 99%         |                 |           | 0.218                    | 99%         |                 |           | 0.003           | 1%         |                  |           |                       |           | 0.003                         | 1%         | <b>0.221</b>  |
| Diputación de Girona                                 | 0.209           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.209                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.209</b>  |
| Diputación de Burgos                                 | 0.184           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.184                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.184</b>  |
| Diputación de Pontevedra                             | 0.146           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.146                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.146</b>  |
| Diputación de Cuenca                                 | 0.133           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.133                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.133</b>  |
| Diputación de Tarragona                              |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 0.089           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |           | 0.089                         | 100%       | <b>0.089</b>  |
| Diputación de Teruel                                 | 0.071           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.071                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.071</b>  |
| Diputación de Lleida                                 | 0.026           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.026                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Cabildo de Fuerteventura                             | 0.013           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.013                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Diputación de Almería                                | 0.009           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.009                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Cabildo de La Palma                                  | 0.009           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.009                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Diputación de Segovia                                |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           |                 |            | 0.006            | 100%      |                       |           | 0.006                         | 100%       | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Diputación de Albacete                               |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Consell de Mallorca                                  |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Cabildo de Gran Canaria                              |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Diputación de Álava                                  |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Diputación de Badajoz                                |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Consell de Ibiza                                     |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Diputación de Málaga                                 |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Diputación de A Coruña                               |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         |            | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>                               | <b>12.41</b>    | <b>100%</b> |                 |           | <b>12.41</b>             | <b>100%</b> |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>0%</b>  | <b>12.409</b> |
| Local authorities with less than 100,000 inhabitants | 12.409          | 100%        |                 |           | 12.409                   | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>12.409</b> |
| <b>CANADA</b>  | <b>26.27</b>    | <b>7%</b>   | <b>0.41</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>26.69</b>             | <b>7%</b>   | <b>0.94</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>353.03</b>   | <b>93%</b> | <b>0.04</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.45</b>           | <b>0%</b> | <b>354.46</b>                 | <b>93%</b> | <b>381.15</b> |
| <b>Identified:</b>                                   | <b>26.27</b>    | <b>93%</b>  | <b>0.41</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>26.69</b>             | <b>95%</b>  | <b>0.94</b>     | <b>3%</b> |                 |            | <b>0.04</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.45</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>1.43</b>                   | <b>5%</b>  | <b>28.12</b>  |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                      | <b>26.27</b>    | <b>94%</b>  | <b>0.31</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>26.59</b>             | <b>95%</b>  | <b>0.94</b>     | <b>3%</b> |                 |            | <b>0.04</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.45</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>1.43</b>                   | <b>5%</b>  | <b>28.02</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |             | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |             | (6)<br>Awareness |            | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %           | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %           | M USD            | %          | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| Quebec   | 15.821          | 90%        | 0.268           | 2%          | 16.089                   | 92%         | 0.941           | 5%        |                 |             | 0.043            | 0%         | 0.445                 | 3%        | 1.429                         | 8%          | 17.518        |
| Alberta  | 8.450           | 100%       |                 |             | 8.450                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 8.450         |
| Manitoba                                       | 0.770           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.770                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.770         |
| British Columbia                               | 0.768           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.768                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.768         |
| Ontario  | 0.230           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.230                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.230         |
| Canadian provinces                             | 0.100           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.100                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.100         |
| New-Brunswick                                  |                 |            | 0.044           | 100%        | 0.044                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.044         |
| Prince Edward Island                           | 0.038           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.038                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.038         |
| Yukon  | 0.038           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.038                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.038         |
| Northwest Territories                          | 0.038           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.038                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.038         |
| Nunavut  | 0.019           | 100%       |                 |             | 0.019                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.019         |
| <b>LOCAL (OTHER)</b>                           |                 |            | <b>0.10</b>     | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.10</b>              | <b>100%</b> |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           |                               |             | <b>0.10</b>   |
| Federation of Canadian Municipalities          |                 |            | 0.102           | 100%        | 0.102                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | 0.102         |
| <b>Not identified:</b>                         |                 |            |                 |             | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>   |                 |           | <b>353.03</b>   | <b>100%</b> |                  |            |                       |           | <b>353.03</b>                 | <b>100%</b> | <b>353.03</b> |
| Canada provinces - cost of refugees (1st year) |                 |            |                 |             | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 353.031         | 100%        |                  |            |                       |           | 353.031                       | 100%        | 353.03        |
| <b>FRANCE</b>                                  | <b>34.34</b>    | <b>19%</b> | <b>14.80</b>    | <b>8%</b>   | <b>49.14</b>             | <b>27%</b>  | <b>0.55</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>118.00</b>   | <b>65%</b>  | <b>2.34</b>      | <b>1%</b>  | <b>12.65</b>          | <b>7%</b> | <b>133.54</b>                 | <b>73%</b>  | <b>182.68</b> |
| <b>Identified:</b>                             | <b>28.26</b>    | <b>16%</b> | <b>14.80</b>    | <b>8%</b>   | <b>43.06</b>             | <b>24%</b>  | <b>0.54</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>118.00</b>   | <b>67%</b>  | <b>2.32</b>      | <b>1%</b>  | <b>12.65</b>          | <b>7%</b> | <b>133.51</b>                 | <b>76%</b>  | <b>176.57</b> |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                | <b>9.11</b>     | <b>60%</b> | <b>2.97</b>     | <b>19%</b>  | <b>12.08</b>             | <b>79%</b>  | <b>0.52</b>     | <b>3%</b> | <b>0.58</b>     | <b>4%</b>   | <b>1.68</b>      | <b>11%</b> | <b>0.44</b>           | <b>3%</b> | <b>3.22</b>                   | <b>21%</b>  | <b>15.30</b>  |
| Conseil régional d'Ile-de-France               | 1.894           | 64%        | 0.807           | 27%         | 2.700                    | 91%         | 0.152           | 5%        | 0.127           | 4%          |                  |            |                       |           | 0.279                         | 9%          | 2.980         |
| Conseil régional de la Nouvelle-Aquitaine      | 1.786           | 68%        | 0.723           | 27%         | 2.509                    | 95%         | 0.114           | 4%        |                 |             | 0.007            | 0%         |                       |           | 0.121                         | 5%          | 2.630         |
| Conseil régional d'Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes        | 1.726           | 81%        | 0.131           | 6%          | 1.858                    | 87%         | 0.053           | 2%        |                 |             | 0.219            | 10%        |                       |           | 0.271                         | 13%         | 2.129         |
| Conseil régional de l'Occitanie                | 0.299           | 15%        | 0.547           | 28%         | 0.846                    | 43%         | 0.063           | 3%        |                 |             | 1.060            | 54%        |                       |           | 1.123                         | 57%         | 1.969         |
| Conseil régional du Grand Est                  | 0.460           | 39%        | 0.455           | 38%         | 0.915                    | 77%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.116            | 10%        | 0.156                 | 13%       | 0.271                         | 23%         | 1.186         |
| Conseil régional du Centre-Val de Loire        | 0.496           | 49%        | 0.081           | 8%          | 0.576                    | 57%         | 0.139           | 14%       | 0.210           | 21%         | 0.032            | 3%         | 0.054                 | 5%        | 0.435                         | 43%         | 1.012         |
| Conseil régional de Provence Alpes-Côte d'Azur | 0.808           | 84%        |                 |             | 0.808                    | 84%         |                 |           |                 |             |                  |            | 0.159                 | 16%       | 0.159                         | 16%         | 0.967         |
| Conseil régional de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté    | 0.412           | 55%        | 0.010           | 1%          | 0.422                    | 57%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.251            | 34%        | 0.070                 | 9%        | 0.321                         | 43%         | 0.743         |
| Conseil régional de Normandie                  | 0.265           | 47%        | 0.062           | 11%         | 0.327                    | 58%         |                 |           | 0.241           | 42%         |                  |            |                       |           | 0.241                         | 42%         | 0.568         |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |           | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |           | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |            | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |              | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %          | M USD                         | %            | M USD         |
| Conseil régional - Conseil régional de Hauts-de-France | 0.466           | 98%       | 0.008           | 2%        | 0.475                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |            | 0.000                         | 0%           | <b>0.475</b>  |
| Conseil régional de Bretagne                           | 0.327           | 98%       | 0.007           | 2%        | 0.334                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |            | 0.000                         | 0%           | <b>0.334</b>  |
| Conseil régional des Pays de la Loire                  | 0.173           | 55%       | 0.141           | 45%       | 0.314                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       |            | 0.000                         | 0%           | <b>0.314</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b>                            | <b>6.98</b>     | <b>8%</b> | <b>3.03</b>     | <b>3%</b> | <b>8.11</b>              | <b>9%</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>67.10</b>    | <b>77%</b> | <b>0.18</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>9.71</b>           | <b>11%</b> | <b>76.99</b>                  | <b>88%</b>   | <b>87.01</b>  |
| Paris  | 4.996           | 6%        | 0.209           | 0%        | 5.204                    | 7%        |                 |           | 65.832          | 82%        | 0.021            | 0%        | 8.929                 | 11%        | 74.781                        | 93%          | <b>79.985</b> |
| Chatelleraut   | 0.005           | 0%        | 1.003           | 97%       | 1.008                    | 98%       |                 |           | 0.021           | 2%         |                  |           |                       |            | 0.021                         | 2%           | <b>1.029</b>  |
| Lille  | 0.188           | 39%       | 0.043           | 9%        | 0.231                    | 48%       |                 |           | 0.253           | 52%        |                  |           |                       |            | 0.253                         | 52%          | <b>0.484</b>  |
| Toulouse   | 0.091           | 20%       | 0.240           | 52%       | 0.331                    | 71%       |                 |           | 0.134           | 29%        |                  |           |                       |            | 0.134                         | 29%          | <b>0.465</b>  |
| Strasbourg   | 0.056           | 12%       | 0.003           | 1%        | 0.059                    | 13%       |                 |           | 0.332           | 74%        |                  | 0.058     | 13%                   | 0.390      | 87%                           | <b>0.448</b> |               |
| Marseille  | 0.041           | 10%       | 0.278           | 66%       | 0.319                    | 76%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  | 0.100     | 24%                   | 0.100      | 24%                           | <b>0.419</b> |               |
| Nantes   | 0.176           | 54%       | 0.122           | 37%       | 0.298                    | 91%       |                 |           |                 |            | 0.030            | 9%        |                       | 0.030      | 9%                            | <b>0.327</b> |               |
| Lyon   | 0.102           | 34%       | 0.024           | 8%        | 0.126                    | 42%       |                 |           | 0.030           | 10%        |                  | 0.142     | 48%                   | 0.172      | 58%                           | <b>0.298</b> |               |
| Rennes   | 0.252           | 86%       |                 |           | 0.252                    | 86%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  | 0.041     | 14%                   | 0.041      | 14%                           | <b>0.293</b> |               |
| Poitiers   | 0.069           | 28%       | 0.005           | 2%        | 0.074                    | 30%       |                 |           | 0.165           | 67%        | 0.006            | 3%        |                       | 0.171      | 70%                           | <b>0.245</b> |               |
| Angers   |                 |           | 0.189           | 83%       | 0.189                    | 83%       |                 |           |                 |            | 0.039            | 17%       |                       | 0.039      | 17%                           | <b>0.228</b> |               |
| Bouguenais   | 0.014           | 6%        | 0.000           | 0%        | 0.014                    | 6%        |                 |           | 0.213           | 94%        |                  |           |                       | 0.213      | 94%                           | <b>0.227</b> |               |
| Cergy  | 0.057           | 26%       | 0.004           | 2%        | 0.061                    | 27%       |                 |           |                 |            | 0.007            | 3%        | 0.156                 | 70%        | 0.163                         | 73%          | <b>0.223</b>  |
| Colombes   | 0.015           | 9%        | 0.156           | 91%       | 0.171                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       | 0.000      | 0%                            | <b>0.171</b> |               |
| Thiers   |                 |           | 0.169           | 100%      | 0.169                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       | 0.000      | 0%                            | <b>0.169</b> |               |
| Melun  | 0.156           | 100%      |                 |           | 0.156                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       | 0.000      | 0%                            | <b>0.156</b> |               |
| Mantes la Jolie  | 0.031           | 22%       | 0.052           | 38%       | 0.083                    | 60%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  | 0.056     | 40%                   | 0.056      | 40%                           | <b>0.139</b> |               |
| Montreuil-Sous-Bois                                    | 0.023           | 18%       | 0.016           | 12%       | 0.039                    | 30%       |                 |           | 0.021           | 16%        | 0.003            | 3%        | 0.064                 | 50%        | 0.089                         | 70%          | <b>0.128</b>  |
| Saint-Etienne  | 0.046           | 41%       |                 |           | 0.046                    | 41%       |                 |           |                 |            | 0.009            | 8%        | 0.056                 | 50%        | 0.065                         | 59%          | <b>0.111</b>  |
| Saint Pierre les Elbeuf                                | 0.110           | 100%      |                 |           | 0.110                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           |                       | 0.000      | 0%                            | <b>0.110</b> |               |
| Vienne   | 0.011           | 11%       | 0.011           | 12%       | 0.022                    | 23%       |                 |           |                 |            | 0.019            | 20%       | 0.055                 | 57%        | 0.074                         | 77%          | <b>0.096</b>  |
| Erstein  | 0.093           | 100%      |                 |           | 0.093                    | 100%      |                 |           |                 |            |                  |           | 0.000                 | 0%         | 0.000                         | 0%           | <b>0.093</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                       | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|                       | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %    | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Dijon                 | 0.037           | 45%  | 0.043           | 53%  | 0.080                    | 99%  |                 |   | 0.001           | 1%   |                  |     |                       |     | 0.001                         | 1%   | <b>0.081</b>  |
| Espalion              |                 |      | 0.080           | 100% | 0.080                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.080</b>  |
| Suresnes              |                 |      | 0.076           | 100% | 0.076                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.076</b>  |
| Mulhouse              |                 |      | 0.049           | 71%  | 0.049                    | 71%  |                 |   |                 |      | 0.020            | 29% |                       |     | 0.020                         | 29%  | <b>0.068</b>  |
| La Roche-sur-Yon      | 0.007           | 11%  | 0.046           | 73%  | 0.053                    | 83%  |                 |   | 0.011           | 17%  |                  |     |                       |     | 0.011                         | 17%  | <b>0.063</b>  |
| Brest                 | 0.044           | 72%  |                 |      | 0.044                    | 72%  |                 |   |                 |      | 0.017            | 28% |                       |     | 0.017                         | 28%  | <b>0.062</b>  |
| La Possession         | 0.061           | 100% |                 |      | 0.061                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.061</b>  |
| Orléans               |                 |      | 0.060           | 100% | 0.060                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.060</b>  |
| Clermont-Ferrand      | 0.006           | 12%  | 0.044           | 88%  | 0.050                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.050</b>  |
| Crolles               | 0.012           | 25%  | 0.001           | 2%   | 0.013                    | 27%  |                 |   | 0.015           | 30%  |                  |     | 0.021                 | 43% | 0.036                         | 73%  | <b>0.049</b>  |
| Marckolsheim          | 0.042           | 99%  |                 |      | 0.042                    | 99%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.001                 | 1%  | 0.001                         | 1%   | <b>0.043</b>  |
| Tarnos                | 0.027           | 100% |                 |      | 0.027                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.027</b>  |
| Beaumont-sur-Sarthe   |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   | 0.027           | 100% |                  |     |                       |     | 0.027                         | 100% | <b>0.027</b>  |
| Tours                 | 0.010           | 44%  | 0.013           | 56%  | 0.022                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.022</b>  |
| Savigny le Temple     |                 |      | 0.009           | 41%  | 0.009                    | 41%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.013                 | 59% | 0.013                         | 59%  | <b>0.022</b>  |
| Clamart               | 0.002           | 11%  | 0.005           | 25%  | 0.007                    | 36%  |                 |   |                 |      | 0.005            | 26% | 0.008                 | 38% | 0.013                         | 64%  | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Juvisy sur Orge       | 0.019           | 100% |                 |      | 0.019                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.019</b>  |
| Bailleul              | 0.006           | 35%  |                 |      | 0.006                    | 35%  |                 |   | 0.012           | 65%  |                  |     |                       |     | 0.012                         | 65%  | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Ribeauville           | 0.016           | 89%  |                 |      | 0.016                    | 89%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.002                 | 11% | 0.002                         | 11%  | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Brive la Gaillarde    |                 |      | 0.017           | 100% | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Chalons en Champagne  | 0.017           | 100% |                 |      | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Commercy              | 0.016           | 96%  |                 |      | 0.016                    | 96%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.001                 | 4%  | 0.001                         | 4%   | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Annecy                | 0.011           | 68%  | 0.005           | 32%  | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Neuville-de-Poitou    |                 |      | 0.015           | 100% | 0.015                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.015</b>  |
| Gentilly              | 0.013           | 100% |                 |      | 0.013                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Ramonville Saint Agne | 0.013           | 100% |                 |      | 0.013                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Moncoutant            |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   | 0.012           | 100% |                  |     |                       |     | 0.012                         | 100% | <b>0.012</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                           | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |    | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |      | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|----|-----------------|------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|                           | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | %  | M USD           | %    | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %    | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Nanterre                  | 0.009           | 81%  | 0.002           | 19%  | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Nancy                     | 0.004           | 40%  |                 |      | 0.004                    | 40%  |                 |    | 0.001           | 10%  | 0.006            | 50% |                       |      | 0.007                         | 60%  | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Bennwihr                  | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     | 0.000                 | 0%   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Pezilla la Rivière        | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Bagnères de Luchon        | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Autun                     | 0.011           | 100% |                 |      | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Châteauroux               |                 |      | 0.010           | 100% | 0.010                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.010</b>  |
| Hochfelden                |                 |      | 0.010           | 100% | 0.010                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.010</b>  |
| La Chapelle-Sur-Erdre     | 0.006           | 65%  | 0.003           | 35%  | 0.009                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Acigne                    | 0.001           | 12%  |                 |      | 0.001                    | 12%  |                 |    | 0.007           | 88%  |                  |     |                       |      | 0.007                         | 88%  | <b>0.008</b>  |
| Mouilleron le Captif      | 0.007           | 100% |                 |      | 0.007                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.007</b>  |
| Reims                     |                 |      | 0.005           | 93%  | 0.005                    | 93%  | 0.000           | 7% |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 7%   | <b>0.005</b>  |
| Trelaze                   |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    | 0.004           | 100% |                  |     |                       |      | 0.004                         | 100% | <b>0.004</b>  |
| Coulon                    | 0.004           | 100% |                 |      | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.004</b>  |
| Allassac                  |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    | 0.004           | 100% |                  |     |                       |      | 0.004                         | 100% | <b>0.004</b>  |
| Sierentz                  | 0.003           | 89%  |                 |      | 0.003                    | 89%  |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     | 0.000                 | 11%  | 0.000                         | 11%  | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Aix-en-Provence           | 0.003           | 100% |                 |      | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Isola                     |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    | 0.003           | 100% |                  |     |                       |      | 0.003                         | 100% | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Saint-Jean-De-Boiseau     |                 |      | 0.003           | 100% | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Anglet municipality       |                 |      | 0.003           | 100% | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Sorgues                   | 0.003           | 100% |                 |      | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Etival les le Mans        |                 |      | 0.003           | 100% | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Saint Jacques de la Lande | 0.000           | 7%   |                 |      | 0.000                    | 7%   |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     | 0.002                 | 93%  | 0.002                         | 93%  | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Castres-Gironde           |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     | 0.002                 | 100% | 0.002                         | 100% | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Eschbach                  |                 |      | 0.002           | 100% | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Moliets-et-Maa            |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    | 0.002           | 100% |                  |     |                       |      | 0.002                         | 100% | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Charroux                  |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |    |                 |      |                  |     | 0.002                 | 100% | 0.002                         | 100% | <b>0.002</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |    | (7)<br>Administration |      | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|----|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %  | M USD                 | %    | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| Beynat  |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.002           | 100%       |                  |    |                       |      | 0.002                         | 100%       | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Jouy-en-Josas   |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Les Trois-Moutiers                                    | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Septemes les Vallons                                  | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Saint-Hilaire-Peyroux                                 |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%       |                  |    |                       |      | 0.001                         | 100%       | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Vouillé   |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Saint Maximin   | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Cornas  |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Biard   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%       |                  |    |                       |      | 0.001                         | 100%       | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Bergheim  | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Bavilliers  |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| La Biolle   |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Chamboulive   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%       |                  |    |                       |      | 0.001                         | 100%       | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Montigné les Rairies                                  |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.000           | 100%       |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 100%       | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Marcillac la Croze                                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    | 0.000                 | 100% | 0.000                         | 100%       | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Belrupt-en-Verdunois                                  | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.000                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    |                       |      | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (OTHER)</b>                                  | <b>12.17</b>    | <b>16%</b> | <b>8.80</b>     | <b>12%</b> | <b>20.97</b>             | <b>28%</b> | <b>0.02</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>50.32</b>    | <b>68%</b> | <b>0.45</b>      |    | <b>2.50</b>           |      | <b>53.29</b>                  | <b>72%</b> | <b>74.26</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Seine-Saint-Denis            | 0.118           | 0%         | 0.027           | 0%         | 0.145                    | 0%         |                 |           | 41.997          | 99%        | 0.030            | 0% | 0.223                 | 1%   | 42.251                        | 100%       | <b>42.396</b> |
| Conseil départemental du Val-De-Marne                 | 0.207           | 3%         | 0.257           | 3%         | 0.464                    | 6%         |                 |           | 6.940           | 93%        | 0.002            | 0% | 0.075                 | 1%   | 7.017                         | 94%        | <b>7.480</b>  |
| SIAAP (Service Public de l'Assainissement francilien) |                 |            | 3.727           | 94%        | 3.727                    | 94%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    | 0.224                 | 6%   | 0.224                         | 6%         | <b>3.950</b>  |
| Conseil départemental des Hauts de Seine              | 2.181           | 85%        |                 |            | 2.181                    | 85%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    | 0.397                 | 15%  | 0.397                         | 15%        | <b>2.578</b>  |
| Syndicat des Eaux d'Ile-de-France                     | 2.348           | 92%        |                 |            | 2.348                    | 92%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |    | 0.197                 | 8%   | 0.197                         | 8%         | <b>2.544</b>  |
| Conseil départemental des Yvelines                    | 0.995           | 45%        | 0.778           | 35%        | 1.773                    | 81%        | 0.023           | 1%        |                 |            | 0.002            | 0% | 0.393                 | 18%  | 0.419                         | 19%        | <b>2.192</b>  |
| Grand Lyon  | 0.655           | 37%        | 0.801           | 45%        | 1.456                    | 82%        |                 |           | 0.020           | 1%         |                  |    | 0.293                 | 17%  | 0.313                         | 18%        | <b>1.769</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Loire-Atlantique             | 0.271           | 18%        | 0.457           | 31%        | 0.728                    | 49%        |                 |           | 0.750           | 51%        |                  |    |                       |      | 0.750                         | 51%        | <b>1.478</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Seine Maritime               | 0.166           | 16%        | 0.830           | 79%        | 0.996                    | 94%        |                 |           |                 |            | 0.059            | 6% | 0.000                 | 0%   | 0.060                         | 6%         | <b>1.055</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |     | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %   | M USD         |
| Nantes Métropole   | 0.471           | 53%  | 0.400           | 45% | 0.870                    | 97%  |                 |   | 0.011           | 1%  | 0.013            | 1%  |                       |     | 0.024                         | 3%  | <b>0.894</b>  |
| Grand Poitiers   | 0.514           | 86%  | 0.043           | 7%  | 0.557                    | 94%  |                 |   | 0.038           | 6%  |                  |     |                       |     | 0.038                         | 6%  | <b>0.596</b>  |
| Aix-Marseille-Provence Métropole                           | 0.513           | 97%  | 0.018           | 3%  | 0.531                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.531</b>  |
| Syctom   | 0.518           | 100% |                 |     | 0.518                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.518</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de la Savoie                         | 0.231           | 48%  | 0.106           | 22% | 0.337                    | 70%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.142            | 30% |                       |     | 0.142                         | 30% | <b>0.479</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de l'Isère                           | 0.290           | 61%  | 0.184           | 39% | 0.475                    | 99%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.003                 | 1%  | 0.003                         | 1%  | <b>0.477</b>  |
| Conseil départemental d'Ille-et-Vilaine                    | 0.273           | 70%  | 0.056           | 14% | 0.329                    | 85%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.059            | 15% |                       |     | 0.059                         | 15% | <b>0.388</b>  |
| Dunkerque Grand Littoral Communauté urbaine                | 0.027           | 7%   | 0.361           | 93% | 0.388                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.388</b>  |
| Communauté urbaine du Grand Reims                          | 0.347           | 100% |                 |     | 0.347                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.347</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Meurthe-Et-Moselle                | 0.086           | 25%  | 0.005           | 1%  | 0.091                    | 26%  |                 |   | 0.201           | 58% | 0.008            | 2%  | 0.045                 | 13% | 0.254                         | 74% | <b>0.345</b>  |
| Bordeaux Métropole   | 0.167           | 51%  | 0.068           | 21% | 0.235                    | 73%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.089                 | 27% | 0.089                         | 27% | <b>0.324</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de l'Essonne SCDRI                   | 0.104           | 34%  |                 |     | 0.104                    | 34%  |                 |   | 0.156           | 50% | 0.050            | 16% |                       |     | 0.206                         | 66% | <b>0.310</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération de Cergy-Pontoise               | 0.143           | 52%  | 0.053           | 19% | 0.195                    | 71%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.078                 | 29% | 0.078                         | 29% | <b>0.274</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de la Charente-Maritime              | 0.263           | 100% |                 |     | 0.263                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.263</b>  |
| Conseil départemental des Bouches du Rhône                 | 0.222           | 100% |                 |     | 0.222                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.222</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération Grenoble Alpes métropole        |                 |      | 0.001           | 1%  | 0.001                    | 1%   |                 |   | 0.039           | 18% | 0.032            | 15% | 0.145                 | 67% | 0.216                         | 99% | <b>0.217</b>  |
| Eurométropole de Strasbourg                                | 0.100           | 49%  |                 |     | 0.100                    | 49%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.105                 | 51% | 0.105                         | 51% | <b>0.205</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération du Grand Angoulême              | 0.180           | 100% |                 |     | 0.180                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.180</b>  |
| Métropole Rouen Normandie                                  | 0.030           | 24%  | 0.021           | 16% | 0.051                    | 40%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.077                 | 60% | 0.077                         | 60% | <b>0.128</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération du Sud-Est Toulousain (Sicoval) | 0.028           | 22%  | 0.027           | 21% | 0.056                    | 44%  |                 |   |                 |     | 0.004            | 3%  | 0.067                 | 53% | 0.072                         | 56% | <b>0.127</b>  |
| Brest métropole  | 0.032           | 28%  | 0.080           | 72% | 0.111                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.111</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération Grand Paris Sud                 | 0.005           | 5%   | 0.105           | 95% | 0.110                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.110</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération de la Rochelle                  | 0.031           | 31%  | 0.011           | 10% | 0.042                    | 41%  |                 |   |                 |     |                  |     | 0.060                 | 59% | 0.060                         | 59% | <b>0.102</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |     | (7)<br>Administration |     | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %    | M USD            | %   | M USD                 | %   | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Communauté d'agglomération de Saint-Omer                                      | 0.099           | 100% |                 |      | 0.099                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.099</b>  |
| Communauté urbaine Angers Loire métropole                                     |                 |      | 0.095           | 100% | 0.095                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.095</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de l'Allier   | 0.065           | 69%  |                 |      | 0.065                    | 69%  |                 |   | 0.002           | 2%   | 0.027            | 29% |                       |     | 0.029                         | 31%  | <b>0.094</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Gironde  | 0.074           | 82%  |                 |      | 0.074                    | 82%  |                 |   |                 |      | 0.016            | 18% |                       |     | 0.016                         | 18%  | <b>0.089</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Saône-Et-Loire                                       |                 |      | 0.079           | 100% | 0.079                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.079</b>  |
| Syndicat intercommunal Eau et Assainissement de Fontoy-Vallé de la Fensch     |                 |      | 0.069           | 93%  | 0.069                    | 93%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.006                 | 7%  | 0.006                         | 7%   | <b>0.074</b>  |
| Conseil départemental du Gers   |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   | 0.068           | 100% |                  |     |                       |     | 0.068                         | 100% | <b>0.068</b>  |
| Syndicat mixte de Production d'Eau Fensch-Lorraine                            |                 |      | 0.054           | 93%  | 0.054                    | 93%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.004                 | 7%  | 0.004                         | 7%   | <b>0.058</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de l'Aisne  | 0.005           | 9%   |                 |      | 0.005                    | 9%   |                 |   | 0.053           | 91%  |                  |     |                       |     | 0.053                         | 91%  | <b>0.058</b>  |
| Communauté d'Agglomération Béthune-Bruay                                      | 0.056           | 100% |                 |      | 0.056                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.056</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de la Vienne  | 0.055           | 100% |                 |      | 0.055                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.055</b>  |
| Limoges Métropole   | 0.002           | 4%   | 0.051           | 96%  | 0.053                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.053</b>  |
| Grand Chambéry  | 0.051           | 100% |                 |      | 0.051                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.051</b>  |
| SDEA Bas Rhin   | 0.042           | 89%  |                 |      | 0.042                    | 89%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.005                 | 11% | 0.005                         | 11%  | <b>0.047</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de Vendée   | 0.039           | 84%  |                 |      | 0.039                    | 84%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.007                 | 16% | 0.007                         | 16%  | <b>0.046</b>  |
| Conseil départemental du territoire de Belfort                                |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |   | 0.037           | 100% |                  |     |                       |     | 0.037                         | 100% | <b>0.037</b>  |
| Syndicat intercommunal de l'eau potable de l'agglomération cannoise (SICASIL) | 0.032           | 100% |                 |      | 0.032                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.032</b>  |
| Communauté d'agglomération Laval Agglomération                                | 0.025           | 100% |                 |      | 0.025                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.025</b>  |
| Rennes métropole  | 0.011           | 58%  |                 |      | 0.011                    | 58%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.008                 | 42% | 0.008                         | 42%  | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Communauté d'Agglomération Meuse Grand Sud                                    | 0.018           | 100% |                 |      | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     |                       |     | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Collectivité européenne d'Alsace  | 0.015           | 89%  |                 |      | 0.015                    | 89%  |                 |   |                 |      |                  |     | 0.002                 | 11% | 0.002                         | 11%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Conseil départemental du Jura   | 0.008           | 67%  |                 |      | 0.008                    | 67%  |                 |   |                 |      | 0.004            | 33% |                       |     | 0.004                         | 33%  | <b>0.013</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |             | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |             | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %           | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| Communauté de communes Faucigny Glières                       |                 |             | 0.013           | 100%      | 0.013                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de la Drôme                             |                 |             | 0.009           | 100%      | 0.009                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.009</b>  |
| Syndicat Mixte de Sioule et Morge                             | 0.008           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.008                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.008</b>  |
| Syndicat de l'eau du Dunkerquois                              | 0.008           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.008                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.008</b>  |
| Communauté de communes du canton d'Erstein                    | 0.008           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.008                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.008</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de l'Ain                                | 0.006           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.006                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Syndicat d'Eau de l'Anjou                                     |                 |             | 0.006           | 100%      | 0.006                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.006</b>  |
| SMICTOM d'Alsace centrale                                     | 0.005           | 89%         |                 |           | 0.005                    | 89%         |                 |           |                 |             |                  | 0.001     | 11%                   |           | 0.001                         | 11%         | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Communauté de communes de Selestat et environs                | 0.005           | 89%         |                 |           | 0.005                    | 89%         |                 |           |                 |             |                  | 0.001     | 11%                   |           | 0.001                         | 11%         | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Communauté de communes du Pays Loudunais                      |                 |             | 0.005           | 100%      | 0.005                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.005</b>  |
| Conseil départemental de la Meuse                             | 0.004           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.004                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.004</b>  |
| Communauté de communes du Thouarsais                          | 0.004           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.004                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.004</b>  |
| Syndicat intercommunal des eaux de la Forêt de Paimpont       | 0.003           | 100%        |                 |           | 0.003                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Commune de Communauté de Communes Isle et Crempse en Périgord |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 0.002           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.002                         | 100%        | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Communauté de communes de la Plaine du Rhin                   | 0.001           | 89%         |                 |           | 0.001                    | 89%         |                 |           |                 |             |                  | 0.000     | 11%                   |           | 0.000                         | 11%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Communauté de Communes Ardennes Thiérache                     |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Entente Interdépartementale des Causses et des Cévennes       |                 |             | 0.001           | 100%      | 0.001                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Syndicat d'eau du val du Thouet                               |                 |             |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>  | <b>6.08</b>     | <b>100%</b> |                 |           | <b>6.08</b>              | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.005</b>    | <b>0%</b> |                 |             | <b>0.025</b>     | <b>0%</b> |                       |           | <b>0.03</b>                   | <b>0%</b>   | <b>6.11</b>   |
| Minister of Europe and Foreign Affairs of France              | 5.978           | 99%         |                 |           | 5.978                    | 99%         | 0.005           | 0%        |                 |             | 0.025            | 0%        |                       |           | 0.030                         | 1%          | <b>6.008</b>  |
| <b>CZECHIA</b>  |                 |             | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.11</b>              | <b>0%</b>   |                 |           | <b>178.34</b>   |             |                  |           |                       |           | <b>178.34</b>                 | <b>100%</b> | <b>178.44</b> |
| <b>Identified:</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>   | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.11</b>              | <b>0%</b>   | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>178.34</b>   | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.00</b>           | <b>0%</b> | <b>178.34</b>                 | <b>100%</b> | <b>178.44</b> |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |   | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |     | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |             | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | % | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %   | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %    | M USD            | %           | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                 |                 |   | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>0%</b> |                          |     | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>0%</b> |                 |      | <b>126.50</b>    | <b>100%</b> |                       |   | <b>126.50</b>                 | <b>100%</b> | <b>126.61</b> |
| Central Bohemian Region                         |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 16.433          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 16.433                        | 100%        | <b>16.433</b> |
| Moravian-Silesian Region                        |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 13.527          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 13.527                        | 100%        | <b>13.527</b> |
| South Moravia                                   |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 12.358          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 12.358                        | 100%        | <b>12.358</b> |
| Plzen Region                                    |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 10.341          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 10.341                        | 100%        | <b>10.341</b> |
| Liberec Region                                  |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 10.302          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 10.302                        | 100%        | <b>10.302</b> |
| Usti Region                                     |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 10.177          | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 10.177                        | 100%        | <b>10.177</b> |
| Karlovy Vary Region                             |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 8.971           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 8.971                         | 100%        | <b>8.971</b>  |
| South Bohemian Region                           |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 7.344           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 7.344                         | 100%        | <b>7.344</b>  |
| Pardubice Region                                |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 6.705           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 6.705                         | 100%        | <b>6.705</b>  |
| Hradec Kralove Region                           |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 6.584           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 6.584                         | 100%        | <b>6.584</b>  |
| Olomouc Region                                  |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 5.714           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 5.714                         | 100%        | <b>5.714</b>  |
| Zlin Region                                     |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 4.096           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 4.096                         | 100%        | <b>4.096</b>  |
| Vysocina Region                                 |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 3.894           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 3.894                         | 100%        | <b>3.894</b>  |
| Charles University                              |                 |   | 0.024           | 1%        | 0.024                    | 1%  |                 |           | 2.733           | 99%  |                  |             |                       |   | 2.733                         | 99%         | <b>2.756</b>  |
| Masaryk University                              |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 1.910           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 1.910                         | 100%        | <b>1.910</b>  |
| Czech Technical University in Prague            |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 1.704           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 1.704                         | 100%        | <b>1.704</b>  |
| Brno University of Technology                   |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.529           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.529                         | 100%        | <b>0.529</b>  |
| University of Chemistry and Technology Prague   |                 |   | 0.086           | 21%       | 0.086                    | 21% |                 |           | 0.328           | 79%  |                  |             |                       |   | 0.328                         | 79%         | <b>0.414</b>  |
| Academy of Performing Arts in Prague            |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.398           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.398                         | 100%        | <b>0.398</b>  |
| Palacky University Olomouc                      |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.391           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.391                         | 100%        | <b>0.391</b>  |
| Mendel University in Brno                       |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.299           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.299                         | 100%        | <b>0.299</b>  |
| University of Usti nad Labem                    |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.206           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.206                         | 100%        | <b>0.206</b>  |
| Prague University of Economics and Business     |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.194           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.194                         | 100%        | <b>0.194</b>  |
| Academy of Arts Architecture & Design in Prague |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.177           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.177                         | 100%        | <b>0.177</b>  |
| University of West Bohemia                      |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.154           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.154                         | 100%        | <b>0.154</b>  |
| University of Ostrava                           |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.132           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.132                         | 100%        | <b>0.132</b>  |
| Academy of Fine Arts Prague                     |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.127           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.127                         | 100%        | <b>0.127</b>  |
| Tomas Bata University in Zlin                   |                 |   |                 |           | 0.000                    | 0%  |                 |           | 0.120           | 100% |                  |             |                       |   | 0.120                         | 100%        | <b>0.120</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|  | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |             | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|--|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|  | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %           | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| Technical University of Liberec                          |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.098           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.098                         | 100%        | <b>0.098</b>  |
| Janacek Academy of Music and Performing Arts Brno        |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.097           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.097                         | 100%        | <b>0.097</b>  |
| Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.090           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.090                         | 100%        | <b>0.090</b>  |
| Technical University of Ostrava                          |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.078           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.078                         | 100%        | <b>0.078</b>  |
| Czech University of Life Sciences Prague                 |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.066           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.066                         | 100%        | <b>0.066</b>  |
| University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice          |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.063           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.063                         | 100%        | <b>0.063</b>  |
| University of Pardubice                                  |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.054           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.054                         | 100%        | <b>0.054</b>  |
| College of Polytechnics Jihlava                          |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.040           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.040                         | 100%        | <b>0.040</b>  |
| Silesian University in Opava                             |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.035           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.035                         | 100%        | <b>0.035</b>  |
| University of Hradec Kralove                             |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.031           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.031                         | 100%        | <b>0.031</b>  |
| University of Veterinary Sciences Brno                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.006           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.006                         | 100%        | <b>0.006</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b>                              |                 |            |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>  |                 |           | <b>31.74</b>    | <b>100%</b> |                  |           |                       |           | <b>31.74</b>                  | <b>100%</b> | <b>31.74</b>  |
| Prague   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 31.737          | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 31.737                        | 100%        | <b>31.737</b> |
| <b>LOCAL (OTHER)</b>                                     |                 |            |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>  |                 |           | <b>20.10</b>    | <b>100%</b> |                  |           |                       |           | <b>20.10</b>                  | <b>100%</b> | <b>20.10</b>  |
| Moravian-Silesian Municipalities                         |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 4.616           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 4.616                         | 100%        | <b>4.616</b>  |
| Zlin municipalities                                      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 4.539           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 4.539                         | 100%        | <b>4.539</b>  |
| Central Bohemian Municipalities                          |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 3.102           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 3.102                         | 100%        | <b>3.102</b>  |
| Pardubice Municipalities                                 |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 1.568           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 1.568                         | 100%        | <b>1.568</b>  |
| South Moravian Municipalities                            |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 1.389           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 1.389                         | 100%        | <b>1.389</b>  |
| South Bohemia Municipalities                             |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 1.262           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 1.262                         | 100%        | <b>1.262</b>  |
| Plzen Municipalities                                     |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 1.163           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 1.163                         | 100%        | <b>1.163</b>  |
| Liberec Municipalities                                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.853           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.853                         | 100%        | <b>0.853</b>  |
| Usti municipalities                                      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.486           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.486                         | 100%        | <b>0.486</b>  |
| Hradec Kralove Municipalities                            |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.374           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.374                         | 100%        | <b>0.374</b>  |
| Olomouc Municipalities                                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.350           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.350                         | 100%        | <b>0.350</b>  |
| Vysocina municipalities                                  |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.204           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.204                         | 100%        | <b>0.204</b>  |
| Karlovy Vary municipalities                              |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.190           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 0.190                         | 100%        | <b>0.190</b>  |
| <b>AUSTRIA</b>   | <b>7.74</b>     | <b>5%</b>  | <b>0.96</b>     | <b>1%</b>  | <b>8.70</b>              | <b>5%</b>  | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>148.75</b>   | <b>94%</b>  | <b>0.80</b>      | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.22</b>           | <b>0%</b> | <b>149.88</b>                 | <b>95%</b>  | <b>158.58</b> |
| <b>Identified:</b>                                       | <b>7.74</b>     | <b>77%</b> | <b>0.96</b>     | <b>10%</b> | <b>8.70</b>              | <b>87%</b> | <b>0.11</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.19</b>     | <b>2%</b>   | <b>0.80</b>      | <b>8%</b> | <b>0.22</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>1.32</b>                   | <b>13%</b>  | <b>10.02</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |             | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |             | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
|                             | M USD           | %           | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %           | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %           | M USD          |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>             | <b>6.64</b>     | <b>82%</b>  | <b>0.38</b>     | <b>5%</b>  | <b>7.01</b>              | <b>86%</b>  | <b>0.10</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.15</b>     | <b>2%</b>   | <b>0.75</b>      | <b>9%</b> | <b>0.12</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>1.12</b>                   | <b>14%</b>  | <b>8.13</b>    |
| Upper Austria               | 2.033           | 84%         | 0.287           | 12%        | 2.320                    | 96%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.097            | 4%        |                       |           | 0.097                         | 4%          | <b>2.417</b>   |
| Vorarlberg                  | 1.222           | 94%         |                 |            | 1.222                    | 94%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.032            | 2%        | 0.052                 | 4%        | 0.085                         | 6%          | <b>1.307</b>   |
| Tyrol                       | 0.926           | 79%         | 0.034           | 3%         | 0.960                    | 82%         | 0.057           | 5%        |                 |             | 0.083            | 7%        | 0.070                 | 6%        | 0.211                         | 18%         | <b>1.171</b>   |
| Salzburg Province           | 0.938           | 88%         |                 |            | 0.938                    | 88%         | 0.044           | 4%        |                 |             | 0.082            | 8%        |                       |           | 0.126                         | 12%         | <b>1.065</b>   |
| Styria                      | 0.756           | 74%         | 0.045           | 4%         | 0.800                    | 78%         |                 |           | 0.147           | 14%         | 0.072            | 7%        |                       |           | 0.219                         | 22%         | <b>1.020</b>   |
| Lower Austria               | 0.445           | 53%         | 0.010           | 1%         | 0.455                    | 54%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.384            | 46%       |                       |           | 0.384                         | 46%         | <b>0.839</b>   |
| Carinthia                   | 0.235           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.235                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.235</b>   |
| Burgenland                  | 0.082           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.082                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.082</b>   |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>1.11</b>     | <b>59%</b>  | <b>0.58</b>     | <b>31%</b> | <b>1.69</b>              | <b>90%</b>  | <b>0.01</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.04</b>     | <b>2%</b>   | <b>0.05</b>      | <b>3%</b> | <b>0.10</b>           | <b>5%</b> | <b>0.20</b>                   | <b>10%</b>  | <b>1.88</b>    |
| Vienna                      | 0.840           | 57%         | 0.509           | 35%        | 1.348                    | 92%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.027            | 2%        | 0.098                 | 7%        | 0.125                         | 8%          | <b>1.473</b>   |
| Innsbruck                   | 0.105           | 53%         | 0.051           | 26%        | 0.157                    | 79%         |                 |           | 0.042           | 21%         |                  |           |                       |           | 0.042                         | 21%         | <b>0.199</b>   |
| Salzburg (city)             | 0.062           | 75%         |                 |            | 0.062                    | 75%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.021            | 25%       |                       |           | 0.021                         | 25%         | <b>0.083</b>   |
| Graz                        | 0.018           | 40%         | 0.020           | 45%        | 0.037                    | 85%         | 0.006           | 15%       |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.006                         | 15%         | <b>0.044</b>   |
| Dornbirn                    | 0.017           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.017                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.017</b>   |
| Wels                        | 0.014           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.014                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.014</b>   |
| Feldkirch                   | 0.014           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.014                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.014</b>   |
| Götzis                      | 0.012           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.012                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.012</b>   |
| Wolfurt                     | 0.008           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.008                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.008</b>   |
| Linz                        | 0.008           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.008                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.008</b>   |
| Rohrbach                    | 0.005           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.005                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.005</b>   |
| St. Pölten                  | 0.004           | 100%        |                 |            | 0.004                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.004</b>   |
| Braunau                     |                 |             |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           |                 |             | 0.003            | 100%      |                       |           | 0.003                         | 100%        | <b>0.003</b>   |
| <b>Not identified:</b>      |                 |             |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>   |                 |           | <b>148.56</b>   | <b>100%</b> |                  |           |                       |           | <b>148.56</b>                 | <b>100%</b> | <b>148.56</b>  |
| Austrian Provinces          |                 |             |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 148.564         | 100%        |                  |           |                       |           | 148.564                       | 100%        | <b>148.564</b> |
| <b>BELGIUM</b>              | <b>39.22</b>    | <b>26%</b>  | <b>38.96</b>    | <b>26%</b> | <b>78.18</b>             | <b>51%</b>  | <b>0.83</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>71.07</b>    | <b>47%</b>  | <b>0.55</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>1.44</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>73.88</b>                  | <b>49%</b>  | <b>152.06</b>  |
| <b>Identified:</b>          | <b>30.45</b>    | <b>21%</b>  | <b>38.96</b>    | <b>27%</b> | <b>69.41</b>             | <b>48%</b>  | <b>0.83</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>71.07</b>    | <b>50%</b>  | <b>0.55</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>1.44</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>73.88</b>                  | <b>52%</b>  | <b>143.29</b>  |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>             | <b>30.45</b>    | <b>21%</b>  | <b>38.96</b>    | <b>27%</b> | <b>69.41</b>             | <b>48%</b>  | <b>0.83</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>71.07</b>    | <b>50%</b>  | <b>0.55</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>1.44</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>73.88</b>                  | <b>52%</b>  | <b>143.29</b>  |
| Brussels-Capital Region     | 0.765           | 73%         |                 |            | 0.765                    | 73%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.284            | 27%       | 0.002                 | 0%        | 0.286                         | 27%         | <b>1.051</b>   |
| Flanders                    | 23.231          | 19%         | 26.745          | 22%        | 49.976                   | 40%         | 0.829           | 1%        | 71.065          | 58%         | 0.104            | 0%        | 1.433                 | 1%        | 73.431                        | 60%         | <b>123.407</b> |
| Wallonia                    | 6.454           | 34%         | 12.215          | 65%        | 18.669                   | 99%         |                 |           |                 |             | 0.164            | 1%        |                       |           | 0.164                         | 1%          | <b>18.833</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>      | <b>8.77</b>     | <b>100%</b> |                 |            | <b>8.77</b>              | <b>100%</b> |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |           | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>0%</b>   | <b>8.770</b>   |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                               | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |           | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |           | TOTAL<br>DODA |     |               |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----|---------------|
|                               | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %         | M USD         |     |               |
| Belgian Municipalities        | 5.086           | 100%       |                 |           | 5.086                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>5.086</b>  |
| Belgian Provinces             | 3.681           | 100%       |                 |           | 3.681                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>3.681</b>  |
| provinces/municipalities      | 0.003           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.003                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.003</b>  |
| <b>SWITZERLAND</b>            | <b>54.49</b>    | <b>90%</b> | <b>3.82</b>     | <b>6%</b> | <b>58.31</b>             | <b>97%</b> | <b>0.85</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.26</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.15</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.71</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>1.96</b>                   | <b>3%</b> |               |     | <b>60.27</b>  |
| <b>Identified:</b>            | <b>54.49</b>    | <b>90%</b> | <b>3.82</b>     | <b>6%</b> | <b>58.31</b>             | <b>97%</b> | <b>0.85</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.26</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.15</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.71</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>1.96</b>                   | <b>3%</b> |               |     | <b>60.27</b>  |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>               | <b>31.74</b>    | <b>89%</b> | <b>2.80</b>     | <b>8%</b> | <b>34.54</b>             | <b>97%</b> | <b>0.81</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.02</b>      | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.23</b>           | <b>1%</b> | <b>1.05</b>                   | <b>3%</b> |               |     | <b>35.59</b>  |
| Canton Geneva                 | 13.758          | 85%        | 2.113           | 13%       | 15.871                   | 98%        | 0.377           | 2%        |                 |           | 0.021            | 0%        |                       |           |                               |           | 0.398         | 2%  | <b>16.269</b> |
| Canton Bern                   | 4.239           | 100%       |                 |           | 4.239                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>4.239</b>  |
| Canton Vaud                   | 2.500           | 85%        |                 |           | 2.500                    | 85%        | 0.206           | 7%        |                 |           |                  |           | 0.225                 | 8%        |                               |           | 0.431         | 15% | <b>2.932</b>  |
| Canton Basel                  | 2.095           | 100%       |                 |           | 2.095                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>2.095</b>  |
| Canton Zurich                 | 1.498           | 74%        | 0.524           | 26%       | 2.022                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>2.022</b>  |
| Canton Aargau                 | 1.676           | 100%       |                 |           | 1.676                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>1.676</b>  |
| Canton Basel-Country          | 1.504           | 100%       |                 |           | 1.504                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>1.504</b>  |
| Canton St Gallen              | 0.830           | 91%        | 0.084           | 9%        | 0.913                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.913</b>  |
| Canton Lucerne                | 0.639           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.639                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.639</b>  |
| Canton Fribourg               | 0.359           | 62%        |                 |           | 0.359                    | 62%        | 0.223           | 38%       |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.223         | 38% | <b>0.583</b>  |
| Canton Valais                 | 0.524           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.524                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.524</b>  |
| Canton Zug                    | 0.262           | 83%        | 0.052           | 17%       | 0.314                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.314</b>  |
| Canton Neuchâtel              | 0.302           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.302                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.302</b>  |
| Canton Ticino                 | 0.262           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.262                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.262</b>  |
| Canton Jura                   | 0.260           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.260                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.260</b>  |
| Canton Appenzell Outer Rhodes | 0.220           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.220                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.220</b>  |
| Canton Schaffhausen           | 0.157           | 94%        | 0.010           | 6%        | 0.168                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.168</b>  |
| Canton Thurgau                | 0.157           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.157                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.157</b>  |
| Canton Graubünden             | 0.153           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.153                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.153</b>  |
| Canton Glarus                 | 0.153           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.153                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.153</b>  |
| Canton Solothurn              | 0.089           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.089                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.089</b>  |
| Canton Uri                    | 0.040           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.040                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.040</b>  |
| Canton Schwyz                 | 0.016           | 52%        | 0.014           | 48%       | 0.030                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.030</b>  |
| Canton Obwalden               | 0.026           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.026                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Canton Appenzell Innerrhoden  | 0.017           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.017                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Canton Nidwalden              | 0.008           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.008                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           |                               |           | 0.000         | 0%  | <b>0.008</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |           | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |           | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |           | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |           | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
|                             | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %         | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | %         | M USD                         | %         | M USD         |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>22.75</b>    | <b>92%</b> | <b>1.02</b>     | <b>4%</b> | <b>23.77</b>             | <b>96%</b> | <b>0.04</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>0.26</b>     | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.13</b>      | <b>1%</b> | <b>0.48</b>           | <b>2%</b> | <b>0.91</b>                   | <b>4%</b> | <b>24.67</b>  |
| Genève                      | 6.331           | 88%        | 0.273           | 4%        | 6.604                    | 92%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.129            | 2%        | 0.456                 | 6%        | 0.585                         | 8%        | 7.189         |
| Zürich                      | 4.510           | 90%        | 0.524           | 10%       | 5.034                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 5.034         |
| Meyrin                      | 0.893           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.893                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.893         |
| Lancy                       | 0.825           | 99%        | 0.005           | 1%        | 0.830                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.830         |
| Zug                         | 0.655           | 83%        |                 |           | 0.655                    | 83%        |                 |           | 0.131           | 17%       |                  |           |                       |           | 0.131                         | 17%       | 0.786         |
| Carouge                     | 0.691           | 93%        | 0.048           | 6%        | 0.739                    | 99%        |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           | 0.006                 | 1%        | 0.006                         | 1%        | 0.745         |
| Vernier                     | 0.503           | 87%        | 0.073           | 13%       | 0.576                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.576         |
| Riehen                      | 0.541           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.541                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.541         |
| Lausanne                    | 0.384           | 77%        | 0.040           | 8%        | 0.424                    | 85%        |                 |           | 0.052           | 11%       |                  |           | 0.021                 | 4%        | 0.073                         | 15%       | 0.498         |
| Bern                        | 0.314           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.314                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.314         |
| Baar                        | 0.293           | 95%        | 0.016           | 5%        | 0.309                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.309         |
| Plan-les-Ouates             | 0.296           | 97%        | 0.010           | 3%        | 0.306                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.306         |
| Chêne-Bougeries             | 0.305           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.305                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.305         |
| Le Grand-Saconnex           | 0.300           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.300                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.300         |
| Bernex                      | 0.265           | 99%        |                 |           | 0.265                    | 99%        |                 |           |                 |           | 0.002            | 1%        |                       |           | 0.002                         | 1%        | 0.267         |
| Cologny                     | 0.207           | 83%        | 0.005           | 2%        | 0.213                    | 85%        | 0.038           | 15%       |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.038                         | 15%       | 0.250         |
| Rapperswil-Jona             | 0.230           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.230                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.230         |
| Illnau-Effretikon           | 0.220           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.220                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.220         |
| Küsnacht                    | 0.183           | 87%        |                 |           | 0.183                    | 87%        |                 |           | 0.028           | 13%       |                  |           |                       |           | 0.028                         | 13%       | 0.210         |
| Versoix                     | 0.178           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.178                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.178         |
| Anières                     | 0.157           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.157                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.157         |
| Veyrier                     | 0.142           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.142                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.142         |
| Onex                        | 0.132           | 96%        | 0.005           | 4%        | 0.137                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.137         |
| Münsingen                   | 0.136           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.136                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.136         |
| Zollikon                    | 0.131           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.131                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.131         |
| Adliswil                    | 0.126           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.126                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.126         |
| Winterthur                  | 0.113           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.113                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.113         |
| Collonge-Bellerive          | 0.102           | 91%        | 0.010           | 9%        | 0.112                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.112         |
| Satigny                     | 0.105           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.105                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.105         |
| Genthod                     | 0.104           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.104                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.104         |
| Vevey                       | 0.100           | 100%       |                 |           | 0.100                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |           |                  |           |                       |           | 0.000                         | 0%        | 0.100         |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                   | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |    | (5)<br>Refugees |    | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |    | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|----|---------------|
|                   | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | %  | M USD           | %  | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %  | M USD         |
| Maur              | 0.098           | 100% |                 |     | 0.098                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.098</b>  |
| Luzern            | 0.094           | 100% |                 |     | 0.094                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.094</b>  |
| Uster             | 0.091           | 100% |                 |     | 0.091                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.091</b>  |
| Puplinge          | 0.085           | 95%  |                 |     | 0.085                    | 95%  |                 |    | 0.004           | 5% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.004                         | 5% | <b>0.089</b>  |
| Neuchâtel         | 0.085           | 100% |                 |     | 0.085                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.085</b>  |
| Aesch             | 0.084           | 100% |                 |     | 0.084                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.084</b>  |
| Uitikon           | 0.083           | 100% |                 |     | 0.083                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.083</b>  |
| Pregny-Chambésy   | 0.079           | 100% |                 |     | 0.079                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.079</b>  |
| Frauenfeld        | 0.077           | 100% |                 |     | 0.077                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.077</b>  |
| Solothurn         | 0.073           | 100% |                 |     | 0.073                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.073</b>  |
| Bülach            | 0.073           | 100% |                 |     | 0.073                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.073</b>  |
| Confignon         | 0.069           | 96%  |                 |     | 0.069                    | 96%  |                 |    | 0.003           | 4% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.003                         | 4% | <b>0.071</b>  |
| Nyon              | 0.070           | 100% |                 |     | 0.070                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.070</b>  |
| Montreux          | 0.062           | 97%  |                 |     | 0.062                    | 97%  |                 |    | 0.002           | 3% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.002                         | 3% | <b>0.065</b>  |
| Yverdon-les-Bains | 0.063           | 100% |                 |     | 0.063                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.063</b>  |
| Pully             | 0.062           | 100% |                 |     | 0.062                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.062</b>  |
| Troinex           | 0.060           | 98%  |                 |     | 0.060                    | 98%  |                 |    | 0.001           | 2% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.001                         | 2% | <b>0.061</b>  |
| Chur              | 0.059           | 100% |                 |     | 0.059                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.059</b>  |
| Wetzikon          | 0.058           | 100% |                 |     | 0.058                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.058</b>  |
| Wädenswil         | 0.054           | 100% |                 |     | 0.054                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.054</b>  |
| Lugano            | 0.052           | 100% |                 |     | 0.052                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.052</b>  |
| Aarau             | 0.052           | 100% |                 |     | 0.052                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.052</b>  |
| Muri bei Bern     | 0.052           | 100% |                 |     | 0.052                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.052</b>  |
| Herrliberg        | 0.041           | 95%  |                 |     | 0.041                    | 95%  | 0.002           | 5% |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.002                         | 5% | <b>0.043</b>  |
| Rüschlikon        | 0.042           | 98%  |                 |     | 0.042                    | 98%  |                 |    | 0.001           | 2% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.001                         | 2% | <b>0.043</b>  |
| Meinier           | 0.042           | 100% |                 |     | 0.042                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Opfikon           | 0.042           | 100% |                 |     | 0.042                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Presinge          | 0.041           | 100% |                 |     | 0.041                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.041</b>  |
| Oberägeri         | 0.040           | 100% |                 |     | 0.040                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.040</b>  |
| Allschwil         | 0.037           | 100% |                 |     | 0.037                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.037</b>  |
| Mies              | 0.037           | 100% |                 |     | 0.037                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.037</b>  |
| Corsier           | 0.029           | 82%  | 0.006           | 18% | 0.036                    | 100% |                 |    |                 |    |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | <b>0.036</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                  | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |    | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |     | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|
|                  | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %  | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %   | M USD         |
| Thônex           | 0.036           | 100% |                 |    | 0.036                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.036</b>  |
| Morges           | 0.036           | 100% |                 |    | 0.036                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.036</b>  |
| Düdingen         | 0.035           | 100% |                 |    | 0.035                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.035</b>  |
| Wil              | 0.013           | 38%  |                 |    | 0.013                    | 38%  |                 |   | 0.021           | 62% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.021                         | 62% | <b>0.034</b>  |
| Collina d'Oro    | 0.034           | 100% |                 |    | 0.034                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.034</b>  |
| Écublens         | 0.033           | 100% |                 |    | 0.033                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.033</b>  |
| Cartigny         | 0.032           | 100% |                 |    | 0.032                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.032</b>  |
| Horgen           | 0.031           | 100% |                 |    | 0.031                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Nürensdorf       | 0.031           | 100% |                 |    | 0.031                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Renens           | 0.031           | 100% |                 |    | 0.031                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Binningen        | 0.031           | 100% |                 |    | 0.031                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Russin           | 0.031           | 100% |                 |    | 0.031                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Hünenberg        | 0.029           | 100% |                 |    | 0.029                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.029</b>  |
| Thun             | 0.029           | 100% |                 |    | 0.029                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.029</b>  |
| Epalinges        | 0.028           | 100% |                 |    | 0.028                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.028</b>  |
| Bettingen        | 0.026           | 100% |                 |    | 0.026                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Aire-la-Ville    | 0.023           | 100% |                 |    | 0.023                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Val-de-Travers   | 0.023           | 100% |                 |    | 0.023                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Céligny          | 0.023           | 100% |                 |    | 0.023                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Avully           | 0.022           | 100% |                 |    | 0.022                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.022</b>  |
| Delémont         | 0.021           | 100% |                 |    | 0.021                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Sion             | 0.021           | 100% |                 |    | 0.021                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Glarus Nord      | 0.021           | 100% |                 |    | 0.021                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Meggen           | 0.021           | 100% |                 |    | 0.021                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Soral            | 0.018           | 100% |                 |    | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Therwil          | 0.018           | 100% |                 |    | 0.018                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Wohlen bei Bern  | 0.017           | 100% |                 |    | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Bussigny         | 0.016           | 95%  | 0.001           | 5% | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Collex-Bossy     | 0.017           | 100% |                 |    | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Langenthal       | 0.017           | 100% |                 |    | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| La Tour-de-Peilz | 0.016           | 100% |                 |    | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.016</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                     | <b>(1)</b>      |      | <b>(2+3)</b>  |   | <b>(1+2+3)</b>       |      | <b>(4)</b>      |   | <b>(5)</b>      |      | <b>(6)</b>       |   | <b>(7)</b>            |   | <b>(4+5+6+7)</b>        |      | <b>TOTAL</b> |
|---------------------|-----------------|------|---------------|---|----------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|------|--------------|
|                     | <b>Indirect</b> |      | <b>Direct</b> |   | <b>International</b> |      | <b>Students</b> |   | <b>Refugees</b> |      | <b>Awareness</b> |   | <b>Administration</b> |   | <b>In-donor country</b> |      | <b>DODA</b>  |
|                     | M USD           | %    | M USD         | % | M USD                | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %    | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                   | %    | M USD        |
| Losone              | 0.016           | 100% |               |   | 0.016                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.016</b> |
| Sorengo             | 0.015           | 100% |               |   | 0.015                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.015</b> |
| Chancy              | 0.015           | 100% |               |   | 0.015                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.015</b> |
| Hermance            | 0.013           | 100% |               |   | 0.013                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.013</b> |
| Belp                | 0.013           | 100% |               |   | 0.013                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.013</b> |
| Gossau              | 0.013           | 100% |               |   | 0.013                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.013</b> |
| Dardagny            | 0.012           | 100% |               |   | 0.012                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.012</b> |
| Cham                | 0.012           | 100% |               |   | 0.012                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.012</b> |
| Jegenstorf          | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Beringen            | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Rheinfelden         | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Homburg             | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Wünnewil-Flamatt    | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Neunforn            | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Zollikofen          | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Kriens              | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Prilly              | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Oetwil am See       | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Lauterbrunnen       |                 |      |               |   | 0.000                | 0%   |                 |   | 0.010           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.010                   | 100% | <b>0.010</b> |
| Pfeffingen          | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Sierre              | 0.010           | 100% |               |   | 0.010                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.010</b> |
| Cossonay            | 0.009           | 100% |               |   | 0.009                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.009</b> |
| Wangen-Brüttisellen | 0.008           | 100% |               |   | 0.008                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.008</b> |
| Freienbach          | 0.008           | 100% |               |   | 0.008                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.008</b> |
| Gy                  | 0.008           | 100% |               |   | 0.008                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.008</b> |
| Saanen              | 0.008           | 100% |               |   | 0.008                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.008</b> |
| Wettingen           | 0.007           | 100% |               |   | 0.007                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.007</b> |
| Laconnex            | 0.007           | 100% |               |   | 0.007                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.007</b> |
| Bolligen            | 0.007           | 100% |               |   | 0.007                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.007</b> |
| Jouxens-Mézery      | 0.006           | 100% |               |   | 0.006                | 100% |                 |   |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                   | 0%   | <b>0.006</b> |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|               | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |   | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |     | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|
|               | M USD           | %    | M USD           | % | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %   | M USD         |
| Horn          | 0.006           | 100% |                 |   | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.006         |
| Les Breuleux  | 0.006           | 100% |                 |   | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.006         |
| Thayngen      | 0.006           | 100% |                 |   | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.006         |
| Rubigen       | 0.006           | 100% |                 |   | 0.006                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.006         |
| Biel/Bienne   | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Fehraltorf    | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Sursee        | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Bellach       | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Stettlen      | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Orselina      | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Roggwil       | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Spiez         | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Bulle         | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Konolfingen   | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Bözberg       | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Gsteig        | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Heimberg      | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Stettfurt     | 0.004           | 80%  |                 |   | 0.004                    | 80%  |                 |   | 0.001           | 20% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.001                         | 20% | 0.005         |
| Schötz        | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Oberdiessbach | 0.005           | 100% |                 |   | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.005         |
| Bösingen      | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Belmont-Broye | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Windisch      | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Suhr          | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Oberwil       | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Fribourg      | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Risch         | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Yvonand       | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Arbaz         | 0.004           | 100% |                 |   | 0.004                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.004         |
| Wollerau      | 0.003           | 100% |                 |   | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.003         |
| Widnau        | 0.003           | 100% |                 |   | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.003         |
| Malans        | 0.003           | 100% |                 |   | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | 0.003         |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                   | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |     | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |   | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |    | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|----|---------------|
|                   | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | % | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %  | M USD         |
| Manno             | 0.003           | 100% |                 |     | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.003         |
| Cureglia          | 0.003           | 100% |                 |     | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.003         |
| Corminboeuf       | 0.003           | 100% |                 |     | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.003         |
| Coldrerio         | 0.003           | 100% |                 |     | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.003         |
| Riva San Vitale   | 0.003           | 100% |                 |     | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.003         |
| Cugnasco-Gerra    | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Wallisellen       | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Rohrbach          | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Dänikon           | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Moosseedorf       | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Starkirch-Wil     | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Büetigen          | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Dagmersellen      | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Matran            | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Canobbio          | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Biberist          | 0.002           | 100% |                 |     | 0.002                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.002         |
| Breggia           | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Bellinzona        | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Lumino            | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Auw               | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Penthaz           | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Lengnau bei Biel  | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Porrentruy        | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Corsier-sur-Vevey | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Châtillon         | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Mörigen           | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Cevio             | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Burgdorf          | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Grancia           | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Le Noirmont       | 0.001           | 100% |                 |     | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |
| Moiry             | 0.000           | 51%  | 0.000           | 49% | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |   |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0% | 0.001         |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |            | (5)<br>Refugees |       | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %     | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| Pomy  |                 |            | 0.001           | 100%       | 0.001                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Eich  | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Urdorf  | 0.001           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.001                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Laupersdorf                                     |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |            |                 | 0.001 | 100%             |           |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%       | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Moutier   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |            |                 | 0.000 | 100%             |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 100%       | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Montilliez                                      | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.000                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Courrendlin                                     | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.000                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Cormoret  | 0.000           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.000                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>UNITED KINGDOM</b>                           | <b>18.89</b>    | <b>86%</b> | <b>3.02</b>     | <b>14%</b> | <b>21.91</b>             | <b>100%</b> |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   |                               | <b>0%</b>  | <b>21.91</b>  |
| <b>Identified:</b>                              | <b>18.89</b>    | <b>86%</b> | <b>3.02</b>     | <b>14%</b> | <b>21.91</b>             | <b>100%</b> |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>0%</b>  | <b>21.91</b>  |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                 | <b>18.89</b>    | <b>86%</b> | <b>3.02</b>     | <b>14%</b> | <b>21.91</b>             | <b>100%</b> |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>0%</b>  | <b>21.91</b>  |
| Scotland  | 16.569          | 85%        | 3.018           | 15%        | 19.587                   | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>19.587</b> |
| Welsh Assembly Government                       | 2.326           | 100%       |                 |            | 2.326                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>2.326</b>  |
| <b>ITALY</b>                                    | <b>8.32</b>     | <b>64%</b> | <b>1.44</b>     | <b>11%</b> | <b>9.76</b>              | <b>75%</b>  | <b>3.20</b>     | <b>25%</b> |                 |       | <b>0.02</b>      | <b>0%</b> |                       |   | <b>3.22</b>                   | <b>25%</b> | <b>12.98</b>  |
| <b>Identified:</b>                              | <b>8.32</b>     | <b>64%</b> | <b>1.44</b>     | <b>11%</b> | <b>9.76</b>              | <b>75%</b>  | <b>3.20</b>     | <b>25%</b> |                 |       | <b>0.02</b>      | <b>0%</b> |                       |   | <b>3.22</b>                   | <b>25%</b> | <b>12.98</b>  |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                 | <b>5.31</b>     | <b>55%</b> | <b>1.17</b>     | <b>12%</b> | <b>6.48</b>              | <b>67%</b>  | <b>3.20</b>     |            |                 |       | <b>0.02</b>      |           |                       |   | <b>3.22</b>                   | <b>33%</b> | <b>9.70</b>   |
| Laziodisco                                      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          | 3.198           | 100%       |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 3.198                         | 100%       | <b>3.198</b>  |
| Regione Emilia-Romagna                          | 1.319           | 99%        | 0.012           | 1%         | 1.332                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>1.332</b>  |
| Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia                   | 0.960           | 86%        | 0.151           | 14%        | 1.111                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>1.111</b>  |
| Regione Sardegna                                | 0.411           | 50%        | 0.417           | 50%        | 0.828                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.828</b>  |
| Regione Toscana                                 | 0.461           | 68%        | 0.192           | 28%        | 0.653                    | 97%         |                 |            |                 |       | 0.021            | 3%        |                       |   | 0.021                         | 3%         | <b>0.674</b>  |
| Regione Trentino Alto Adige                     | 0.666           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.666                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.666</b>  |
| Regione Lombardia                               | 0.284           | 61%        | 0.184           | 39%        | 0.468                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.468</b>  |
| Regione Piemonte                                | 0.204           | 50%        | 0.205           | 50%        | 0.409                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.409</b>  |
| Regione Puglia                                  | 0.324           | 98%        | 0.005           | 2%         | 0.329                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.329</b>  |
| Diverse regioni (Emilia-Romagna, Puglia, Lazio) | 0.262           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.262                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.262</b>  |
| Diverse regioni (Emilia-Romagna, Puglia, Lazio) | 0.210           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.210                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.210</b>  |
| Regione del Veneto                              | 0.208           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.208                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.208</b>  |
| Regione Liguria                                 | 0.002           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.002                    | 100%        |                 |            |                 |       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%         | <b>0.002</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|   | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |             | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |              | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %           | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %            | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| Diverse regioni (Emilia-Romagna, Puglia, Lazio) |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         |             | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b>                     | <b>0.18</b>     | <b>53%</b> | <b>0.16</b>     | <b>47%</b> | <b>0.34</b>              | <b>99%</b>  |                 |           |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>#####</b> |                       |   | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>1%</b>   | <b>0.34</b>   |
| Forlì   | 0.116           | 99%        | 0.001           | 1%         | 0.117                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.117</b>  |
| Milan   |                 |            | 0.084           | 100%       | 0.084                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.084</b>  |
| Fano  |                 |            | 0.043           | 100%       | 0.043                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.043</b>  |
| Casatenovo                                      | 0.031           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.031                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Carmagnola                                      |                 |            | 0.026           | 100%       | 0.026                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Seregno   | 0.015           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.015                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.015</b>  |
| Florence  | 0.011           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.011                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Pavia   | 0.006           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.006                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Borgomanero                                     |                 |            | 0.003           | 100%       | 0.003                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Remanzacco                                      |                 |            | 0.002           | 100%       | 0.002                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Rivalta di Torino                               |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           |                 |            | 0.002            | 100%         |                       |   | 0.002                         | 100%        | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Padua   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           |                 |            | 0.001            | 100%         |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Bonate Sotto                                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         |             | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Calenzano                                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    |             |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         |             | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (OTHER)</b>                            | <b>2.83</b>     | <b>96%</b> | <b>0.12</b>     | <b>4%</b>  | <b>2.94</b>              | <b>100%</b> |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>0%</b>   | <b>2.94</b>   |
| Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano                   | 2.180           | 100%       |                 |            | 2.180                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>2.180</b>  |
| Provincia autonoma di Trento                    | 0.578           | 83%        | 0.116           | 17%        | 0.695                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.695</b>  |
| Provincia autonoma di Trento                    | 0.069           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.069                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.069</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>                          |                 |            |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>   |                 |           |                 |            | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>100%</b>  |                       |   | <b>0.00</b>                   | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Provinces of Italy                              |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           |                 |            | 0.001            | 100%         |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| <b>LITHUANIA</b>                                | <b>0.30</b>     | <b>4%</b>  | <b>3.05</b>     | <b>37%</b> | <b>3.35</b>              | <b>41%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>4.78</b>     |            | <b>0.02</b>      | <b>0%</b>    |                       |   | <b>4.80</b>                   | <b>59%</b>  | <b>8.16</b>   |
| <b>Identified:</b>                              | <b>0.28</b>     | <b>3%</b>  | <b>2.88</b>     | <b>36%</b> | <b>3.15</b>              | <b>40%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>4.78</b>     | <b>60%</b> | <b>0.02</b>      | <b>0%</b>    |                       |   | <b>4.80</b>                   | <b>60%</b>  | <b>7.96</b>   |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>                                 | <b>0.02</b>     | <b>1%</b>  | <b>1.42</b>     | <b>51%</b> | <b>1.43</b>              | <b>52%</b>  |                 |           | <b>1.33</b>     | <b>48%</b> |                  |              |                       |   | <b>1.33</b>                   | <b>48%</b>  | <b>2.76</b>   |
| Kaunas  |                 |            | 0.052           | 7%         | 0.052                    | 7%          |                 |           | 0.647           | 93%        |                  |              |                       |   | 0.647                         | 93%         | <b>0.699</b>  |
| Panevėžys                                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%          |                 |           | 0.346           | 100%       |                  |              |                       |   | 0.346                         | 100%        | <b>0.346</b>  |
| Šilutė  |                 |            | 0.015           | 8%         | 0.015                    | 8%          |                 |           | 0.180           | 92%        |                  |              |                       |   | 0.180                         | 92%         | <b>0.196</b>  |
| Jonava  |                 |            | 0.144           | 100%       | 0.144                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.144</b>  |
| Tauragė   |                 |            | 0.084           | 83%        | 0.084                    | 83%         |                 |           | 0.017           | 17%        |                  |              |                       |   | 0.017                         | 17%         | <b>0.101</b>  |
| Ukmergė   |                 |            | 0.094           | 100%       | 0.094                    | 100%        |                 |           |                 |            |                  |              |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.094</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|             | (1)<br>Indirect |     | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |   | (5)<br>Refugees |     | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |     | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|
|             | M USD           | %   | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | % | M USD           | %   | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %   | M USD         |
| Pasvalys    |                 |     | 0.092           | 100% | 0.092                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.092</b>  |
| Rokiškis    |                 |     | 0.092           | 100% | 0.092                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.092</b>  |
| Klaipeda    |                 |     | 0.078           | 100% | 0.078                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.078</b>  |
| Molėtai     |                 |     | 0.024           | 32%  | 0.024                    | 32%  |                 |   | 0.051           | 68% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.051                         | 68% | <b>0.075</b>  |
| Kaišiadorys |                 |     | 0.074           | 100% | 0.074                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.074</b>  |
| Kupiškis    |                 |     | 0.058           | 79%  | 0.058                    | 79%  |                 |   | 0.016           | 21% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.016                         | 21% | <b>0.074</b>  |
| Akmenė      |                 |     | 0.019           | 30%  | 0.019                    | 30%  |                 |   | 0.045           | 70% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.045                         | 70% | <b>0.064</b>  |
| Anykšėiai   |                 |     | 0.053           | 100% | 0.053                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.053</b>  |
| Šiauliai    |                 |     | 0.053           | 100% | 0.053                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.053</b>  |
| Utena       |                 |     | 0.052           | 100% | 0.052                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.052</b>  |
| Zarasai     |                 |     | 0.046           | 89%  | 0.046                    | 89%  |                 |   | 0.006           | 11% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.006                         | 11% | <b>0.052</b>  |
| Šakiai      |                 |     | 0.049           | 100% | 0.049                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.049</b>  |
| Lazdijai    |                 |     | 0.047           | 100% | 0.047                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.047</b>  |
| Kėdainiai   |                 |     | 0.042           | 100% | 0.042                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Joniškis    |                 |     | 0.042           | 100% | 0.042                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Mažeikiai   |                 |     | 0.015           | 51%  | 0.015                    | 51%  |                 |   | 0.015           | 49% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.015                         | 49% | <b>0.031</b>  |
| Telšiai     |                 |     | 0.026           | 100% | 0.026                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Biržai      |                 |     | 0.018           | 87%  | 0.018                    | 87%  |                 |   | 0.003           | 13% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.003                         | 13% | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Vilkaviškis |                 |     | 0.019           | 100% | 0.019                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.019</b>  |
| Radviliškis |                 |     | 0.019           | 100% | 0.019                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.019</b>  |
| Alytus      |                 |     | 0.017           | 100% | 0.017                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Skuodas     |                 |     | 0.016           | 100% | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Plungė      | 0.016           | 98% | 0.000           | 2%   | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Jurbarkas   |                 |     | 0.016           | 100% | 0.016                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Trakai      |                 |     | 0.011           | 100% | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Kelmė       |                 |     | 0.011           | 100% | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Raseiniai   |                 |     | 0.010           | 100% | 0.010                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.010</b>  |
| Švenčionys  |                 |     | 0.010           | 100% | 0.010                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.010</b>  |
| Kretinga    |                 |     | 0.003           | 31%  | 0.003                    | 31%  |                 |   | 0.006           | 69% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.006                         | 69% | <b>0.008</b>  |
| Šilalė      |                 |     | 0.007           | 100% | 0.007                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.007</b>  |
| Varėna      |                 |     | 0.005           | 100% | 0.005                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.005</b>  |
| Vilnius     |                 |     | 0.003           | 100% | 0.003                    | 100% |                 |   |                 |     |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%  | <b>0.003</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |             | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |             | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                             | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %           | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %           | M USD         |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>0.01</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>1.46</b>     | <b>30%</b> | <b>1.47</b>              | <b>30%</b> | <b>0.004</b>    | <b>0%</b> | <b>3.44</b>     | <b>70%</b>  | <b>0.02</b>      |           |                       |   | <b>3.46</b>                   | <b>70%</b>  | <b>4.93</b>   |
| Klaipeda Municipality       | 0.007           | 0%         | 0.019           | 1%         | 0.026                    | 1%         | 0.004           | 0%        | 2.106           | 99%         |                  |           |                       |   | 2.110                         | 99%         | <b>2.136</b>  |
| Vilnius Municipality        |                 |            | 0.861           | 43%        | 0.861                    | 43%        |                 |           | 1.137           | 56%         | 0.023            | 1%        |                       |   | 1.160                         | 57%         | <b>2.021</b>  |
| Kaunas Municipality         |                 |            | 0.221           | 100%       | 0.221                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.221</b>  |
| Visaginas                   |                 |            | 0.026           | 19%        | 0.026                    | 19%        |                 |           | 0.110           | 81%         |                  |           |                       |   | 0.110                         | 81%         | <b>0.136</b>  |
| Neringa                     |                 |            | 0.103           | 100%       | 0.103                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.103</b>  |
| Elektrėnai                  |                 |            | 0.061           | 80%        | 0.061                    | 80%        |                 |           | 0.015           | 20%         |                  |           |                       |   | 0.015                         | 20%         | <b>0.077</b>  |
| Marijampolė                 |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.058           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.058                         | 100%        | <b>0.058</b>  |
| Druskininkai                |                 |            | 0.056           | 100%       | 0.056                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.056</b>  |
| Panevėžys Municipality      |                 |            | 0.053           | 100%       | 0.053                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.053</b>  |
| Alytus Municipality         |                 |            | 0.041           | 100%       | 0.041                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.041</b>  |
| Palanga Municipality        |                 |            | 0.017           | 100%       | 0.017                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Birštonas                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.010           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.010                         | 100%        | <b>0.010</b>  |
| Šiauliai Municipality       |                 |            | 0.002           | 100%       | 0.002                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.002</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>      | <b>0.02</b>     | <b>5%</b>  | <b>0.43</b>     | <b>94%</b> | <b>0.45</b>              | <b>98%</b> |                 |           | <b>0.01</b>     | <b>2%</b>   |                  |           |                       |   | <b>0.01</b>                   | <b>2%</b>   | <b>0.46</b>   |
| Local Authorities           | 0.021           | 5%         | 0.434           | 94%        | 0.455                    | 98%        |                 |           | 0.008           | 2%          |                  |           |                       |   | 0.008                         | 2%          | <b>0.463</b>  |
| <b>JAPAN</b>                | <b>0.96</b>     | <b>20%</b> | <b>0.13</b>     | <b>3%</b>  | <b>0.41</b>              | <b>9%</b>  | <b>0.16</b>     | <b>3%</b> | <b>3.59</b>     |             | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>0%</b> |                       |   | <b>3.75</b>                   | <b>78%</b>  | <b>4.84</b>   |
| <b>Identified:</b>          | <b>0.13</b>     | <b>8%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.13</b>              | <b>8%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b> | <b>1.57</b>     | <b>92%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>0%</b> |                       |   | <b>1.57</b>                   | <b>92%</b>  | <b>1.69</b>   |
| <b>REGIONAL</b>             | <b>0.13</b>     | <b>13%</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.13</b>              | <b>13%</b> | <b>0.00</b>     |           | <b>0.85</b>     | <b>87%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>      |           |                       |   | <b>0.85</b>                   | <b>87%</b>  | <b>0.97</b>   |
| Prefecture of Tokio         |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.320           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.320                         | 100%        | <b>0.320</b>  |
| Prefecture of Hyogo         |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.226           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.226                         | 100%        | <b>0.226</b>  |
| Prefecture of Aichi         |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.155           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.155                         | 100%        | <b>0.155</b>  |
| Prefecture of Toyama        | 0.128           | 100%       |                 |            | 0.128                    | 100%       |                 |           |                 |             |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%          | <b>0.128</b>  |
| Prefecture of Kyoto         |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.063           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.063                         | 100%        | <b>0.063</b>  |
| Prefecture of Ibaraki       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.036           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.036                         | 100%        | <b>0.036</b>  |
| Prefecture of Nagano        |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.021           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.021                         | 100%        | <b>0.021</b>  |
| Prefecture of Nagasaki      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.017           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.017                         | 100%        | <b>0.017</b>  |
| Prefecture of Yamaguchi     |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.006           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.006                         | 100%        | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Prefecture of Shizuoka      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Prefecture of Tochigi       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%        | <b>0.001</b>  |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>              | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.00</b>     |           | <b>0.72</b>     | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.00</b>      |           |                       |   | <b>0.72</b>                   | <b>100%</b> | <b>0.72</b>   |
| Yokohama                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.430           | 100%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.430                         | 100%        | <b>0.430</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                             | (1)<br>Indirect |            | (2+3)<br>Direct |            | (1+2+3)<br>International |            | (4)<br>Students |           | (5)<br>Refugees |            | (6)<br>Awareness |           | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |            | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|
|                             | M USD           | %          | M USD           | %          | M USD                    | %          | M USD           | %         | M USD           | %          | M USD            | %         | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %          | M USD         |
| Kyoto                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.143           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.143                         | 100%       | <b>0.143</b>  |
| Chiba                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.095           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.095                         | 100%       | <b>0.095</b>  |
| Sakai                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.026           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.026                         | 100%       | <b>0.026</b>  |
| Hamamatsu                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.022           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.022                         | 100%       | <b>0.022</b>  |
| Sapporo                     |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.002           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.002                         | 100%       | <b>0.002</b>  |
| Ashikaga                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.001           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.001                         | 100%       | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Kumamoto                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.000           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 100%       | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Shizuoka                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.000           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.000                         | 100%       | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>Not identified:</b>      | <b>0.83</b>     | <b>26%</b> | <b>0.13</b>     | <b>4%</b>  | <b>0.28</b>              | <b>9%</b>  | <b>0.16</b>     | <b>5%</b> | <b>2.02</b>     | <b>64%</b> | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>0%</b> |                       |   | <b>2.18</b>                   | <b>69%</b> | <b>3.14</b>   |
| Prefectures of Japan        | 0.270           | 11%        | 0.014           | 1%         | 0.284                    | 12%        | 0.161           | 7%        | 1.925           | 81%        | 0.001            | 0%        |                       |   | 2.087                         | 88%        | <b>2.371</b>  |
| Municipalities of Japan     | 0.559           | 72%        | 0.118           | 15%        | 0.677                    | 88%        |                 |           | 0.096           | 12%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.096                         | 12%        | <b>0.773</b>  |
| <b>PORTUGAL</b>             | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.69</b>     | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.69</b>              | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.09</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>3.32</b>     | <b>81%</b> |                  |           |                       |   | <b>3.88</b>                   | <b>95%</b> | <b>4.10</b>   |
| <b>Identified:</b>          | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.69</b>     | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.69</b>              | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.09</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>3.32</b>     | <b>81%</b> |                  |           |                       |   | <b>3.41</b>                   | <b>83%</b> | <b>4.10</b>   |
| <b>LOCAL (MUNICIPALITY)</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0%</b>  | <b>0.69</b>     | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.69</b>              | <b>17%</b> | <b>0.09</b>     | <b>2%</b> | <b>3.32</b>     | <b>81%</b> |                  |           |                       |   | <b>3.41</b>                   | <b>83%</b> | <b>4.10</b>   |
| Cascais                     |                 |            | 0.027           | 2%         | 0.027                    | 2%         |                 |           | 1.457           | 98%        |                  |           |                       |   | 1.457                         | 98%        | <b>1.484</b>  |
| Lisbon                      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.649           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.649                         | 100%       | <b>0.649</b>  |
| Oeiras                      |                 |            | 0.617           | 97%        | 0.617                    | 97%        |                 |           | 0.020           | 3%         |                  |           |                       |   | 0.020                         | 3%         | <b>0.638</b>  |
| Leiria                      |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.024           | 5%        | 0.446           | 95%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.471                         | 100%       | <b>0.471</b>  |
| Mogadouro                   |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.164           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.164                         | 100%       | <b>0.164</b>  |
| Odemira                     |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         | 0.063           | 78%       | 0.018           | 22%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.081                         | 100%       | <b>0.081</b>  |
| Águeda                      |                 |            | 0.008           | 11%        | 0.008                    | 11%        |                 |           | 0.065           | 89%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.065                         | 89%        | <b>0.073</b>  |
| Penacova                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.048           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.048                         | 100%       | <b>0.048</b>  |
| Torres Novas                |                 |            | 0.003           | 7%         | 0.003                    | 7%         |                 |           | 0.039           | 93%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.039                         | 93%        | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Moura                       |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.042           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.042                         | 100%       | <b>0.042</b>  |
| Ponte de Lima               |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.040           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.040                         | 100%       | <b>0.040</b>  |
| Amadora                     | 0.001           | 2%         | 0.001           | 3%         | 0.002                    | 5%         |                 |           | 0.038           | 95%        |                  |           |                       |   | 0.038                         | 95%        | <b>0.040</b>  |
| Figueira da Foz             |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.036           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.036                         | 100%       | <b>0.036</b>  |
| Barcelos                    |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.032           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.032                         | 100%       | <b>0.032</b>  |
| Beja                        |                 |            |                 |            | 0.000                    | 0%         |                 |           | 0.032           | 100%       |                  |           |                       |   | 0.032                         | 100%       | <b>0.032</b>  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases. Millions of dollars (M USD)

|                      | (1)<br>Indirect |      | (2+3)<br>Direct |      | (1+2+3)<br>International |      | (4)<br>Students |     | (5)<br>Refugees |      | (6)<br>Awareness |   | (7)<br>Administration |   | (4+5+6+7)<br>In-donor country |      | TOTAL<br>DODA |
|----------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|------|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
|                      | M USD           | %    | M USD           | %    | M USD                    | %    | M USD           | %   | M USD           | %    | M USD            | % | M USD                 | % | M USD                         | %    | M USD         |
| Olhão                |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.024           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.024                         | 100% | <b>0.024</b>  |
| Oporto               |                 |      | 0.000           | 2%   | 0.000                    | 2%   |                 |     | 0.023           | 98%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.023                         | 98%  | <b>0.023</b>  |
| Portalegre           |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.022           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.022                         | 100% | <b>0.022</b>  |
| Cabeceiras de Basto  |                 |      | 0.002           | 11%  | 0.002                    | 11%  |                 |     | 0.018           | 89%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.018                         | 89%  | <b>0.020</b>  |
| Tarouca              |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.019           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.019                         | 100% | <b>0.019</b>  |
| Alcanena             |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   | 0.001           | 8%  | 0.016           | 92%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.018                         | 100% | <b>0.018</b>  |
| Palmela              |                 |      | 0.014           | 83%  | 0.014                    | 83%  |                 |     | 0.003           | 17%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.003                         | 17%  | <b>0.016</b>  |
| Peniche              |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   | 0.002           | 16% | 0.011           | 84%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.014                         | 100% | <b>0.014</b>  |
| Ponte de Sor         |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.013           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.013                         | 100% | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Tabuaço              |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.013           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.013                         | 100% | <b>0.013</b>  |
| Lagoa (Algarve)      |                 |      | 0.004           | 30%  | 0.004                    | 30%  |                 |     | 0.009           | 70%  |                  |   |                       |   | 0.009                         | 70%  | <b>0.012</b>  |
| Odivelas             |                 |      | 0.011           | 100% | 0.011                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.011</b>  |
| Reguengos Monsaraz   |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.010           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.010                         | 100% | <b>0.010</b>  |
| Vila Nova de Poiares |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.006           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.006                         | 100% | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Alcacér do Sal       |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.006           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.006                         | 100% | <b>0.006</b>  |
| Valongo              |                 |      |                 |      | 0.000                    | 0%   |                 |     | 0.003           | 100% |                  |   |                       |   | 0.003                         | 100% | <b>0.003</b>  |
| Salvaterra de Magos  | 0.001           | 100% |                 |      | 0.001                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.001</b>  |
| Loures               | 0.000           | 100% |                 |      | 0.000                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Marco de Canaveses   |                 |      | 0.000           | 100% | 0.000                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.000</b>  |
| Castro Daire         |                 |      | 0.000           | 100% | 0.000                    | 100% |                 |     |                 |      |                  |   |                       |   | 0.000                         | 0%   | <b>0.000</b>  |
| <b>TOTAL</b>         | <b>491</b>      |      | <b>132</b>      |      | <b>622</b>               |      | <b>1,886</b>    |     | <b>938</b>      |      | <b>46</b>        |   | <b>41</b>             |   | <b>2,911</b>                  |      | <b>3,534</b>  |

## ANNEX III. DECENTRALISED DONOR RANKINGS

### RANKING OF DONOR CITIES, 2022

| #  | MUNICIPALITY    | COUNTRY     | USD        | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|----|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1  | Paris           | France      | 79,985,386 | 2,145,906  | 37.27   |
| 2  | Prague          | Czechia     | 31,737,384 | 1,357,326  | 23.38   |
| 3  | Madrid          | Spain       | 14,814,381 | 3,280,782  | 4.52    |
| 4  | Hamburg         | Germany     | 11,741,923 | 1,892,122  | 6.21    |
| 5  | Barcelona       | Spain       | 8,660,019  | 1,636,193  | 5.29    |
| 6  | Genève          | Switzerland | 7,188,820  | 203,840    | 35.27   |
| 7  | Zürich          | Switzerland | 5,034,037  | 443,037    | 11.36   |
| 8  | Bilbao          | Spain       | 3,439,171  | 346,096    | 9.94    |
| 9  | Vitoria-Gasteiz | Spain       | 3,132,940  | 255,886    | 12.24   |
| 10 | Valencia        | Spain       | 2,738,491  | 807,693    | 3.39    |
| 11 | Berlin          | Germany     | 2,684,773  | 3,755,251  | 0.71    |
| 12 | Klaipeda        | Lithuania   | 2,135,577  | 152,008    | 14.05   |
| 13 | Zaragoza        | Spain       | 2,134,820  | 673,010    | 3.17    |
| 14 | Vilnius         | Lithuania   | 2,021,095  | 322,283    | 6.27    |
| 15 | San Sebastián   | Spain       | 1,866,418  | 188,743    | 9.89    |
| 16 | Córdoba         | Spain       | 1,816,810  | 323,763    | 5.61    |
| 17 | Gijón           | Spain       | 1,631,163  | 267,706    | 6.09    |
| 18 | Pamplona        | Spain       | 1,597,489  | 205,762    | 7.76    |
| 19 | Cascais         | Portugal    | 1,484,251  | 206,479    | 7.19    |
| 20 | Vienna          | Austria     | 1,473,445  | 1,973,403  | 0.75    |
| 21 | Oviedo          | Spain       | 1,076,528  | 217,584    | 4.95    |
| 22 | Chatellerault   | France      | 1,029,076  | 31,284     | 32.89   |
| 23 | Málaga          | Spain       | 1,007,159  | 586,384    | 1.72    |
| 24 | Meyrin          | Switzerland | 893,360    | 25,249     | 35.38   |
| 25 | Valladolid      | Spain       | 837,498    | 297,459    | 2.82    |
| 26 | Lancy           | Switzerland | 830,192    | 32,841     | 25.28   |
| 27 | Zug             | Switzerland | 785,584    | 30,542     | 25.72   |
| 28 | Sevilla         | Spain       | 784,476    | 684,025    | 1.15    |
| 29 | Burgos          | Spain       | 748,452    | 173,483    | 4.31    |
| 30 | Carouge         | Switzerland | 745,262    | 22,459     | 33.18   |
| 31 | Bremen          | Germany     | 723,183    | 569,396    | 1.27    |
| 32 | Lisboa          | Portugal    | 648,753    | 545,923    | 1.19    |
| 33 | Oeiras          | Portugal    | 637,542    | 171,802    | 3.71    |
| 34 | Gent            | Belgium     | 578,664    | 265,086    | 2.18    |
| 35 | Vernier         | Switzerland | 576,094    | 34,774     | 16.57   |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #  | MUNICIPALITY              | COUNTRY     | USD     | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|----|---------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| 36 | Albacete                  | Spain       | 562,490 | 173,206    | 3.25    |
| 37 | Terrassa                  | Spain       | 544,043 | 225,277    | 2.41    |
| 38 | Riehen                    | Switzerland | 541,126 | 21,879     | 24.73   |
| 39 | Tarragona                 | Spain       | 530,629 | 138,262    | 3.84    |
| 40 | L'Hospitalet De Llobregat | Spain       | 523,343 | 274,455    | 1.91    |
| 41 | Lausanne                  | Switzerland | 497,546 | 141,418    | 3.52    |
| 42 | Antwerpen                 | Belgium     | 494,505 | 536,079    | 0.92    |
| 43 | Lille                     | France      | 484,152 | 236,710    | 2.05    |
| 44 | Leiria                    | Portugal    | 470,544 | 126,897    | 3.71    |
| 45 | Castellón De La Plana     | Spain       | 468,330 | 176,238    | 2.66    |
| 46 | Toulouse                  | France      | 464,893 | 504,078    | 0.92    |
| 47 | Strasbourg                | France      | 448,417 | 291,313    | 1.54    |
| 48 | Yokohama                  | Japan       | 430,098 | 3,757,630  | 0.11    |
| 49 | Marseille                 | France      | 419,452 | 873,076    | 0.48    |
| 50 | Santander                 | Spain       | 378,588 | 172,726    | 2.19    |
| 51 | Elche                     | Spain       | 348,754 | 238,293    | 1.46    |
| 52 | Hasselt                   | Belgium     | 329,418 | 77,651     | 4.24    |
| 53 | Nantes                    | France      | 327,459 | 323,204    | 1.01    |
| 54 | Leuven                    | Belgium     | 315,559 | 101,032    | 3.12    |
| 55 | Bern                      | Switzerland | 314,232 | 134,506    | 2.34    |
| 56 | Baar                      | Switzerland | 308,990 | 24,464     | 12.63   |
| 57 | Plan-les-Ouates           | Switzerland | 306,375 | 10,656     | 28.75   |
| 58 | Chêne-Bougeries           | Switzerland | 304,808 | 12,215     | 24.95   |
| 59 | Le Grand-Saconnex         | Switzerland | 299,619 | 12,162     | 24.64   |
| 60 | Lyon                      | France      | 298,373 | 522,250    | 0.57    |
| 61 | Eivissa                   | Spain       | 295,172 | 51,996     | 5.68    |
| 62 | Rennes                    | France      | 292,662 | 225,081    | 1.30    |
| 63 | Ciudad Real               | Spain       | 281,840 | 74,850     | 3.77    |
| 64 | Bernex                    | Switzerland | 266,666 | 10,233     | 26.06   |
| 65 | Brugge                    | Belgium     | 251,547 | 118,509    | 2.12    |
| 66 | Cologne                   | Switzerland | 250,401 | 5,570      | 44.96   |
| 67 | Poitiers                  | France      | 245,117 | 90,240     | 2.72    |
| 68 | Eibar                     | Spain       | 242,651 | 27,234     | 8.91    |
| 69 | Rapperswil-Jona           | Switzerland | 230,431 | 26,995     | 8.54    |
| 70 | Leioa                     | Spain       | 229,244 | 32,491     | 7.06    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY           | COUNTRY     | USD     | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| 71  | Zwijndrecht            | Belgium     | 228,269 | 19,002     | 12.01   |
| 72  | Angers                 | France      | 227,612 | 157,175    | 1.45    |
| 73  | Bouguenais             | France      | 226,891 | 20,410     | 11.12   |
| 74  | Cergy                  | France      | 223,463 | 68,348     | 3.27    |
| 75  | Alcobendas             | Spain       | 222,485 | 119,416    | 1.86    |
| 76  | Kaunas                 | Lithuania   | 221,464 | 298,753    | 0.74    |
| 77  | Illnau-Effretikon      | Switzerland | 219,964 | 17,066     | 12.89   |
| 78  | Avilés                 | Spain       | 214,300 | 75,518     | 2.84    |
| 79  | Küsnacht               | Switzerland | 210,496 | 14,387     | 14.63   |
| 80  | Lleida                 | Spain       | 199,271 | 143,094    | 1.39    |
| 81  | Innsbruck              | Austria     | 198,567 | 130,585    | 1.52    |
| 82  | Zarautz                | Spain       | 197,597 | 23,152     | 8.53    |
| 83  | Coslada                | Spain       | 192,174 | 80,171     | 2.40    |
| 84  | Guadalajara            | Spain       | 189,294 | 89,010     | 2.13    |
| 85  | Santiago De Compostela | Spain       | 185,749 | 98,179     | 1.89    |
| 86  | Versoix                | Switzerland | 177,981 | 13,422     | 13.26   |
| 87  | Errenteria             | Spain       | 174,512 | 39,231     | 4.45    |
| 88  | Granollers             | Spain       | 173,990 | 63,092     | 2.76    |
| 89  | Beasain                | Spain       | 173,103 | 13,970     | 12.39   |
| 90  | Colombes               | France      | 170,892 | 88,870     | 1.92    |
| 91  | Hoogstraten            | Belgium     | 170,097 | 21,424     | 7.94    |
| 92  | Teruel                 | Spain       | 169,618 | 36,267     | 4.68    |
| 93  | Thiers                 | France      | 168,998 | 11,633     | 14.53   |
| 94  | Igualada               | Spain       | 165,920 | 41,164     | 4.03    |
| 95  | Mogadouro              | Portugal    | 163,765 | 8,301      | 19.73   |
| 96  | Murcia                 | Spain       | 159,781 | 469,177    | 0.34    |
| 97  | Anières                | Switzerland | 157,116 | 2,402      | 65.41   |
| 98  | Melun                  | France      | 156,284 | 42,367     | 3.69    |
| 99  | Soria                  | Spain       | 149,333 | 40,096     | 3.72    |
| 100 | Palencia               | Spain       | 147,229 | 76,331     | 1.93    |
| 101 | Sant Adrià De Besòs    | Spain       | 146,585 | 37,925     | 3.87    |
| 102 | Castellbisbal          | Spain       | 144,390 | 12,813     | 11.27   |
| 103 | Kyoto                  | Japan       | 143,234 | 1,448,964  | 0.10    |
| 104 | Veyrier                | Switzerland | 141,551 | 11,609     | 12.19   |
| 105 | Sint-Truiden           | Belgium     | 139,295 | 40,672     | 3.42    |
| 106 | Mantes la Jolie        | France      | 138,713 | 44,539     | 3.11    |
| 107 | Onex                   | Switzerland | 136,880 | 18,964     | 7.22    |
| 108 | Münsingen              | Switzerland | 136,168 | 12,723     | 10.70   |
| 109 | Visaginas              | Lithuania   | 135,966 | 19,633     | 6.93    |
| 110 | Vilanova I La Geltrú   | Spain       | 131,762 | 68,152     | 1.93    |
| 111 | Zollikon               | Switzerland | 130,932 | 13,367     | 9.80    |
| 112 | Alicante               | Spain       | 129,033 | 349,282    | 0.37    |
| 113 | Montreuil-Sous-Bois    | France      | 127,617 | 111,455    | 1.15    |
| 114 | Mahon                  | Spain       | 127,191 | 29,902     | 4.25    |
| 115 | Miranda De Ebro        | Spain       | 126,197 | 35,639     | 3.54    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY                   | COUNTRY     | USD     | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| 116 | Adliswil                       | Switzerland | 125,694 | 18,765     | 6.70    |
| 117 | Forlì                          | Italy       | 117,109 | 116,440    | 1.01    |
| 118 | Winterthur                     | Switzerland | 113,125 | 111,840    | 1.01    |
| 119 | Santa Perpètua De Mogoda       | Spain       | 112,827 | 25,928     | 4.35    |
| 120 | Collonge-Bellerive             | Switzerland | 112,389 | 8,489      | 13.24   |
| 121 | Saint-Etienne                  | France      | 111,214 | 172,718    | 0.64    |
| 122 | Mataró                         | Spain       | 111,139 | 129,870    | 0.86    |
| 123 | Saint Pierre les Elbeuf        | France      | 109,580 | 8,285      | 13.23   |
| 124 | Vilafranca Del Penedès         | Spain       | 107,688 | 40,714     | 2.64    |
| 125 | El Prat De Llobregat           | Spain       | 106,714 | 65,030     | 1.64    |
| 126 | Fuenlabrada                    | Spain       | 105,163 | 188,736    | 0.56    |
| 127 | Satigny                        | Switzerland | 104,748 | 4,185      | 25.03   |
| 128 | Genthod                        | Switzerland | 104,218 | 2,782      | 37.46   |
| 129 | Neringa                        | Lithuania   | 102,534 | 3,609      | 28.41   |
| 130 | Badajoz                        | Spain       | 102,430 | 150,190    | 0.68    |
| 131 | Federation of Canadian Municip | Canada      | 101,596 | 0          | n.a     |
| 132 | Cartagena                      | Spain       | 99,907  | 218,050    | 0.46    |
| 133 | Ponferrada                     | Spain       | 99,698  | 62,963     | 1.58    |
| 134 | Vevey                          | Switzerland | 99,502  | 19,891     | 5.00    |
| 135 | Maur                           | Switzerland | 98,456  | 10,211     | 9.64    |
| 136 | Vienne                         | France      | 96,292  | 31,051     | 3.10    |
| 137 | Chiba                          | Japan       | 95,147  | 975,014    | 0.10    |
| 138 | Getafe                         | Spain       | 94,647  | 185,899    | 0.51    |
| 139 | Luzern                         | Switzerland | 94,270  | 81,691     | 1.15    |
| 140 | Erstein                        | France      | 93,313  | 10,887     | 8.57    |
| 141 | Oud-Turnhout                   | Belgium     | 91,199  | 13,977     | 6.52    |
| 142 | Uster                          | Switzerland | 91,128  | 36,791     | 2.48    |
| 143 | Puplinge                       | Switzerland | 89,034  | 2,465      | 36.12   |
| 144 | Sint-Niklaas                   | Belgium     | 87,500  | 80,167     | 1.09    |
| 145 | Burlada/Burlata                | Spain       | 87,285  | 20,865     | 4.18    |
| 146 | Balen                          | Belgium     | 87,095  | 22,813     | 3.82    |
| 147 | Evergem                        | Belgium     | 86,880  | 35,239     | 2.47    |
| 148 | Zoersel                        | Belgium     | 86,806  | 21,739     | 3.99    |
| 149 | Londerzeel                     | Belgium     | 86,516  | 18,620     | 4.65    |
| 150 | Neuchâtel                      | Switzerland | 84,844  | 33,475     | 2.53    |
| 151 | Santa Eulalia del Rio          | Spain       | 84,620  | 34,946     | 2.42    |
| 152 | Milano                         | Italy       | 84,476  | 1,354,196  | 0.06    |
| 153 | Pinto                          | Spain       | 84,130  | 55,208     | 1.52    |
| 154 | Aesch                          | Switzerland | 83,796  | 10,352     | 8.09    |
| 155 | Salzburg (city)                | Austria     | 82,869  | 155,021    | 0.53    |
| 156 | Uitikon                        | Switzerland | 82,747  | 4,344      | 19.05   |
| 157 | Ordizia                        | Spain       | 82,029  | 10,641     | 7.71    |
| 158 | Odemira                        | Portugal    | 81,316  | 26,066     | 3.12    |
| 159 | Dijon                          | France      | 81,166  | 159,346    | 0.51    |
| 160 | Espalion                       | France      | 79,868  | 4,624      | 17.27   |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY         | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|----------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 161 | Salamanca            | Spain       | 78,872 | 143,954    | 0.55    |
| 162 | Pregny-Chambésy      | Switzerland | 78,558 | 3,880      | 20.25   |
| 163 | Frauenfeld           | Switzerland | 76,867 | 25,611     | 3.00    |
| 164 | Elektrėnai           | Lithuania   | 76,590 | 23,376     | 3.28    |
| 165 | Suresnes             | France      | 76,088 | 49,104     | 1.55    |
| 166 | Merelbeke            | Belgium     | 76,016 | 24,634     | 3.09    |
| 167 | Lebrija              | Spain       | 73,510 | 27,727     | 2.65    |
| 168 | Solothurn            | Switzerland | 73,322 | 16,777     | 4.37    |
| 169 | Bülach               | Switzerland | 73,320 | 20,443     | 3.59    |
| 170 | Águeda               | Portugal    | 73,244 | 46,131     | 1.59    |
| 171 | Confignon            | Switzerland | 71,424 | 4,627      | 15.44   |
| 172 | Zamora               | Spain       | 70,460 | 59,259     | 1.19    |
| 173 | Nyon                 | Switzerland | 70,002 | 21,192     | 3.30    |
| 174 | Palma de Mallorca    | Spain       | 68,544 | 415,940    | 0.16    |
| 175 | Mulhouse             | France      | 68,251 | 106,341    | 0.64    |
| 176 | Montreux             | Switzerland | 64,523 | 25,984     | 2.48    |
| 177 | Wijnegem             | Belgium     | 64,198 | 9,711      | 6.61    |
| 178 | Tres Cantos          | Spain       | 64,150 | 51,453     | 1.25    |
| 179 | La Roche-sur-Yon     | France      | 63,097 | 54,952     | 1.15    |
| 180 | Yverdon-les-Bains    | Switzerland | 62,847 | 30,157     | 2.08    |
| 181 | Pully                | Switzerland | 62,216 | 18,313     | 3.40    |
| 182 | Brest                | France      | 61,561 | 139,619    | 0.44    |
| 183 | La Possession        | France      | 61,449 | 35,245     | 1.74    |
| 184 | Troinex              | Switzerland | 60,742 | 2,501      | 24.29   |
| 185 | Orléans              | France      | 60,234 | 116,617    | 0.52    |
| 186 | Casares              | Spain       | 59,416 | 6,121      | 9.71    |
| 187 | Sint-Katelijne-Waver | Belgium     | 59,095 | 20,870     | 2.83    |
| 188 | Chur                 | Switzerland | 58,655 | 35,373     | 1.66    |
| 189 | Waregem              | Belgium     | 58,610 | 38,347     | 1.53    |
| 190 | Wetzikon             | Switzerland | 58,290 | 24,452     | 2.38    |
| 191 | Liège                | Belgium     | 58,000 | 195,278    | 0.30    |
| 192 | Marijampolė          | Lithuania   | 57,819 | 54,486     | 1.06    |
| 193 | Oostkamp             | Belgium     | 57,754 | 23,580     | 2.45    |
| 194 | Aldaia               | Spain       | 57,708 | 33,376     | 1.73    |
| 195 | Narón                | Spain       | 56,263 | 39,115     | 1.44    |
| 196 | Druskininkai         | Lithuania   | 55,684 | 20,049     | 2.78    |
| 197 | Wädenswil            | Switzerland | 54,466 | 21,617     | 2.52    |
| 198 | Montilla             | Spain       | 53,209 | 22,298     | 2.39    |
| 199 | Edegem               | Belgium     | 53,050 | 22,261     | 2.38    |
| 200 | Panevėžys            | Lithuania   | 52,582 | 89,100     | 0.59    |
| 201 | Antequera            | Spain       | 52,580 | 41,178     | 1.28    |
| 202 | Zumarraga            | Spain       | 52,488 | 9,681      | 5.42    |
| 203 | Lugano               | Switzerland | 52,372 | 63,185     | 0.83    |
| 204 | Aarau                | Switzerland | 52,372 | 21,503     | 2.44    |
| 205 | Muri bei Bern        | Switzerland | 52,370 | 13,054     | 4.01    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY     | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 206 | Clermont-Ferrand | France      | 50,268 | 147,327    | 0.34    |
| 207 | Hove             | Belgium     | 50,000 | 8,277      | 6.04    |
| 208 | Tafalla          | Spain       | 49,427 | 10,698     | 4.62    |
| 209 | Artà             | Spain       | 49,266 | 8,208      | 6.00    |
| 210 | Crolles          | France      | 48,584 | 8,317      | 5.84    |
| 211 | Penacova         | Portugal    | 47,677 | 15,251     | 3.13    |
| 212 | Mieres           | Spain       | 47,324 | 36,195     | 1.31    |
| 213 | Algemesí         | Spain       | 46,873 | 27,438     | 1.71    |
| 214 | Aalter           | Belgium     | 43,866 | 29,340     | 1.50    |
| 215 | Graz             | Austria     | 43,853 | 292,630    | 0.15    |
| 216 | Herrliberg       | Switzerland | 43,469 | 6,391      | 6.80    |
| 217 | Rüschlikon       | Switzerland | 43,467 | 6,036      | 7.20    |
| 218 | Fano             | Italy       | 43,097 | 59,785     | 0.72    |
| 219 | Marckolsheim     | France      | 43,054 | 4,309      | 9.99    |
| 220 | Torres Novas     | Portugal    | 42,201 | 36,717     | 1.15    |
| 221 | Moura            | Portugal    | 41,911 | 15,167     | 2.76    |
| 222 | Meinier          | Switzerland | 41,896 | 2,131      | 19.66   |
| 223 | Opfikon          | Switzerland | 41,896 | 20,356     | 2.06    |
| 224 | Alytus           | Lithuania   | 41,014 | 52,727     | 0.78    |
| 225 | Presinge         | Switzerland | 40,849 | 712        | 57.37   |
| 226 | Ponte de Lima    | Portugal    | 40,142 | 43,498     | 0.92    |
| 227 | Oberägeri        | Switzerland | 39,803 | 6,080      | 6.55    |
| 228 | Amadora          | Portugal    | 39,538 | 175,136    | 0.23    |
| 229 | Schoten          | Belgium     | 39,112 | 34,462     | 1.13    |
| 230 | Tervuren         | Belgium     | 39,074 | 22,248     | 1.76    |
| 231 | Ávila            | Spain       | 38,803 | 57,741     | 0.67    |
| 232 | Lucena           | Spain       | 36,784 | 42,813     | 0.86    |
| 233 | Allschwil        | Switzerland | 36,661 | 21,150     | 1.73    |
| 234 | Mies             | Switzerland | 36,660 | 7,975      | 4.60    |
| 235 | Polinyà          | Spain       | 36,469 | 8,482      | 4.30    |
| 236 | Figueira da Foz  | Portugal    | 36,195 | 62,125     | 0.58    |
| 237 | Koksijde         | Belgium     | 35,882 | 21,957     | 1.63    |
| 238 | Corsier          | Switzerland | 35,614 | 2,108      | 16.89   |
| 239 | Morges           | Switzerland | 35,613 | 15,705     | 2.27    |
| 240 | Thônex           | Switzerland | 35,613 | 14,122     | 2.52    |
| 241 | Düdingen         | Switzerland | 34,985 | 7,961      | 4.39    |
| 242 | Utebo            | Spain       | 34,967 | 18,955     | 1.84    |
| 243 | Kortrijk         | Belgium     | 34,750 | 77,741     | 0.45    |
| 244 | Stabroek         | Belgium     | 34,421 | 18,529     | 1.86    |
| 245 | Wil              | Switzerland | 34,042 | 23,955     | 1.42    |
| 246 | Collina d'Oro    | Switzerland | 34,040 | 4,895      | 6.95    |
| 247 | Écublens         | Switzerland | 33,203 | 12,854     | 2.58    |
| 248 | Barcelos         | Portugal    | 32,034 | 46,678     | 0.69    |
| 249 | Cartigny         | Switzerland | 31,946 | 974        | 32.80   |
| 250 | Beja             | Portugal    | 31,694 | 35,854     | 0.88    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY        | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|---------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 251 | Horgen              | Switzerland | 31,424 | 23,319     | 1.35    |
| 252 | Nürens Dorf         | Switzerland | 31,423 | 5,629      | 5.58    |
| 253 | Renens              | Switzerland | 31,423 | 21,405     | 1.47    |
| 254 | Binningen           | Switzerland | 31,422 | 15,675     | 2.00    |
| 255 | Casatenovo          | Italy       | 31,318 | 13,233     | 2.37    |
| 256 | Russin              | Switzerland | 31,312 | 541        | 57.88   |
| 257 | Hünenberg           | Switzerland | 29,329 | 8,807      | 3.33    |
| 258 | Thun                | Switzerland | 29,328 | 43,630     | 0.67    |
| 259 | Kraainem            | Belgium     | 29,100 | 13,690     | 2.13    |
| 260 | Soignies            | Belgium     | 28,000 | 27,603     | 1.01    |
| 261 | Heusden-Zolder      | Belgium     | 27,600 | 33,406     | 0.83    |
| 262 | Epalinges           | Switzerland | 27,547 | 9,611      | 2.87    |
| 263 | Tarnos              | France      | 26,659 | 12,920     | 2.06    |
| 264 | Beaumont-sur-Sarthe | France      | 26,532 | 1,974      | 13.44   |
| 265 | Bettingen           | Switzerland | 26,185 | 1,267      | 20.67   |
| 266 | Merksplas           | Belgium     | 25,950 | 8,588      | 3.02    |
| 267 | Sakai               | Japan       | 25,870 | 824,408    | 0.03    |
| 268 | Carmagnola          | Italy       | 25,817 | 28,182     | 0.92    |
| 269 | Jabbeke             | Belgium     | 24,700 | 13,880     | 1.78    |
| 270 | Rotselaar           | Belgium     | 23,750 | 16,678     | 1.42    |
| 271 | Olhão               | Portugal    | 23,662 | 45,396     | 0.52    |
| 272 | Nijlen              | Belgium     | 23,600 | 22,936     | 1.03    |
| 273 | León                | Spain       | 23,137 | 121,281    | 0.19    |
| 274 | Meliana             | Spain       | 23,136 | 10,918     | 2.12    |
| 275 | Val-de-Travers      | Switzerland | 23,044 | 10,575     | 2.18    |
| 276 | Aire-la-Ville       | Switzerland | 23,044 | 1,184      | 19.46   |
| 277 | Céligny             | Switzerland | 23,043 | 799        | 28.84   |
| 278 | Ourém               | Portugal    | 22,945 | 45,932     | 0.50    |
| 279 | Lochristi           | Belgium     | 22,499 | 22,300     | 1.01    |
| 280 | Tours               | France      | 22,400 | 137,658    | 0.16    |
| 281 | Lebbeke             | Belgium     | 22,150 | 19,195     | 1.15    |
| 282 | Hamamatsu           | Japan       | 22,065 | 788,211    | 0.03    |
| 283 | Brecht              | Belgium     | 22,000 | 29,454     | 0.75    |
| 284 | Aartselaar          | Belgium     | 21,597 | 14,610     | 1.48    |
| 285 | Avully              | Switzerland | 21,575 | 1,756      | 12.29   |
| 286 | Savigny le Temple   | France      | 21,558 | 30,510     | 0.71    |
| 287 | Portalegre          | Portugal    | 21,531 | 24,930     | 0.86    |
| 288 | Profondeville       | Belgium     | 21,442 | 12,204     | 1.76    |
| 289 | Malle               | Belgium     | 21,059 | 3,966      | 5.31    |
| 290 | Sion                | Switzerland | 20,949 | 34,708     | 0.60    |
| 291 | Glarus Nord         | Switzerland | 20,949 | 18,832     | 1.11    |
| 292 | Delémont            | Switzerland | 20,949 | 12,682     | 1.65    |
| 293 | Meggen              | Switzerland | 20,948 | 7,238      | 2.89    |
| 294 | Clamart             | France      | 20,782 | 54,491     | 0.38    |
| 295 | Cabeceiras de Basto | Portugal    | 20,478 | 16,710     | 1.23    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY                | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 296 | Wavre                       | Belgium     | 19,962 | 34,305     | 0.58    |
| 297 | Ninove                      | Belgium     | 19,900 | 39,626     | 0.50    |
| 298 | Rijkevorsel                 | Belgium     | 19,750 | 11,932     | 1.66    |
| 299 | Menen                       | Belgium     | 19,698 | 33,982     | 0.58    |
| 300 | Tarouca                     | Portugal    | 19,326 | 8,048      | 2.40    |
| 301 | Juvisy sur Orge             | France      | 19,086 | 18,424     | 1.04    |
| 302 | Nazareth                    | Belgium     | 19,063 | 11,574     | 1.65    |
| 303 | Knokke-Heist                | Belgium     | 19,000 | 33,097     | 0.57    |
| 304 | Lokeren                     | Belgium     | 19,000 | 42,703     | 0.44    |
| 305 | Bailleul                    | France      | 18,167 | 14,869     | 1.22    |
| 306 | Soral                       | Switzerland | 17,890 | 853        | 20.97   |
| 307 | Felanitx                    | Spain       | 17,878 | 18,592     | 0.96    |
| 308 | Therwil                     | Switzerland | 17,807 | 9,867      | 1.80    |
| 309 | Alcanena                    | Portugal    | 17,735 | 13,868     | 1.28    |
| 310 | Ribeauville                 | France      | 17,668 | 4,682      | 3.77    |
| 311 | Cambre                      | Spain       | 17,659 | 24,505     | 0.72    |
| 312 | Morella                     | Spain       | 17,562 | 2,492      | 7.05    |
| 313 | Dornbirn                    | Austria     | 17,141 | 49,278     | 0.35    |
| 314 | Wohlen bei Bern             | Switzerland | 17,126 | 9,282      | 1.85    |
| 315 | Bussigny                    | Switzerland | 17,073 | 8,736      | 1.95    |
| 316 | Palanga                     | Lithuania   | 16,921 | 16,746     | 1.01    |
| 317 | Brive la Gaillarde          | France      | 16,826 | 46,599     | 0.36    |
| 318 | Collex-Bossy                | Switzerland | 16,759 | 1,712      | 9.79    |
| 319 | Langenthal                  | Switzerland | 16,759 | 15,620     | 1.07    |
| 320 | Chalons en Champagne        | France      | 16,643 | 43,877     | 0.38    |
| 321 | Commercy                    | France      | 16,511 | 5,319      | 3.10    |
| 322 | Annecy                      | France      | 16,406 | 131,715    | 0.12    |
| 323 | Las Palmas de Gran Canarias | Spain       | 16,405 | 378,027    | 0.04    |
| 324 | Palmela                     | Portugal    | 16,345 | 62,831     | 0.26    |
| 325 | Zigoitia                    | Spain       | 16,300 | 1,753      | 9.30    |
| 326 | Meerhout                    | Belgium     | 16,000 | 10,324     | 1.55    |
| 327 | Pontevedra                  | Spain       | 15,775 | 82,535     | 0.19    |
| 328 | Granada                     | Spain       | 15,775 | 230,595    | 0.07    |
| 329 | Algaida                     | Spain       | 15,775 | 6,170      | 2.56    |
| 330 | Zizur Mayor                 | Spain       | 15,774 | 15,715     | 1.00    |
| 331 | Laguna De Duero             | Spain       | 15,774 | 22,672     | 0.70    |
| 332 | La Tour-de-Peilz            | Switzerland | 15,716 | 11,829     | 1.33    |
| 333 | Losone                      | Switzerland | 15,712 | 6,701      | 2.34    |
| 334 | Herk-de-Stad                | Belgium     | 15,575 | 12,817     | 1.22    |
| 335 | Neuville-de-Poitou          | France      | 15,249 | 5,429      | 2.81    |
| 336 | Sorengo                     | Switzerland | 15,189 | 1,774      | 8.56    |
| 337 | Braine-le-Château           | Belgium     | 15,000 | 10,447     | 1.44    |
| 338 | Olen                        | Belgium     | 15,000 | 12,560     | 1.19    |
| 339 | Alken                       | Belgium     | 14,998 | 11,757     | 1.28    |
| 340 | Seregno                     | Italy       | 14,723 | 44,917     | 0.33    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY                   | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 341 | Chancy                         | Switzerland | 14,658 | 1,686      | 8.69    |
| 342 | Aalst                          | Belgium     | 14,500 | 88,854     | 0.16    |
| 343 | Sint-Gillis-Waas               | Belgium     | 14,249 | 19,273     | 0.74    |
| 344 | Kuurne                         | Belgium     | 14,249 | 13,318     | 1.07    |
| 345 | Wels                           | Austria     | 14,197 | 61,233     | 0.23    |
| 346 | Vosselaar                      | Belgium     | 14,185 | 11,159     | 1.27    |
| 347 | Lubbeek                        | Belgium     | 14,099 | 14,393     | 0.98    |
| 348 | Oosterzele                     | Belgium     | 13,942 | 13,546     | 1.03    |
| 349 | Peniche                        | Portugal    | 13,687 | 27,753     | 0.49    |
| 350 | Feldkirch                      | Austria     | 13,671 | 33,420     | 0.41    |
| 351 | Sint-Martens-Latem             | Belgium     | 13,500 | 8,468      | 1.59    |
| 352 | Ponte de Sor                   | Portugal    | 13,436 | 16,722     | 0.80    |
| 353 | Gentilly                       | France      | 13,198 | 19,048     | 0.69    |
| 354 | Tabuaço                        | Portugal    | 13,178 | 6,350      | 2.08    |
| 355 | Santa Marta De Tormes          | Spain       | 13,145 | 14,683     | 0.90    |
| 356 | Hermance                       | Switzerland | 12,663 | 1,062      | 11.92   |
| 357 | Peralta                        | Spain       | 12,620 | 5,979      | 2.11    |
| 358 | Ramonville Saint Agne          | France      | 12,620 | 14,949     | 0.84    |
| 359 | Gossau                         | Switzerland | 12,569 | 18,229     | 0.69    |
| 360 | Belp                           | Switzerland | 12,569 | 11,510     | 1.09    |
| 361 | Dardagny                       | Switzerland | 12,465 | 1,864      | 6.69    |
| 362 | Lagoa (Algarve)                | Portugal    | 12,456 | 22,975     | 0.54    |
| 363 | Götzis                         | Austria     | 12,251 | 11,473     | 1.07    |
| 364 | Moncoutant                     | France      | 11,934 | 3,161      | 3.78    |
| 365 | Gook                           | Belgium     | 11,889 | 9,236      | 1.29    |
| 366 | Zonhoven                       | Belgium     | 11,750 | 21,214     | 0.55    |
| 367 | Lugo                           | Spain       | 11,681 | 98,214     | 0.12    |
| 368 | Cham                           | Switzerland | 11,521 | 17,060     | 0.68    |
| 369 | Laarne                         | Belgium     | 11,388 | 12,487     | 0.91    |
| 370 | Maasmechelen                   | Belgium     | 11,250 | 38,193     | 0.29    |
| 371 | Nanterre                       | France      | 11,094 | 97,351     | 0.11    |
| 372 | Nancy                          | France      | 11,042 | 104,260    | 0.11    |
| 373 | Odivelas                       | Portugal    | 10,937 | 144,549    | 0.08    |
| 374 | Bennwihr                       | France      | 10,542 | 1,354      | 7.79    |
| 375 | Pezilla la Rivière             | France      | 10,516 | 3,942      | 2.67    |
| 376 | Città Metropolitana di Firenze | Italy       | 10,516 | 191,867    | 0.05    |
| 377 | Torrejón De Ardoz              | Spain       | 10,516 | 137,711    | 0.08    |
| 378 | Autun                          | France      | 10,516 | 13,145     | 0.80    |
| 379 | Bagnères de Luchon             | France      | 10,516 | 2,152      | 4.89    |
| 380 | Andorra                        | Spain       | 10,516 | 7,633      | 1.38    |
| 381 | Monzón                         | Spain       | 10,511 | 17,839     | 0.59    |
| 382 | Jegenstorf                     | Switzerland | 10,475 | 5,746      | 1.82    |
| 383 | Rheinfelden                    | Switzerland | 10,474 | 33,581     | 0.31    |
| 384 | Oetwil am See                  | Switzerland | 10,474 | 4,815      | 2.18    |
| 385 | Wünnewil-Flamatt               | Switzerland | 10,474 | 5,579      | 1.88    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY          | COUNTRY     | USD    | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| 386 | Prilly                | Switzerland | 10,474 | 12,399     | 0.84    |
| 387 | Lauterbrunnen         | Switzerland | 10,474 | 2,290      | 4.57    |
| 388 | Neunforn              | Switzerland | 10,474 | 1,039      | 10.08   |
| 389 | Zollikofen            | Switzerland | 10,474 | 10,300     | 1.02    |
| 390 | Homburg               | Switzerland | 10,474 | 1,543      | 6.79    |
| 391 | Kriens                | Switzerland | 10,474 | 27,441     | 0.38    |
| 392 | Beringen              | Switzerland | 10,474 | 4,803      | 2.18    |
| 393 | Pfeffingen            | Switzerland | 10,473 | 2,336      | 4.48    |
| 394 | Sierre                | Switzerland | 10,371 | 16,790     | 0.62    |
| 395 | Asse                  | Belgium     | 10,125 | 32,958     | 0.31    |
| 396 | Châteauroux           | France      | 10,106 | 42,968     | 0.24    |
| 397 | Huy                   | Belgium     | 10,000 | 21,293     | 0.47    |
| 398 | Reguengos Monsaraz    | Portugal    | 9,973  | 9,871      | 1.01    |
| 399 | Hochfelden            | France      | 9,885  | 4,042      | 2.45    |
| 400 | Birštonas             | Lithuania   | 9,675  | 4,128      | 2.34    |
| 401 | Eeklo                 | Belgium     | 9,500  | 20,890     | 0.45    |
| 402 | Burriana              | Spain       | 9,455  | 35,750     | 0.26    |
| 403 | Bocholt               | Belgium     | 9,310  | 13,085     | 0.71    |
| 404 | Cossonay              | Switzerland | 9,063  | 3,876      | 2.34    |
| 405 | Carreño               | Spain       | 8,939  | 10,177     | 0.88    |
| 406 | La Chapelle-Sur-Erdre | France      | 8,938  | 20,331     | 0.44    |
| 407 | Habay                 | Belgium     | 8,697  | 8,387      | 1.04    |
| 408 | Hamont-Achel          | Belgium     | 8,617  | 14,299     | 0.60    |
| 409 | Acigne                | France      | 8,479  | 6,888      | 1.23    |
| 410 | Freienbach            | Switzerland | 8,379  | 16,391     | 0.51    |
| 411 | Wangen-Brüttisellen   | Switzerland | 8,379  | 7,904      | 1.06    |
| 412 | Gavere                | Belgium     | 8,300  | 12,769     | 0.65    |
| 413 | Wolfurt               | Austria     | 8,166  | 8,446      | 0.97    |
| 414 | Buggenhout            | Belgium     | 8,000  | 14,475     | 0.55    |
| 415 | Dison                 | Belgium     | 8,000  | 15,405     | 0.52    |
| 416 | Hamme                 | Belgium     | 8,000  | 24,829     | 0.32    |
| 417 | Gy                    | Switzerland | 7,962  | 477        | 16.69   |
| 418 | Linz                  | Austria     | 7,961  | 210,165    | 0.04    |
| 419 | Saanen                | Switzerland | 7,541  | 6,818      | 1.11    |
| 420 | Dessel                | Belgium     | 7,501  | 9,605      | 0.78    |
| 421 | Aarschot              | Belgium     | 7,500  | 29,965     | 0.25    |
| 422 | Wachtebeke            | Belgium     | 7,500  | 7,683      | 0.98    |
| 423 | Mouilleron le Captif  | France      | 7,361  | 5,037      | 1.46    |
| 424 | Laconnex              | Switzerland | 7,332  | 699        | 10.49   |
| 425 | Wettingen             | Switzerland | 7,332  | 20,843     | 0.35    |
| 426 | Bolligen              | Switzerland | 7,331  | 6,264      | 1.17    |
| 427 | Holsbeek              | Belgium     | 6,630  | 9,905      | 0.67    |
| 428 | Vila Nova de Poiares  | Portugal    | 6,427  | 7,281      | 0.88    |
| 429 | Móstoles              | Spain       | 6,310  | 208,761    | 0.03    |
| 430 | Nules                 | Spain       | 6,310  | 13,827     | 0.46    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY            | COUNTRY     | USD   | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 431 | Torreperogil            | Spain       | 6,310 | 7,108      | 0.89    |
| 432 | Pavia                   | Italy       | 6,310 | 72,773     | 0.09    |
| 433 | Esplugues De Llobregat  | Spain       | 6,310 | 46,921     | 0.13    |
| 434 | Tielt                   | Belgium     | 6,285 | 20,500     | 0.31    |
| 435 | Jouxten-Mézery          | Switzerland | 6,284 | 1,470      | 4.27    |
| 436 | Beernem                 | Belgium     | 6,250 | 15,687     | 0.40    |
| 437 | Horn                    | Switzerland | 6,075 | 2,752      | 2.21    |
| 438 | Les Breuleux            | Switzerland | 6,002 | 1,526      | 3.93    |
| 439 | Kaprijke                | Belgium     | 6,000 | 6,429      | 0.93    |
| 440 | Thayngen                | Switzerland | 5,930 | 5,453      | 1.09    |
| 441 | Peñarroya - Pueblonuevo | Spain       | 5,847 | 10,317     | 0.57    |
| 442 | Carcabuey               | Spain       | 5,823 | 2,318      | 2.51    |
| 443 | Rubigen                 | Switzerland | 5,753 | 2,929      | 1.96    |
| 444 | Alcacér do Sal          | Portugal    | 5,531 | 13,046     | 0.42    |
| 445 | Tessengerlo             | Belgium     | 5,500 | 18,514     | 0.30    |
| 446 | Poperinge               | Belgium     | 5,350 | 19,782     | 0.27    |
| 447 | Wortegem-Petegem        | Belgium     | 5,307 | 6,440      | 0.82    |
| 448 | Malpartida de Cáceres   | Spain       | 5,258 | 4,086      | 1.29    |
| 449 | Biel/Bienne             | Switzerland | 5,238 | 55,120     | 0.10    |
| 450 | Fehraltorf              | Switzerland | 5,237 | 6,434      | 0.81    |
| 451 | Konolfingen             | Switzerland | 5,237 | 5,283      | 0.99    |
| 452 | Spiez                   | Switzerland | 5,237 | 12,810     | 0.41    |
| 453 | Gsteig                  | Switzerland | 5,237 | 978        | 5.35    |
| 454 | Stettlen                | Switzerland | 5,237 | 3,116      | 1.68    |
| 455 | Orselina                | Switzerland | 5,237 | 727        | 7.20    |
| 456 | Roggwil                 | Switzerland | 5,237 | 3,068      | 1.71    |
| 457 | Sursee                  | Switzerland | 5,237 | 9,953      | 0.53    |
| 458 | Bulle                   | Switzerland | 5,237 | 23,438     | 0.22    |
| 459 | Bellach                 | Switzerland | 5,237 | 5,214      | 1.00    |
| 460 | Bözberg                 | Switzerland | 5,237 | 1,589      | 3.30    |
| 461 | Heimberg                | Switzerland | 5,236 | 6,827      | 0.77    |
| 462 | Zele                    | Belgium     | 5,218 | 20,976     | 0.25    |
| 463 | Stettfurt               | Switzerland | 5,213 | 1,204      | 4.33    |
| 464 | Rohrbach                | Austria     | 5,050 | 2,530      | 2.00    |
| 465 | Assenede                | Belgium     | 5,000 | 14,200     | 0.35    |
| 466 | Lierde                  | Belgium     | 5,000 | 6,567      | 0.76    |
| 467 | Sankt-Vith              | Belgium     | 5,000 | 9,682      | 0.52    |
| 468 | Schötz                  | Switzerland | 4,950 | 4,434      | 1.12    |
| 469 | Reims                   | France      | 4,839 | 179,380    | 0.03    |
| 470 | El Grado                | Spain       | 4,837 | 391        | 12.37   |
| 471 | Vielsalm                | Belgium     | 4,750 | 7,821      | 0.61    |
| 472 | El Real de la Jara      | Spain       | 4,732 | 1,523      | 3.11    |
| 473 | Canet De Mar            | Spain       | 4,732 | 15,010     | 0.32    |
| 474 | Oberdiessbach           | Switzerland | 4,714 | 3,525      | 1.34    |
| 475 | Retie                   | Belgium     | 4,682 | 11,240     | 0.42    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY          | COUNTRY     | USD   | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 476 | Moorslede             | Belgium     | 4,650 | 11,080     | 0.42    |
| 477 | Iniesta               | Spain       | 4,627 | 4,299      | 1.08    |
| 478 | Grež-Doiceau          | Belgium     | 4,500 | 13,368     | 0.34    |
| 479 | Bösingen              | Switzerland | 4,428 | 3,408      | 1.30    |
| 480 | Belmont-Broye         | Switzerland | 4,399 | 6,010      | 0.73    |
| 481 | St. Pölten            | Austria     | 4,364 | 55,514     | 0.08    |
| 482 | Villalarbo            | Spain       | 4,207 | 1,798      | 2.34    |
| 483 | Trelaze               | France      | 4,207 | 15,358     | 0.27    |
| 484 | Arteixo               | Spain       | 4,197 | 33,516     | 0.13    |
| 485 | Fribourg              | Switzerland | 4,190 | 38,365     | 0.11    |
| 486 | Suhr                  | Switzerland | 4,190 | 10,424     | 0.40    |
| 487 | Windisch              | Switzerland | 4,190 | 8,059      | 0.52    |
| 488 | Oberwil               | Switzerland | 4,190 | 11,136     | 0.38    |
| 489 | Peer                  | Belgium     | 4,000 | 16,421     | 0.24    |
| 490 | COULON                | France      | 3,933 | 6,742      | 0.58    |
| 491 | Allassac              | France      | 3,894 | 4,019      | 0.97    |
| 492 | Genappe               | Belgium     | 3,750 | 15,353     | 0.24    |
| 493 | Risch                 | Switzerland | 3,668 | 10,857     | 0.34    |
| 494 | Yvonand               | Switzerland | 3,666 | 3,427      | 1.07    |
| 495 | Arbaz                 | Switzerland | 3,666 | 1,280      | 2.86    |
| 496 | San Pedro             | Spain       | 3,617 | 1,153      | 3.14    |
| 497 | Wollerau              | Switzerland | 3,491 | 7,255      | 0.48    |
| 498 | Sierentz              | France      | 3,416 | 4,145      | 0.82    |
| 499 | Aix-en-Provence       | France      | 3,365 | 147,478    | 0.02    |
| 500 | Halen                 | Belgium     | 3,353 | 9,461      | 0.35    |
| 501 | Isola                 | France      | 3,351 | 653        | 5.13    |
| 502 | Saint-Jean-De-Boiseau | France      | 3,312 | 5,982      | 0.55    |
| 503 | Valongo               | Portugal    | 3,224 | 93,858     | 0.03    |
| 504 | Sorgues               | France      | 3,155 | 19,117     | 0.17    |
| 505 | Ossa de Montiel       | Spain       | 3,155 | 2,209      | 1.43    |
| 506 | Anglet                | France      | 3,155 | 41,153     | 0.08    |
| 507 | Manno                 | Switzerland | 3,142 | 1,324      | 2.37    |
| 508 | Malans                | Switzerland | 3,142 | 2,378      | 1.32    |
| 509 | Widnau                | Switzerland | 3,142 | 9,616      | 0.33    |
| 510 | La Carlota            | Spain       | 3,141 | 14,324     | 0.22    |
| 511 | Herselt               | Belgium     | 3,100 | 14,521     | 0.21    |
| 512 | Cureglia              | Switzerland | 3,027 | 1,385      | 2.19    |
| 513 | Corminboeuf           | Switzerland | 3,009 | 2,695      | 1.12    |
| 514 | Awans                 | Belgium     | 3,000 | 9,353      | 0.32    |
| 515 | De Haan               | Belgium     | 3,000 | 12,635     | 0.24    |
| 516 | Gerpennes             | Belgium     | 2,679 | 12,660     | 0.21    |
| 517 | Ames                  | Spain       | 2,676 | 32,482     | 0.08    |
| 518 | Coldrerio             | Switzerland | 2,650 | 2,939      | 0.90    |
| 519 | Borgomanero           | Italy       | 2,630 | 21,188     | 0.12    |
| 520 | Braunau               | Austria     | 2,629 | 17,095     | 0.15    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY              | COUNTRY     | USD   | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 521 | Nigrán                    | Spain       | 2,629 | 18,054     | 0.15    |
| 522 | Etival les le Mans        | France      | 2,629 | 1,887      | 1.39    |
| 523 | Riva San Vitale           | Switzerland | 2,619 | 2,646      | 0.99    |
| 524 | Büllingen (Bullange)      | Belgium     | 2,500 | 5,473      | 0.46    |
| 525 | Burdinne                  | Belgium     | 2,500 | 3,236      | 0.77    |
| 526 | Horebeke                  | Belgium     | 2,500 | 2,048      | 1.22    |
| 527 | Pittem                    | Belgium     | 2,500 | 6,752      | 0.37    |
| 528 | Wanze                     | Belgium     | 2,500 | 13,576     | 0.18    |
| 529 | Cugnasco-Gerra            | Switzerland | 2,409 | 2,861      | 0.84    |
| 530 | Sapporo                   | Japan       | 2,271 | 1,959,313  | 0.00    |
| 531 | Saint Jacques de la Lande | France      | 2,261 | 13,656     | 0.17    |
| 532 | Riveira                   | Spain       | 2,182 | 27,030     | 0.08    |
| 533 | Fene                      | Spain       | 2,103 | 12,561     | 0.17    |
| 534 | CHARROUX                  | France      | 2,103 | 1,072      | 1.96    |
| 535 | Eschbach                  | France      | 2,103 | 960        | 2.19    |
| 536 | Beynat                    | France      | 2,103 | 1,247      | 1.69    |
| 537 | Castres-Gironde           | France      | 2,103 | 2,575      | 0.82    |
| 538 | Moliet-et-Maa             | France      | 2,103 | 1,264      | 1.66    |
| 539 | Rohrbach                  | Switzerland | 2,095 | 1,480      | 1.42    |
| 540 | Wallisellen               | Switzerland | 2,095 | 16,315     | 0.13    |
| 541 | Moosseedorf               | Switzerland | 2,095 | 4,111      | 0.51    |
| 542 | Starrkirch-Wil            | Switzerland | 2,095 | 1,714      | 1.22    |
| 543 | Dänikon                   | Switzerland | 2,095 | 1,874      | 1.12    |
| 544 | Büetigen                  | Switzerland | 2,095 | 869        | 2.41    |
| 545 | Dagmersellen              | Switzerland | 2,094 | 5,503      | 0.38    |
| 546 | Remanzacco                | Italy       | 2,083 | 6,006      | 0.35    |
| 547 | Redondela                 | Spain       | 2,060 | 28,937     | 0.07    |
| 548 | Šiauliai                  | Lithuania   | 2,051 | 100,653    | 0.02    |
| 549 | Aubange                   | Belgium     | 2,000 | 16,927     | 0.12    |
| 550 | Lede                      | Belgium     | 2,000 | 18,628     | 0.11    |
| 551 | Torhout                   | Belgium     | 1,950 | 20,530     | 0.09    |
| 552 | Ponteareas                | Spain       | 1,821 | 23,154     | 0.08    |
| 553 | Matran                    | Switzerland | 1,715 | 1,521      | 1.13    |
| 554 | Canobbio                  | Switzerland | 1,676 | 2,249      | 0.75    |
| 555 | Biberist                  | Switzerland | 1,676 | 9,188      | 0.18    |
| 556 | Banyeres De Mariola       | Spain       | 1,577 | 7,255      | 0.22    |
| 557 | Peñamellera Baja          | Spain       | 1,577 | 1,221      | 1.29    |
| 558 | Lena                      | Spain       | 1,577 | 10,312     | 0.15    |
| 559 | Rivalta di Torino         | Italy       | 1,577 | 20,199     | 0.08    |
| 560 | Herne                     | Belgium     | 1,563 | 6,643      | 0.24    |
| 561 | Teo                       | Spain       | 1,507 | 18,918     | 0.08    |
| 562 | Ingelmunster              | Belgium     | 1,500 | 10,928     | 0.14    |
| 563 | Pepingen                  | Belgium     | 1,500 | 4,372      | 0.34    |
| 564 | Monforte De Lemos         | Spain       | 1,496 | 18,234     | 0.08    |
| 565 | Bree                      | Belgium     | 1,450 | 16,324     | 0.09    |

| #   | MUNICIPALITY          | COUNTRY     | USD   | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 566 | eghezee               | Belgium     | 1,400 | 16,247     | 0.09    |
| 567 | Jouy-en-Josas         | France      | 1,399 | 7,928      | 0.18    |
| 568 | Breggia               | Switzerland | 1,362 | 1,988      | 0.69    |
| 569 | Bellinzona            | Switzerland | 1,308 | 43,785     | 0.03    |
| 570 | Heuveland             | Belgium     | 1,300 | 7,862      | 0.17    |
| 571 | Lumino                | Switzerland | 1,281 | 1,513      | 0.85    |
| 572 | Navas de San Juan     | Spain       | 1,262 | 4,427      | 0.29    |
| 573 | Auw                   | Switzerland | 1,257 | 2,157      | 0.58    |
| 574 | Amel                  | Belgium     | 1,250 | 5,480      | 0.23    |
| 575 | Arlon                 | Belgium     | 1,200 | 26,548     | 0.05    |
| 576 | LES TROIS-MOUTIERS    | France      | 1,179 | 1,086      | 1.09    |
| 577 | Tomíño                | Spain       | 1,164 | 13,779     | 0.08    |
| 578 | Barco De Valdeorras   | Spain       | 1,158 | 13,277     | 0.09    |
| 579 | Septemes les Vallons  | France      | 1,083 | 11,734     | 0.09    |
| 580 | Laracha               | Spain       | 1,082 | 11,505     | 0.09    |
| 581 | Zoutleeuw             | Belgium     | 1,080 | 8,498      | 0.13    |
| 582 | Langemark-Poelkapelle | Belgium     | 1,055 | 7,920      | 0.13    |
| 583 | Huésca                | Spain       | 1,052 | 7,220      | 0.15    |
| 584 | Vouillé               | France      | 1,052 | 3,660      | 0.29    |
| 585 | Cornas                | France      | 1,052 | 2,353      | 0.45    |
| 586 | Saint-Hilaire-Peyroux | France      | 1,052 | 1,015      | 1.04    |
| 587 | Saint Maximin         | France      | 1,052 | 794        | 1.32    |
| 588 | Porrentruy            | Switzerland | 1,047 | 6,675      | 0.16    |
| 589 | Lengnau bei Biel      | Switzerland | 1,047 | 5,254      | 0.20    |
| 590 | Penthaz               | Switzerland | 1,047 | 1,749      | 0.60    |
| 591 | Corsier-sur-Vevey     | Switzerland | 1,047 | 3,390      | 0.31    |
| 592 | Châtillon             | Switzerland | 1,047 | 466        | 2.25    |
| 593 | Biard                 | France      | 1,041 | 1,884      | 0.55    |
| 594 | Gingelom              | Belgium     | 1,000 | 8,391      | 0.12    |
| 595 | Spa                   | Belgium     | 1,000 | 10,378     | 0.10    |
| 596 | Zutendaal             | Belgium     | 1,000 | 7,234      | 0.14    |
| 597 | Padova                | Italy       | 978   | 206,496    | 0.00    |
| 598 | Walhain               | Belgium     | 950   | 7,167      | 0.13    |
| 599 | Mörigen               | Switzerland | 936   | 879        | 1.06    |
| 600 | Bergheim              | France      | 840   | 2,066      | 0.41    |
| 601 | Cevio                 | Switzerland | 838   | 1,159      | 0.72    |
| 602 | Burgdorf              | Switzerland | 836   | 16,417     | 0.05    |
| 603 | Xove                  | Spain       | 809   | 3,330      | 0.24    |
| 604 | Burela                | Spain       | 792   | 9,454      | 0.08    |
| 605 | Porto Do Son          | Spain       | 786   | 9,125      | 0.09    |
| 606 | Salvaterra de Magos   | Portugal    | 765   | 22,159     | 0.03    |
| 607 | Ashikaga              | Japan       | 761   | 142,882    | 0.01    |
| 608 | Grancia               | Switzerland | 754   | 492        | 1.53    |
| 609 | Le Noirmont           | Switzerland | 733   | 1,847      | 0.40    |
| 610 | Pontedeume            | Spain       | 732   | 7,520      | 0.10    |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | MUNICIPALITY         | COUNTRY     | USD | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|-----|----------------------|-------------|-----|------------|---------|
| 611 | Bavilliers           | France      | 694 | 4,627      | 0.15    |
| 612 | Bergondo             | Spain       | 694 | 6,951      | 0.10    |
| 613 | Carral               | Spain       | 687 | 6,700      | 0.10    |
| 614 | San Cibrao Das Viñas | Spain       | 650 | 5,675      | 0.11    |
| 615 | Moiry                | Switzerland | 639 | 310        | 2.06    |
| 616 | Pomy                 | Switzerland | 628 | 781        | 0.80    |
| 617 | Bertogne             | Belgium     | 600 | 3,579      | 0.17    |
| 618 | Maarkedal            | Belgium     | 600 | 6,338      | 0.09    |
| 619 | Lo-Reninge           | Belgium     | 550 | 3,289      | 0.17    |
| 620 | Moeche               | Spain       | 526 | 1,206      | 0.44    |
| 621 | San Sadurniño        | Spain       | 526 | 2,751      | 0.19    |
| 622 | Arrigorriaga         | Spain       | 526 | 11,954     | 0.04    |
| 623 | Chamboulive          | France      | 526 | 1,164      | 0.45    |
| 624 | La Biolle            | France      | 526 | 2,896      | 0.18    |
| 625 | Eich                 | Switzerland | 524 | 1,626      | 0.32    |
| 626 | Urdorf               | Switzerland | 524 | 9,846      | 0.05    |
| 627 | Laupersdorf          | Switzerland | 524 | 1,813      | 0.29    |
| 628 | Cabanas              | Spain       | 502 | 4,123      | 0.12    |
| 629 | Geraardsbergen       | Belgium     | 500 | 33,403     | 0.01    |
| 630 | Montigné les Rairies | France      | 466 | 449        | 1.04    |

| #            | MUNICIPALITY         | COUNTRY     | USD                | POPULATION | USD/POB |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| 631          | Loures               | Portugal    | 463                | 205,054    | 0.00    |
| 632          | Kukamoto             | Japan       | 457                | 738,385    | 0.00    |
| 633          | Moutier              | Switzerland | 419                | 7,384      | 0.06    |
| 634          | Gouvy                | Belgium     | 400                | 5,206      | 0.08    |
| 635          | Rodeiro              | Spain       | 396                | 2,260      | 0.18    |
| 636          | Laxe                 | Spain       | 387                | 2,939      | 0.13    |
| 637          | Marcillac la Croze   | France      | 368                | 174        | 2.11    |
| 638          | Marco de Canaveses   | Portugal    | 347                | 53,450     | 0.01    |
| 639          | Belrupt-en-Verdunois | France      | 315                | 545        | 0.58    |
| 640          | Montilliez           | Switzerland | 313                | 1,780      | 0.18    |
| 641          | Shizuoka             | Japan       | 266                | 685,589    | 0.00    |
| 642          | Ecaussinnes          | Belgium     | 261                | 11,135     | 0.02    |
| 643          | Castrelo De Miño     | Spain       | 255                | 1,315      | 0.19    |
| 644          | Vilasantar           | Spain       | 250                | 1,232      | 0.20    |
| 645          | Oupeye               | Belgium     | 250                | 25,297     | 0.01    |
| 646          | Rendeux              | Belgium     | 250                | 2,582      | 0.10    |
| 647          | Medellín             | Spain       | 235                | 2,237      | 0.11    |
| 648          | Courrendlin          | Switzerland | 209                | 3,631      | 0.06    |
| 649          | Cormoret             | Switzerland | 209                | 491        | 0.43    |
| 650          | Castro Daire         | Portugal    | 135                | 15,339     | 0.01    |
| <b>Total</b> |                      |             | <b>232,237,445</b> |            |         |

## RANKING OF SUPRAMUNICIPAL ENTITIES, 2022

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #  | DONOR   | COUNTRY | USD        |
|----|---|---------|------------|
| 1  | Conseil départemental de Seine-Saint-Denis            | France  | 42,395,938 |
| 2  | Diputación de Bizkaia                                 | Spain   | 8,512,187  |
| 3  | Conseil départemental du Val-De-Marne                 | France  | 7,480,477  |
| 4  | Moravian-Silesian Municipalities                      | Czechia | 4,616,454  |
| 5  | Zlin municipalities                                   | Czechia | 4,538,640  |
| 6  | Diputación de Gipuzkoa                                | Spain   | 4,504,415  |
| 7  | SIAAP (Service Public de l'Assainissement francilien) | France  | 3,950,445  |
| 8  | Central Bohemian Municipalities                       | Czechia | 3,101,758  |
| 9  | Conseil départemental des Hauts de Seine              | France  | 2,577,632  |
| 10 | Syndicat des Eaux d'Ile-de-France                     | France  | 2,544,433  |
| 11 | Conseil départemental des Yvelines                    | France  | 2,192,379  |
| 12 | Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano                         | Italy   | 2,179,566  |
| 13 | Grand Lyon  | France  | 1,769,205  |
| 14 | Pardubice Municipalities                              | Czechia | 1,567,965  |
| 15 | Diputación de Valencia                                | Spain   | 1,557,462  |
| 16 | Conseil départemental de Loire-Atlantique             | France  | 1,478,309  |
| 17 | South Moravian Municipalities                         | Czechia | 1,389,074  |
| 18 | Diputación de Barcelona                               | Spain   | 1,301,605  |
| 19 | Diputación de Alicante                                | Spain   | 1,286,677  |
| 20 | South Bohemia Municipalities                          | Czechia | 1,262,149  |
| 21 | Plzen Municipalities                                  | Czechia | 1,163,427  |
| 22 | Diputación de Córdoba                                 | Spain   | 1,150,441  |
| 23 | Cabildo de Tenerife                                   | Spain   | 1,094,236  |
| 24 | Conseil départemental de Seine Maritime               | France  | 1,055,493  |
| 25 | Nantes Métropole                                      | France  | 894,238    |
| 26 | Diputación de Huelva                                  | Spain   | 884,740    |
| 27 | Liberec Municipalities                                | Czechia | 852,699    |
| 28 | Diputación de Cádiz                                   | Spain   | 827,689    |
| 29 | Diputación de Jaén                                    | Spain   | 726,964    |
| 30 | Provincia autonoma di Trento                          | Italy   | 694,748    |
| 31 | Consell de Menorca                                    | Spain   | 632,035    |
| 32 | Grand Poitiers  | France  | 595,601    |
| 33 | Diputación de Cáceres                                 | Spain   | 583,659    |
| 34 | Diputación de Valladolid                              | Spain   | 575,967    |
| 35 | Aix-Marseille-Provence Métropole                      | France  | 531,437    |
| 36 | Diputación de Granada                                 | Spain   | 530,834    |
| 37 | Syctom  | France  | 518,381    |
| 38 | Usti municipalities                                   | Czechia | 485,921    |
| 39 | Conseil départemental de la Savoie                    | France  | 478,915    |
| 40 | Conseil départemental de l'Isère                      | France  | 477,489    |
| 41 | Conseil départemental d'Ille-et-Vilaine               | France  | 388,156    |
| 42 | Dunkerque Grand Littoral Communauté urbaine           | France  | 387,896    |

| #  | DONOR   | COUNTRY | USD     |
|----|---|---------|---------|
| 43 | Hradec Kralove Municipalities   | Czechia | 373,667 |
| 44 | Olomouc Municipalities  | Czechia | 349,570 |
| 45 | Communauté urbaine du Grand Reims   | France  | 347,026 |
| 46 | Conseil départemental de Meurthe-Et-Moselle                               | France  | 345,311 |
| 47 | Bordeaux Métropole  | France  | 324,069 |
| 48 | Conseil départemental de l'Essonne SCDRI                                  | France  | 310,209 |
| 49 | Communauté d'agglomération de Cergy-Pontoise                              | France  | 273,845 |
| 50 | Conseil départemental de la Charente-Maritime                             | France  | 262,909 |
| 51 | Diputación de Huesca  | Spain   | 261,861 |
| 52 | FNMC  | Spain   | 245,658 |
| 53 | Conseil départemental des Bouches du Rhône                                | France  | 222,420 |
| 54 | Diputación de Palencia  | Spain   | 220,837 |
| 55 | Communauté d'agglomération Grenoble Alpes métropole                       | France  | 216,847 |
| 56 | Diputación de Girona  | Spain   | 209,051 |
| 57 | Eurométropole de Strasbourg   | France  | 204,962 |
| 58 | Vysocina municipalities   | Czechia | 203,794 |
| 59 | Karlovy Vary municipalities   | Czechia | 189,950 |
| 60 | Diputación de Burgos  | Spain   | 184,036 |
| 61 | Communauté d'agglomération du Grand Angoulême                             | France  | 180,177 |
| 62 | Diputación de Pontevedra  | Spain   | 146,073 |
| 63 | Diputación de Cuenca  | Spain   | 132,504 |
| 64 | Métropole Rouen Normandie   | France  | 128,232 |
| 65 | Communauté d'agglomération du Sud-Est Toulousain (Sicoval)                | France  | 127,409 |
| 66 | Brest métropole   | France  | 111,402 |
| 67 | Communauté d'agglomération Grand Paris Sud                                | France  | 110,421 |
| 68 | Communauté d'agglomération de la Rochelle                                 | France  | 101,620 |
| 69 | Federation of Canadian Municipalities                                     | Canada  | 101,596 |
| 70 | Communauté d'agglomération de Saint-Omer                                  | France  | 99,063  |
| 71 | Communauté urbaine Angers Loire métropole                                 | France  | 94,584  |
| 72 | Conseil départemental de l'Allier   | France  | 94,409  |
| 73 | Conseil départemental de Gironde  | France  | 89,391  |
| 74 | Diputación de Tarragona   | Spain   | 89,389  |
| 75 | Conseil départemental de Saône-Et-Loire                                   | France  | 78,873  |
| 76 | Syndicat intercommunal Eau et Assainissement de Fontoy-Vallé de la Fensch | France  | 74,254  |
| 77 | Diputación de Teruel  | Spain   | 71,395  |
| 78 | Provincia autonoma di Trento  | Italy   | 68,774  |
| 79 | Conseil départemental du Gers   | France  | 67,876  |
| 80 | Syndicat mixte de Production d'Eau Fensch-Lorraine                        | France  | 58,408  |
| 81 | Conseil départemental de l'Aisne  | France  | 57,840  |
| 82 | Communauté d'Agglomération Béthune-Bruay                                  | France  | 55,832  |
| 83 | Conseil départemental de la Vienne  | France  | 55,211  |
| 84 | Limoges Métropole   | France  | 52,862  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | DONOR   | COUNTRY | USD    |
|-----|---|---------|--------|
| 85  | Grand Chambéry  | France  | 51,005 |
| 86  | SDEA Bas Rhin   | France  | 47,114 |
| 87  | Conseil départemental de Vendée   | France  | 46,431 |
| 88  | Conseil départemental du territoire de Belfort                                | France  | 36,923 |
| 89  | Syndicat intercommunal de l'eau potable de l'agglomération cannoise (SICASIL) | France  | 32,075 |
| 90  | Diputación de Lleida  | Spain   | 26,291 |
| 91  | Communauté d'agglomération Laval Agglomération                                | France  | 25,422 |
| 92  | Rennes métropole  | France  | 18,088 |
| 93  | Communauté d'Agglomération Meuse Grand Sud                                    | France  | 17,878 |
| 94  | Collectivité européenne d'Alsace  | France  | 17,079 |
| 95  | Cabildo de Fuerteventura  | Spain   | 13,288 |
| 96  | Communauté de communes Faucigny Glières                                       | France  | 12,620 |
| 97  | Conseil départemental du Jura   | France  | 12,620 |
| 98  | Cabildo de La Palma   | Spain   | 9,465  |
| 99  | Diputación de Almería   | Spain   | 9,465  |
| 100 | Conseil départemental de la Drôme   | France  | 9,433  |
| 101 | SYNDICAT MIXTE DE SIOULE ET MORGE   | France  | 8,413  |

| #            | DONOR   | COUNTRY | USD                |
|--------------|---|---------|--------------------|
| 102          | Syndicat de l'eau du Dunkerquois                              | France  | 8,334              |
| 103          | Communauté de communes du canton d'Erstein                    | France  | 7,558              |
| 104          | Diputación de Segovia   | Spain   | 6,310              |
| 105          | Conseil départemental de l'Ain                                | France  | 6,310              |
| 106          | Syndicat d'Eau de l'Anjou                                     | France  | 6,309              |
| 107          | SMICTOM d'Alsace centrale                                     | France  | 5,889              |
| 108          | Communauté de communes de Selestat et environs                | France  | 5,663              |
| 109          | Communauté de communes du Pays Loudunais                      | France  | 4,632              |
| 110          | Conseil départemental de la Meuse                             | France  | 3,944              |
| 111          | Communauté de communes du Thouarsais                          | France  | 3,891              |
| 112          | Syndicat intercommunal des eaux de la Forêt de Paimpont       | France  | 3,365              |
| 113          | Commune de Communauté de Communes Isle et Crempse en Périgord | France  | 2,103              |
| 114          | Communauté de communes de la Plaine du Rhin                   | France  | 1,413              |
| 115          | Communauté de Communes Ardennes Thiérache                     | France  | 1,157              |
| 116          | Entente Interdépartementale des Causses et des Cévennes       | France  | 1,068              |
| 117          | Syndicat d'eau du val du Thouet                               | France  | 1,052              |
| <b>Total</b> |   |         | <b>123,193,961</b> |

## RANKING OF REGIONAL DONORS, 2022

| #  | DONOR                      | COUNTRY     | USD         |
|----|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1  | Flanders                   | Belgium     | 123,407,073 |
| 2  | Catalonia                  | Spain       | 106,125,595 |
| 3  | Valencian community        | Spain       | 64,079,729  |
| 4  | Basque Country             | Spain       | 57,108,800  |
| 5  | Andalusia                  | Spain       | 21,012,158  |
| 6  | Wallonia                   | Belgium     | 18,833,206  |
| 7  | Quebec                     | Canada      | 17,518,175  |
| 8  | Central Bohemian Region    | Czechia     | 16,432,603  |
| 9  | Canton Geneva              | Switzerland | 16,268,747  |
| 10 | Navarre                    | Spain       | 15,733,531  |
| 11 | Moravian-Silesian Region   | Czechia     | 13,526,748  |
| 12 | North Rhineland-Westphalia | Germany     | 13,457,599  |
| 13 | South Moravia              | Czechia     | 12,358,343  |
| 14 | Extremadura                | Spain       | 12,344,565  |
| 15 | Plzen Region               | Czechia     | 10,340,758  |
| 16 | Liberec Region             | Czechia     | 10,302,474  |
| 17 | Bavaria                    | Germany     | 10,203,336  |
| 18 | Usti Region                | Czechia     | 10,176,528  |
| 19 | Karlovy Vary Region        | Czechia     | 8,970,741   |
| 20 | Galicia                    | Spain       | 8,680,645   |
| 21 | Alberta                    | Canada      | 8,450,488   |
| 22 | Hesse                      | Germany     | 7,574,829   |
| 23 | South Bohemian Region      | Czechia     | 7,344,307   |
| 24 | Asturias                   | Spain       | 6,726,604   |
| 25 | Pardubice Region           | Czechia     | 6,704,798   |
| 26 | Hradec Kralove Region      | Czechia     | 6,583,936   |
| 27 | Balearic Islands           | Spain       | 6,548,039   |
| 28 | Baden-Württemberg          | Germany     | 6,153,777   |
| 29 | Aragon                     | Spain       | 6,097,633   |
| 30 | Olomouc Region             | Czechia     | 5,714,299   |
| 31 | Madrid Community           | Spain       | 4,971,615   |
| 32 | Castile and Leon           | Spain       | 4,534,712   |
| 33 | Canton Bern                | Switzerland | 4,239,393   |
| 34 | Zlin Region                | Czechia     | 4,095,779   |
| 35 | Vysocina Region            | Czechia     | 3,893,502   |
| 36 | Canary Islands             | Spain       | 3,841,226   |
| 37 | Cantabria                  | Spain       | 3,251,726   |
| 38 | Laziodisco                 | Italy       | 3,197,633   |
| 39 | Castile-La Mancha          | Spain       | 3,156,866   |
| 40 | La Rioja                   | Spain       | 2,997,769   |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #  | DONOR  | COUNTRY     | USD       |
|----|--|-------------|-----------|
| 41 | Conseil régional d'Ile-de-France               | France      | 2,979,569 |
| 42 | Canton Vaud                                    | Switzerland | 2,931,572 |
| 43 | Charles University                             | Czechia     | 2,756,246 |
| 44 | Conseil régional de la Nouvelle-Aquitaine      | France      | 2,630,153 |
| 45 | Upper Austria                                  | Austria     | 2,416,670 |
| 46 | Schleswig-Holstein                             | Germany     | 2,206,940 |
| 47 | Conseil régional d'Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes        | France      | 2,129,037 |
| 48 | Canton Basel                                   | Switzerland | 2,094,888 |
| 49 | Rhineland-Palatinate                           | Germany     | 2,045,432 |
| 50 | Canton Zurich                                  | Switzerland | 2,021,578 |
| 51 | Conseil régional de l'Occitanie                | France      | 1,968,618 |
| 52 | Masaryk University                             | Czechia     | 1,909,596 |
| 53 | Universitat d'Alacant                          | Spain       | 1,720,568 |
| 54 | Czech Technical University in Prague           | Czechia     | 1,704,471 |
| 55 | Canton Aargau                                  | Switzerland | 1,675,898 |
| 56 | Canton Basel-Country                           | Switzerland | 1,504,133 |
| 57 | Regione Emilia-Romagna                         | Italy       | 1,331,564 |
| 58 | Lower-Saxony                                   | Germany     | 1,309,682 |
| 59 | Vorarlberg                                     | Austria     | 1,306,986 |
| 60 | Conseil régional du Grand Est                  | France      | 1,185,923 |
| 61 | Tyrol  | Austria     | 1,171,052 |
| 62 | Saxony   | Germany     | 1,165,365 |
| 63 | Universidad de Granada                         | Spain       | 1,157,618 |
| 64 | Universitat de Girona                          | Spain       | 1,132,327 |
| 65 | Universidad Carlos III de Madrid               | Spain       | 1,118,366 |
| 66 | Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia                  | Italy       | 1,111,193 |
| 67 | Salzburg Province                              | Austria     | 1,064,694 |
| 68 | Brussels-Capital Region                        | Belgium     | 1,050,534 |
| 69 | Styria   | Austria     | 1,019,731 |
| 70 | Universitat de València                        | Spain       | 1,014,358 |
| 71 | Conseil régional du Centre-Val de Loire        | France      | 1,011,683 |
| 72 | Conseil régional de Provence Alpes-Côte d'Azur | France      | 966,616   |
| 73 | Canton St Gallen                               | Switzerland | 913,375   |
| 74 | Murcia Region                                  | Spain       | 844,505   |
| 75 | Lower Austria                                  | Austria     | 839,117   |
| 76 | Universidad Politécnica de Madrid              | Spain       | 836,008   |
| 77 | Regione Sardegna                               | Italy       | 828,027   |
| 78 | Manitoba                                       | Canada      | 769,759   |
| 79 | British Columbia                               | Canada      | 768,226   |
| 80 | Conseil régional de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté    | France      | 742,589   |

| #   | DONOR  | COUNTRY     | USD     |
|-----|--|-------------|---------|
| 81  | Kaunas   | Lithuania   | 699,433 |
| 82  | Regione Toscana  | Italy       | 674,103 |
| 83  | Regione Trentino Alto Adige                            | Italy       | 665,645 |
| 84  | Canton Lucerne   | Switzerland | 638,937 |
| 85  | Mecklenburg-West Pomerania                             | Germany     | 592,519 |
| 86  | Canton Fribourg  | Switzerland | 582,662 |
| 87  | Universidad de Valladolid                              | Spain       | 577,461 |
| 88  | Conseil régional de Normandie                          | France      | 567,862 |
| 89  | Brno University of Technology                          | Czechia     | 528,974 |
| 90  | Canton Valais  | Switzerland | 523,725 |
| 91  | Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya                   | Spain       | 488,372 |
| 92  | Thuringia  | Germany     | 477,169 |
| 93  | Conseil régional - Conseil régional de Hauts-de-France | France      | 474,592 |
| 94  | Regione Lombardia                                      | Italy       | 467,977 |
| 95  | Universidad del País Vasco                             | Spain       | 439,899 |
| 96  | Universidad de Cantabria                               | Spain       | 418,154 |
| 97  | University of Chemistry and Technology Prague          | Czechia     | 413,924 |
| 98  | Regione Piemonte                                       | Italy       | 409,085 |
| 99  | Academy of Performing Arts in Prague                   | Czechia     | 398,277 |
| 100 | Palacky University Olomouc                             | Czechia     | 390,621 |
| 101 | Saarland   | Germany     | 377,191 |
| 102 | Panevėžys  | Lithuania   | 346,388 |
| 103 | Universidad Internacional de Andalucía                 | Spain       | 340,982 |
| 104 | Universidad Autónoma de Madrid                         | Spain       | 340,428 |
| 105 | Conseil régional de Bretagne                           | France      | 334,238 |
| 106 | Regione Puglia   | Italy       | 328,815 |
| 107 | Prefecture of Tokio                                    | Japan       | 319,764 |
| 108 | Canton Zug   | Switzerland | 314,234 |
| 109 | Conseil régional des Pays de la Loire                  | France      | 313,909 |
| 110 | Universidad de Jaén                                    | Spain       | 308,274 |
| 111 | Canton Neuchâtel                                       | Switzerland | 301,665 |
| 112 | Mendel University in Brno                              | Czechia     | 298,648 |
| 113 | Saxony-Anhalt  | Germany     | 297,315 |
| 114 | Universidad de Burgos                                  | Spain       | 277,684 |
| 115 | Universidad de Sevilla                                 | Spain       | 264,325 |
| 116 | Diverse regioni (Emilia-Romagna, Puglia, Lazio)        | Italy       | 262,458 |
| 117 | Canton Ticino  | Switzerland | 261,862 |
| 118 | Canton Jura  | Switzerland | 259,767 |
| 119 | Universitat Jaume I de Castelló                        | Spain       | 246,318 |
| 120 | Carinthia  | Austria     | 234,528 |
| 121 | Ontario  | Canada      | 230,468 |
| 122 | Prefecture of Hyogo                                    | Japan       | 226,070 |
| 123 | Universitat Politècnica de València                    | Spain       | 222,023 |
| 124 | Canton Appenzell Outer Rhodes                          | Switzerland | 219,955 |
| 125 | Diverse regioni (Emilia-Romagna, Puglia, Lazio)        | Italy       | 210,326 |

| #   | DONOR  | COUNTRY     | USD     |
|-----|--|-------------|---------|
| 126 | Regione del Veneto                                       | Italy       | 207,908 |
| 127 | University of Usti nad Labem                             | Czechia     | 206,093 |
| 128 | Universidad Miguel Hernández                             | Spain       | 196,352 |
| 129 | Šilutė   | Lithuania   | 195,689 |
| 130 | Prague University of Economics and Business              | Czechia     | 193,849 |
| 131 | Universidad Complutense de Madrid                        | Spain       | 186,413 |
| 132 | Universidad de Almería                                   | Spain       | 184,170 |
| 133 | Academy of Arts Architecture & Design in Prague          | Czechia     | 176,655 |
| 134 | Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona                        | Spain       | 174,874 |
| 135 | Canton Schaffhausen                                      | Switzerland | 167,584 |
| 136 | Canton Thurgau   | Switzerland | 157,110 |
| 137 | Prefecture of Aichi                                      | Japan       | 154,684 |
| 138 | Universidad Pablo de Olavide                             | Spain       | 154,376 |
| 139 | University of West Bohemia                               | Czechia     | 153,529 |
| 140 | Canton Graubünden  | Switzerland | 152,928 |
| 141 | Canton Glarus  | Switzerland | 152,916 |
| 142 | Jonava   | Lithuania   | 144,453 |
| 143 | Universidad de Salamanca                                 | Spain       | 139,741 |
| 144 | Universidad de Oviedo                                    | Spain       | 135,161 |
| 145 | University of Ostrava                                    | Czechia     | 131,924 |
| 146 | Universitat de les Illes Balears                         | Spain       | 129,018 |
| 147 | Prefecture of Toyama                                     | Japan       | 127,606 |
| 148 | Academy of Fine Arts Prague                              | Czechia     | 127,123 |
| 149 | Tomas Bata University in Zlín                            | Czechia     | 119,697 |
| 150 | Brandenburg  | Germany     | 105,164 |
| 151 | Tauragė  | Lithuania   | 101,104 |
| 152 | Technical University of Liberec                          | Czechia     | 97,963  |
| 153 | Janacek Academy of Music and Performing Arts Brno        | Czechia     | 97,428  |
| 154 | Universidad Pública de Navarra                           | Spain       | 96,702  |
| 155 | Ukmergė  | Lithuania   | 94,289  |
| 156 | Pasvalys   | Lithuania   | 92,354  |
| 157 | Rokiškis   | Lithuania   | 92,060  |
| 158 | Universidade de Vigo                                     | Spain       | 92,028  |
| 159 | Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice | Czechia     | 89,933  |
| 160 | Canton Solothurn   | Switzerland | 89,033  |
| 161 | Universidad de Alcalá de Henares                         | Spain       | 88,337  |
| 162 | Universidad de León                                      | Spain       | 83,587  |
| 163 | Burgenland   | Austria     | 82,033  |
| 164 | Universidade da Coruña                                   | Spain       | 79,063  |
| 165 | Technical University of Ostrava                          | Czechia     | 78,478  |
| 166 | Klaipėda   | Lithuania   | 77,790  |
| 167 | Molėtai  | Lithuania   | 74,834  |
| 168 | Kaišiadorys  | Lithuania   | 74,423  |
| 169 | Kupiškis   | Lithuania   | 73,930  |
| 170 | Universidad de Zaragoza                                  | Spain       | 71,193  |

DODA 2022. Source: CRS (OECD, 2024) and national databases

| #   | DONOR   | COUNTRY     | USD    |
|-----|---|-------------|--------|
| 171 | Czech University of Life Sciences Prague        | Czechia     | 65,630 |
| 172 | Akmenė  | Lithuania   | 63,814 |
| 173 | Prefecture of Kyoto                             | Japan       | 63,152 |
| 174 | University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice | Czechia     | 62,632 |
| 175 | University of Pardubice                         | Czechia     | 53,660 |
| 176 | Universitat de Lleida                           | Spain       | 53,186 |
| 177 | Anykšėiai                                       | Lithuania   | 52,582 |
| 178 | Šiauliai  | Lithuania   | 52,571 |
| 179 | Utena   | Lithuania   | 52,266 |
| 180 | Zarasai   | Lithuania   | 51,530 |
| 181 | Universidad de La Rioja                         | Spain       | 49,070 |
| 182 | Šakiai  | Lithuania   | 48,795 |
| 183 | Lazdijai  | Lithuania   | 47,355 |
| 184 | New-Brunswick                                   | Canada      | 43,987 |
| 185 | Universitat Pompeu Fabra                        | Spain       | 43,747 |
| 186 | Kėdainiai                                       | Lithuania   | 41,971 |
| 187 | Joniškis  | Lithuania   | 41,876 |
| 188 | College of Polytechnics Jihlava                 | Czechia     | 40,149 |
| 189 | Canton Uri                                      | Switzerland | 39,802 |
| 190 | Northwest Territories                           | Canada      | 38,411 |
| 191 | Yukon   | Canada      | 38,411 |
| 192 | Prince Edward Island                            | Canada      | 38,411 |
| 193 | Prefecture of Ibaraki                           | Japan       | 36,088 |
| 194 | Silesian University in Opava                    | Czechia     | 35,224 |
| 195 | University of Hradec Kralove                    | Czechia     | 30,935 |
| 196 | Mažeikiai                                       | Lithuania   | 30,634 |
| 197 | Canton Schwyz                                   | Switzerland | 29,955 |
| 198 | Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha               | Spain       | 28,712 |
| 199 | Canton Obwalden                                 | Switzerland | 26,186 |

| #            | DONOR                                  | COUNTRY     | USD                |
|--------------|--|-------------|--------------------|
| 200          | Telšiai                                | Lithuania   | 25,649             |
| 201          | Prefecture of Nagano                   | Japan       | 21,228             |
| 202          | Biržai                                 | Lithuania   | 21,222             |
| 203          | Nunavut                                | Canada      | 19,206             |
| 204          | Vilkaviškis                            | Lithuania   | 18,929             |
| 205          | Radviliškis                            | Lithuania   | 18,825             |
| 206          | Canton Appenzell Innerrhoden           | Switzerland | 16,759             |
| 207          | Prefecture of Nagasaki                 | Japan       | 16,739             |
| 208          | Alytus                                 | Lithuania   | 16,710             |
| 209          | Skuodas                                | Lithuania   | 16,342             |
| 210          | Plungė                                 | Lithuania   | 16,133             |
| 211          | Jurbarkas                              | Lithuania   | 15,775             |
| 212          | Trakai                                 | Lithuania   | 11,431             |
| 213          | Kelmė                                  | Lithuania   | 10,516             |
| 214          | Raseiniai                              | Lithuania   | 10,432             |
| 215          | Švenčionys                             | Lithuania   | 9,517              |
| 216          | Canton Nidwalden                       | Switzerland | 8,376              |
| 217          | Kretinga                               | Lithuania   | 8,202              |
| 218          | Šilalė                                 | Lithuania   | 7,309              |
| 219          | Prefecture of Yamaguchi                | Japan       | 6,087              |
| 220          | University of Veterinary Sciences Brno | Czechia     | 5,859              |
| 221          | Varėna                                 | Lithuania   | 4,953              |
| 222          | Vilnius                                | Lithuania   | 2,598              |
| 223          | Regione Liguria                        | Italy       | 2,103              |
| 224          | Universidad de Córdoba                 | Spain       | 1,735              |
| 225          | Universidad de Huelva                  | Spain       | 1,072              |
| 226          | Prefecture of Shizuoka                 | Japan       | 898                |
| 227          | Prefecture of Tochigi                  | Japan       | 761                |
| <b>TOTAL</b> |  |             | <b>757,199.655</b> |