

Contracting Authority:

The European Union represented by the European Commission

Instrument for Development Cooperation

Thematic Programme "Civil Society Organisations and Local Authorities"

AFGHANISTAN

Guidelines for grant applicants

Budget lines 21 02 08 03

Actions submitted by CSO or associations of CSOs

Reference: DCI-NSAPVD/2015/137-279

Deadline for submission of Concept note: 09/08/2015

NOTICE

This is a restricted Call for Proposals. In the first instance, only Concept Notes must be submitted for evaluation. Thereafter, applicants who have been pre-selected will be invited to submit a Full Application Form. After the evaluation of the Full Applications, an eligibility check will be performed for those which have been provisionally selected. Eligibility will be checked on the basis of the supporting documents requested by the Contracting Authority and the signed 'Declaration by the Applicant' sent together with the application.

2014 Page 2 of 27

Table of contents

1.	CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS AND LOCAL AUTHORITIES	4
	1.1. Background	4
	1.2. Objectives of the programme and priority issues	4
	1.3. Financial allocation provided by the contracting authority	5
2.	RULES FOR THIS CALL FOR PROPOSALS	6
	2.1. Eligibility criteria	6
	2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. applicant and co-applicant(s))	6
	2.1.2. Affiliated entities	7
	2.1.3. Associates and Contractors	9
	2.1.4. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made	
	2.1.5. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included	
	2.2. How to apply and the procedures to follow	13
	2.2.1. Concept Note content	14
	2.2.2. Where and how to send Concept Notes	15
	2.2.3. Deadline for submission of Concept Notes	15
	2.2.4. Further information about Concept Notes	
	2.2.5. Full Application forms	
	2.2.6. Where and how to send Full Application forms	
	2.2.7. Deadline for submission of Full Application forms	
	2.2.8. Further information about Full Application forms	
	2.3. Evaluation and selection of applications	18
	2.4. Submission of supporting documents for provisionally selected applications	22
	2.5. Notification of the Contracting Authority's decision	23
	2.5.1. Content of the decision	23
	2.5.2. Indicative timetable	24
	2.6. Conditions for implementation after the Contracting Authority's decision to award a grant	25
	2.7. Early warning system and central exclusion database	25
3.	LIST OF ANNEXES	26

1. CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS AND LOCAL AUTHORITIES

1.1. BACKGROUND

The European Union (EU) has a long-standing relationship and cooperation with non-governmental and other civil society organisations in the field of development. It is part of the European Union's commitment to fighting poverty and promoting the rule of law and adherence to fundamental freedoms set out in Article 177 (former Article 130u) of the Lisbon Treaty.

The EU has significantly engaged with the civil society organisations (CSOs) since 2007 when Afghanistan started benefiting from the Non State Actors and Local Authorities (NSA-LA) Thematic Programme.

The new programme Civil Society Organisations and Local Authorities (CSO-LA) is the successor to the thematic programme NSA-LA (2007-2013). It covers the period 2014-2020. Its objectives and expected results are detailed in the so called Multiannual Indicative Programme (MIP) for the "Civil Society Organisations and Local Authorities" thematic programme. The legal basis of the new programme is the Regulation (EU) No 233/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2014 establishing a financing instrument for development cooperation for the period 2014-2020. This regulation outlines the broad priorities and scope of the programme. The main objective of the programme is to strengthen CSOs and LAs in EU partner countries.

The Programme was formulated based on lessons and best practices learnt from the implementation of the NSA-LA programme and other various support schemes for CSOs, and further oriented by the European Commission Communication "Increasing the impact of EU Development Policy: an Agenda for Change" (October 2011, Council Conclusions in May 2012), providing the policy direction for EU development cooperation and reducing poverty, by the 2012 Communication "The Roots of Democracy and sustainable development: Europe's Engagement with Civil Society in External Relations", the 2013 Communication "Empowering Local Authorities in partner countries for enhanced governance and more effective development outcomes"; and by the 2012 Staff Working Document "Development Education and Awareness Raising".

The CSO Programme proposes an enhanced and more strategic EU engagement with CSOs in the partner countries, with a particular focus on local CSOs. It also outlines a new approach to support CSOs with regards to improving governance and accountability through empowering citizens, the promotion of inclusive and sustainable growth as well as in social delivery services with a clear division of labour between public authorities and other stakeholders to avoid duplication, parallel structure and overlap.

In addition to the implementation of the above-mentioned policy provision, this Call for Proposals aims to respond to the calls for a more strategic approach at country level for the EU and Member States through development of EU Country Roadmaps for engagement with Civil Society Organisations.

In line with the EU Country Roadmap for Engagement with Civil Society for Afghanistan, to be adopted in 2015, this call for proposal will contribute to the CSOs Programme objective 1.1, enhancing CSO's Contribution to governance and development processes.

1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME AND PRIORITY ISSUES

The **global objective** of this Call for Proposals is to co-finance actions proposed by civil society organisations (CSOs) to promote and strengthen a broad-based and inclusive civil society in Afghanistan, which is capable and willing to engage with communities and government authorities at all levels of policy, economic and social issues, with an aim to promoting dialogue, the accountability and transparency of state entities. It promotes CSOs' capacity to perform their roles as independent development actors more effectively.

The **specific objective** (s) of this Call for Proposals is/are:

1. Strengthening civil society's public oversight of government reforms: Strengthening the ability of CSOs to exercise public oversight of government reforms, in particular by using electronic media, research,

evidence-based advocacy to accelerate transparency and disclosure and by strengthening draft law analysis and budget literacy of civil society.

- **2. Strengthening local accountability:** Strengthening CSOs' ability to monitor the performance of service delivery and projects implemented in their community in order to hold local authorities accountable and to create active and responsible citizenship. This specific objective includes activities, such as community-based monitoring, that empower citizens at local (and regional) level to voice their concerns about and influence developments in their communities. Preference will be given to activities that strengthen volunteerism and joint action through partnership at local level.
- **3. Empowering youth in accountability:** Support the participation of youth groups and associations in governance and accountability, in particular the fight against corruption, by strengthening their knowledge of transparency and integrity and their advocacy skills. Actions in support of this objective shall be implemented by providing capacity and sub-granting to grassroots youth groups. Preference will be given to initiatives that strengthen volunteerism and joint action through partnership.

The programme will support actions that contribute to building coalitions of civil society organisations and help strengthen inclusive civil society networks, especially at sub-national level. Therefore, partnership is obligatory (2.1.1.) and the inclusion of affiliated entities strongly encouraged.

1.3. FINANCIAL ALLOCATION PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

The overall indicative amount made available under this Call for Proposals is **EUR 2,000,000**. An indicative portion of 75% of the financial envelope will be allocated preferably to local CSOs. The Contracting Authority reserves the right not to award all available funds. Correspondingly, this amount could be increased should more funds become available. Where the financial envelopes indicated above cannot be used due to insufficient quality or number of proposals received, the European Commission reserves the right to reallocate the remaining funds to another geographic area.

Size of grants

Any grant requested under this Call for Proposals must fall between the following minimum and maximum amounts:

minimum amount: EUR 300 000
 maximum amount: EUR 500 000

Any grant requested under this Call for Proposals must fall between the following minimum and maximum percentages of total eligible costs of the action:

- minimum percentage: 50.01% of the total estimated eligible costs of the action.
- maximum percentage:

90 % of the total estimated eligible costs of the action (see also Section 2.1.5) for local CSOs. 75 % of the total estimated eligible costs of the action (see also Section 2.1.5) for international CSOs.

The balance (i.e. the difference between the total cost of the action and the amount requested from the Contracting Authority) must be financed from sources other than the European Union Budget or the European Development Fund¹.

2014 Page 5 of 27

¹ Where a grant is financed by the European Development Fund, any mention of European Union financing must be understood as referring to European Development Fund financing.

2. RULES FOR THIS CALL FOR PROPOSALS

These guidelines set out the rules for the submission, selection and implementation of the actions financed under this Call, in conformity with the Practical Guide, which is applicable to the present call (available on the Internet at this address: http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/prag/document.do?locale=en).

2.1. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

There are three sets of eligibility criteria, relating to:

- (1) the actors:
 - The **applicant**, i.e. the entity submitting the application form (2.1.1),
 - if any, its co-applicant(s) (where it is not specified otherwise the applicant and its co-applicant(s) are hereinafter jointly referred as the "applicants") (2.1.1),
 - and, if any, **affiliated entity(ies)** to the applicant and/or to a co-applicant(s). (2.1.2);
- (2) the actions:

Actions for which a grant may be awarded (2.1.4);

- (3) the costs:
 - types of cost that may be taken into account in setting the amount of the grant (2.1.5).

2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. applicant and co-applicant(s))

Applicant

- (1) In order to be eligible for a grant, the applicant must:
 - be legal person and
 - be non-profit making and
 - be established in Afghanistan for at least two years, or be established in a Member State of the European Union or in any eligible countries as per the relevant provisions of the EU CIR regulation 233 / 2014 for at least three years (please see Annex A2a of the Practical Guide: http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/prag/annexes.do?group=A), and
 - be Civil Society Organisations ^{3,4} constituted in accordance with the legislation in force in Afghanistan **and**

2014 Page 6 of 27

² To be determined on the basis of the organisation's statutes, which should demonstrate that it has been established by an instrument governed by the national law of the country concerned and that its head office is located in an eligible country. In this respect, any legal entity whose statutes have been established in another country cannot be considered an eligible local organisation, even if the statutes are registered locally or a 'Memorandum of Understanding' has been concluded.

³ As per the ANNEX II B. 'CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS AND LOCAL AUTHORITIES' PROGRAMME of the DCI Regulation No 233/2014, CSOs are defined as follows: For the purpose of this Regulation, 'civil society organisations' are non-state, non-profit making actors operating on an independent and accountable basis which include: non-governmental organisations, organisations representing indigenous peoples, organisations representing national and/or ethnic minorities, citizens' groups, trade unions, organisations representing economic and social interests, organisations promoting good governance, civil rights organisations and organisations combating discrimination, local organisations involved in decentralised regional cooperation and integration, consumer

- be directly responsible for the preparation and management of the action with their partners, not acting as an intermediary **and**
- (2) The potential applicant may not participate in calls for proposals or be awarded grants if it is in any of the situations listed in Section 2.3.3 of the Practical Guide (http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/prag/document.do?locale=en);

In Part A, section 3 of the grant application form ('Declaration by the applicant'), the applicant must declare that the applicant himself, the co-applicant(s) and affiliated entity(ies) are not in any of these situations.

The applicant must act with co-applicant(s) as specified hereafter.

If awarded the Grant contract, the applicant will become the Beneficiary identified as the Coordinator in annex E3h1 (Special Conditions). The Coordinator is the main interlocutor of the Contracting Authority. It represents and acts on behalf of any other co-beneficiary and coordinate the design and implementation of the Action.

Co-applicant(s)

Co-applicant(s) participate in designing and implementing the action, and the costs they incur are eligible in the same way as those incurred by the applicant.

Co-applicant(s) must satisfy the eligibility criteria as applicable to the applicant himself.

For this call for proposals, partnership with at least 1 Afghan or international NGOs as coapplicants is obligatory. One of the objectives of this call is to contribute to building coalitions of civil society organisations and help strengthen inclusive civil society networks, especially at sub-national level. Proposals that can demonstrate a wider partnership or the inclusion of more NGOs in the action therefore align better with the objectives of this call.

Co-applicant(s) must sign the Mandate in Part B section 4 of the grant application form.

If awarded the Grant contract, the co-applicant(s)(if any) will become beneficiaries in the Action (together with the Coordinator).

2.1.2. Affiliated entities

Affiliated entity(ies)

The applicant and its co-applicant(s) may act with affiliated entity(ies)

organisations, women's and youth organisations, environmental, teaching, cultural, associations and independent foundations, etc., likely to contribute to the implementation of the objectives of this Regulation.

Civil Society Organisations include: non-governmental organisations, organisations representing indigenous peoples, organisations representing national and/or ethnic minorities, local traders' associations and citizens' groups, cooperatives, trade unions, organisations representing economic and social interests, organisations fighting corruption and fraud and promoting good governance, civil rights organisations and organisations combating discrimination, local organisations (including networks) involved in decentralised regional cooperation and integration, consumer organisations, women's and youth organisations, teaching, cultural, research and scientific organisations, universities, churches and religious associations and communities, the media and any non governmental associations and independent foundations, including independent political foundations.

2014 Page 7 of 27

⁴ Local Authorities in Afghanistan do not meet the eligibility criteria for EU funds since they are not constituted according to law and do not have legal personality.

Only the following entities may be considered as affiliated entities to the applicant and/or to co-applicant(s):

Only entities having a structural link with the applicants, in particular a legal or capital link.

This structural link encompasses mainly two notions:

(i) Control, as defined in Directive 2013/34/EU on the annual financial statements, consolidated financial statements and related reports of certain types of undertakings:

Entities affiliated to a beneficiary may hence be:

- Entities directly or indirectly controlled by the beneficiary (daughter companies or first-tier subsidiaries). They may also be entities controlled by an entity controlled by the beneficiary (granddaughter companies or second-tier subsidiaries) and the same applies to further tiers of control;
- Entities directly or indirectly controlling the beneficiary (parent companies). Likewise, they may be entities controlling an entity controlling the beneficiary;
- Entities under the same direct or indirect control as the beneficiary (sister companies).
- (ii) Membership, i.e. the beneficiary is legally defined as a e.g. network, federation, association in which the proposed affiliated entities also participate or the beneficiary participates in the same entity (e.g. network, federation, association) as the proposed affiliated entities.

The structural link shall as a general rule be neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation. This means that the link would exist independently of the award of the grant; it should exist before the call for proposals and remain valid after the end of the action.

By way of exception, an entity may be considered as affiliated to a beneficiary even if it has a structural link specifically established for the sole purpose of the implementation of the action in the case of so-called "sole applicants" or "sole beneficiaries". A sole applicant or a sole beneficiary is an entity formed by several entities (a group of entities) which together comply with the criteria for being awarded the grant. For example, an association is formed by its members.

What is not an affiliated entity?

The following are not considered entities affiliated to a beneficiary:

- Entities that have entered into a (procurement) contract or subcontract with a beneficiary, act as concessionaires or delegatees for public services for a beneficiary,
- Entities that receive financial support from the beneficiary,
- Entities that cooperate on a regular basis with the beneficiary on the basis of a memorandum of understanding or share some assets,
- Entities that have signed a consortium agreement under the grant contract.

How to verify the existence of the required link with the beneficiary?

The affiliation resulting from control may in particular be proved on the basis of the consolidated accounts of the group of entities the beneficiary and its proposed affiliates belong to.

The affiliation resulting from membership may in particular be proved on the basis of the statutes or equivalent act establishing the entity (network, federation, association) which the beneficiary participates.

2014 Page 8 of 27

If the applicants are awarded a contract, their affiliated entity(ies) will not be become Beneficiary(ies) of the Action and signatory(ies) of the Contract. However, they will participate in the design and in the implementation of the Action and the costs they incur (including those incurred for Implementation Contracts and Financial Support to third parties) may be accepted as eligible costs, provided they comply with all the relevant rules already applicable to the Beneficiary(ies) under the Grant Contract.

Affiliated entity(ies) must satisfy the same eligibility criteria as the applicant and the co-applicant(s). They must sign the affiliated entity(ies) statement in Part B section 5 of the grant application form.

2.1.3. Associates and Contractors

The following entities are not applicant(s) nor affiliated entity(ies) and do not have to sign the "mandate" or "affiliated entities' statement":

Associates

Other organisations may be involved in the action. Such associates play a real role in the action but may not receive funding from the grant, with the exception of per diem or travel costs. Associates do not have to meet the eligibility criteria referred to in section 2.1.1. Associates must be mentioned in Part B section 6— 'Associates of the Applicant participating in the Action' — of the Grant Application Form.

Contractors

The grant beneficiaries and their affiliated entities are permitted to award contracts. Associates or affiliated entity(ies) cannot be also contractors in the project. Contractors are subject to the procurement rules set out in Annex IV to the standard grant contract.

2.1.4. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made

<u>Definition</u>:

An action is composed of a set of activities.

Duration

The initial planned duration of an action may not be lower than 24 months nor exceed 48 months.

Sectors or themes

As defined under Section 1.2 above.

Location

Actions must take place in Afghanistan. If duly justified and necessary for the successful implementation of the action, a limited number of activities may also take place in other countries, provided that they are directly linked to the overall objective of the action.

Types of action

Financial support under this Call for Proposals must be aimed at supporting projects, i.e. coherent and self-contained sets of activities designed to achieve a specific objective under section 1.2 above, with clear target groups, tangible outcomes with relevant indicators, and within a limited timeframe. Proposals outside the identified specific objectives will not be considered for funding.

The impact on women of all actions should be considered and described. Where possible, actions should include specific measures to impact positively on women as well.

The following types of action are ineligible:

- actions concerned only or mainly with individual sponsorships for participation in workshops, seminars, conferences and congresses;
- one-off conferences: conferences can only be funded if they form part of a wider range of activities to be implemented in the life-time of the action. For these purposes, preparatory activities for a conference and the publication of the proceedings of the conference do not, in themselves, constitute such "wider activities";
- actions concerned only or mainly with individual scholarships for studies or training courses;
- actions which consist exclusively or primarily in capital expenditure, e.g. land, buildings, equipment
- and vehicles, except in special circumstances;
- actions which discriminate against individuals or groups of people on grounds of their gender, sexual
- orientation, religious beliefs or lack of them, or their ethnic origin;
- actions supporting political parties;
- actions including proselytism.

Types of activity

Potential applicants are invited to propose all the activities they deem necessary to achieve the objectives of this Call for Proposals in relation to existing local demands, and which may obtain tangible results in the context where the selected projects will be implemented. Activities could include but are by no means limited to: strengthening CSO coordination and cooperation, community-based monitoring, capacity building to grassroots organisations, trainings on advocacy, draft law analysis and budget literacy skills; provision of sub-granting to grassroots organisations; crowd-sourcing; creation of outreach tools and dissemination within target communities; engagement of local or national authorities or public bodies in discussions with relevant communities through conferences, seminars, focus group discussions; engagement of disaffected and or discriminated groups in community development, participative decision-making.

Financial support to third parties⁵

The applicants may propose financial support to third parties in order to achieve the objectives of the action.

Financial support to third parties is obligatory for actions pursuing objective No. 3.

The maximum amount of financial support per third party is EUR 60 000, except where financial support to third parties is the main purpose of the action in which case no thresholds apply.

Under this Call, financial support to third parties may be the main purpose of the action.

In compliance with the present guidelines and notably of any conditions or restrictions set above, applicants should define mandatorily in section 2.1.1. of the grant application form:

- i) the objectives and results to be obtained with the financial support
- ii) the different types of activities eligible for financial support, on the basis of a fixed list
- iii) the types of persons or categories of persons which may receive financial support
- iv) the criteria for selecting these entities and giving the financial support

⁵ These third parties are neither affiliated entity(ies) nor associates nor contractors.

- v) the criteria for determining the exact amount of financial support for each third entity, and
- vi) the maximum amount which may be given.

In all events, the mandatory conditions set above for giving financial support (points (i) to (vi)) have to be strictly defined in the contract as to avoid any exercise of discretion.

Visibility

The Applicants must take all necessary steps to publicise the fact that the European Union has financed or co-financed the Action. As far as possible, actions that are wholly or partially funded by the European Union must incorporate information and communication activities designed to raise the awareness of specific or general audiences of the reasons for the action and the EU support for the action in the country or region concerned, as well as the results and the impact of this support.

Applicants must comply with the objectives and priorities and guarantee the visibility of the EU financing (see the Communication and Visibility Manual for EU external actions specified and published by the European Commission at http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/funding/communication-and-visibility-manual-eu-external-actions_en).

Number of applications and grants per applicants

The applicant may not submit more than two applications under this Call for Proposals.

The applicant may not be awarded more than one grants under this Call for Proposals.

The applicant may be a co-applicant in another application at the same time.

The applicant may be an affiliated entity in another application at the same time.

A co-applicant may not submit more than four applications under this Call for Proposals.

A co-applicant may not be awarded more than four grants under this Call for Proposals.

A co-applicant may be the applicant in another application at the same time.

A co-applicant may be an affiliated entity in another application at the same time.

The affiliated entity(ies) may take part in more than one application.

2.1.5. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included

Only 'eligible costs' can be covered by a grant. The categories of costs that are eligible and non-eligible are indicated below. The budget is both a cost estimate and an overall ceiling for 'eligible costs'.

The reimbursement of eligible costs may be based on any or a combination of the following forms:

- actual costs incurred by the Beneficiary(ies) and affiliated entity(ies)
- one or more simplified cost options.

Simplified cost options may take the form of:

- **unit costs:** covering all or certain specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance by reference to an <u>amount per unit</u>.
- lump sums: covering in global terms all or certain specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance.

2014 Page 11 of 27

- **flat-rate financing:** covering specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance by <u>applying a percentage</u> fixed ex ante.

The amounts or rates have to be based on estimates using objective data such as statistical data or any other objective means or with reference to certified or auditable historical data of the applicants or the affiliated entity(ies). The methods used to determine the amounts or rates of unit costs, lump sums or flat-rates must comply with the criteria established in Annex K, and especially ensure that the costs correspond fairly to the actual costs incurred by the Grant Beneficiary(ies) and affiliated entity(ies), are in line with their accounting practices, no profit is made and the costs are not already covered by other sources of funding (no double funding). Refer to Annex K for directions and a checklist of controls to assess the minimum necessary conditions that provide reasonable assurance for the acceptance of the proposed amounts.

The applicant proposing this form of reimbursement, must clearly indicate in worksheet no.1 of Annex B, each heading/item of eligible costs concerned by this type of financing, i.e. add the reference in capital letters to "UNIT COST" (per month/flight etc), "LUMPSUM" or "FLAT RATE" in the Unit column. (see example in Annex K)

Additionally in Annex B, in the second column of worksheet no.2, "Justification of the estimated costs" per each of the corresponding budget item or heading the applicant must:

- describe the information and methods used to establish the amounts of unit costs, lump sums and/or flat-rates, to which costs they refer, etc.
- clearly explain the formulas for calculation of the final eligible amount⁶
- identify the beneficiary who will use the simplified cost option (in case of affiliated entity, specify first the beneficiary), in order to verify the maximum amount per each beneficiary (which includes if applicable simplified cost options of its affiliated entity(ies))

At contracting phase, the Contracting Authority decides whether to accept the proposed amounts or rates on the basis of the provisional budget submitted by the applicant, by analysing factual data of grants carried out by the applicant or of similar actions and by performing checks established by Annex K.

The total amount of financing on the basis of simplified cost options that can be authorised by the Contracting Authority for any of the applicants individually (including simplified cost options proposed by their own affiliated entities) cannot exceed EUR 60 000 (the indirect costs are not taken into account).

Recommendations to award a grant are always subject to the condition that the checks preceding the signing of the contract do not reveal problems requiring changes to the budget (such as arithmetical errors, inaccuracies, unrealistic costs and ineligible costs). The checks may give rise to requests for clarification and may lead the Contracting Authority to impose modifications or reductions to address such mistakes or inaccuracies. It is not possible to increase the grant or the percentage of EU co-financing as a result of these corrections.

It is therefore in the applicant's interest to provide a realistic and cost-effective budget.

Eligible direct costs

To be eligible under the Call for Proposals, costs must comply with the provisions of Article 14 of the General Conditions to the Standard Grant Contract (see Annex G of the Guidelines).

2014 Page 12 of 27

⁶ Examples:- for staff costs: number of hours or days of work * hourly or daily rate pre-set according to the category of personnel concerned;- for travel expenses: distance in km * pre-set cost of transport per km; number of days * daily allowance pre-set according to the country;- for specific costs arising from the organization of an event: number of participants at the event * pre-set total cost per participant etc.

Contingency reserve

The budget may include a contingency reserve not exceeding 5% of the estimated direct eligible costs. It can only be used with the **prior written authorisation** of the Contracting Authority.

Eligible indirect costs

The indirect costs incurred in carrying out the action may be eligible for flat-rate funding, but the total must not exceed 7% of the estimated total eligible direct costs. Indirect costs are eligible provided that they do not include costs assigned to another budget heading in the standard grant contract. The applicant may be asked to justify the percentage requested before the contract is signed. However, once the flat rate has been fixed in the special conditions of the standard grant contract, no supporting documents need to be provided.

If any of the applicants or affiliated entity(ies) is in receipt of an operating grant financed by the EU, it may not claim indirect costs on its incurred costs within the proposed budget for the action.

Contributions in kind

Contributions in kind mean the provision of goods or services to a Beneficiary(ies) or affiliated entity(ies) free of charge by a third party. As contributions in kind do not involve any expenditure for a Beneficiary(ies) or affiliated entity(ies), they are not eligible costs.

Contributions in kind may not be treated as co-financing. However, if the description of the action as proposed includes contributions in kind, the contributions have to be made.

Contributions in kind in the form of volunteer work, assessed against clear outcomes defined in advance and indicated in the description of the action, are allowed.

Ineligible costs

The following costs are not eligible:

- debts and debt service charges (interest);
- provisions for losses or potential future liabilities;
- costs declared by the Beneficiary(ies) and financed by another action or work programme receiving a Union (including through EDF) grant;
- purchases of land or buildings, except where necessary for the direct implementation of the action, in
 which case ownership must be transferred to the final beneficiaries and/or local Beneficiary(ies), at
 the latest at the end of the action;
- currency exchange losses;
- credit to third parties.
- Salary costs of personnel of national administrations.

2.2. HOW TO APPLY AND THE PROCEDURES TO FOLLOW

Prior registration in PADOR for this Call for Proposals is obligatory.

 Phase 1, concept note: Registration in PADOR is obligatory for an applicant applying for grants above EUR 60 000.

Registration is optional though strongly recommended for:

2014

- An applicant applying for grants of EUR 60 000 or less;
- co-applicant(s) and affiliated entity(ies).
- Phase 2, full proposal: Registration in PADOR is obligatory for all pre-selected applicants, coapplicant(s) and all their affiliated entity(ies).

PADOR is an on-line database in which organisations register and update their data regularly, through the EuropeAid website: http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/pador.

Before starting to register your organisation in PADOR, please read the 'Quick guide' on the website. It explains the registration process.

It is strongly recommended to register in PADOR when you start drafting your proposal and not to wait until just before the deadline of submission.

On the paper version of the proposal you must give your EuropeAid ID (EID). To get this ID, your organisation must enter PADOR to register, save and 'sign' certain obligatory data (the fields shown in orange on each screen) and the related documents (see section 2.4).

However, if it is impossible for the organisation to register in PADOR, it must submit a justification proving that this impossibility is general and beyond the control of the applicant and/or its affiliated entity(ies). In such cases, the applicants and/or affiliated entity(ies) concerned must complete the 'PADOR off-line form' attached to these Guidelines and send it by the submission deadline, together with the application, to the address indicated in sections 2.2.2 and 2.2.6. The registration in PADOR will then be carried out by the European Commission service in charge of the Call for Proposals. If, at a later stage, the organisation wishes to update its data itself, it will have to send an access request to the PADOR helpdesk.

All questions related to registration in PADOR should be addressed to the PADOR helpdesk at: Europe Aid-IT-support@ec.europa.eu.

2.2.1. Concept Note content

Applications must be submitted in accordance with the Concept Note instructions in the Grant Application Form annexed to these Guidelines (Annex A).

Applicants must apply in English.

In the Concept Note, applicants must only provide an estimate of the amount of contribution requested from the Contracting Authority and an indicative percentage of that contribution in relation to the total amount of the Action. Only the applicants invited to submit a full application in the second phase will be required to present a detailed budget. The elements outlined in the Concept Note may not be modified by the applicant in the full application form. The EU contribution may not vary from the initial estimate by more than 20%. Applicants are free to adapt the percentage of co-financing required within the minimum and maximum amount and percentages of co-financing, as laid down in these Guidelines in section 1.3. Own contributions by the applicants can be replaced by other donors' contributions at any time.

Any error or major discrepancy related to the points listed in the Concept Note instructions may lead to the rejection of the Concept Note.

Clarifications will only be requested when information provided is not sufficient to conduct an objective assessment.

Hand-written Concept Notes will not be accepted.

2014

⁷ Which corresponds to Sections 3 and 4 of Part B of the application form.

Please note that only the Concept Note form will be evaluated. It is therefore of utmost importance that this document contains ALL relevant information concerning the action. No additional annexes should be sent.

2.2.2. Where and how to send Concept Notes

The Concept Note together with its relating Checklist and Declaration by the applicant (to be found in **Part A sections 2 and 3** of the grant application form) must be submitted in one original and three copies in A4 size, each bound.

An electronic version of the Concept Note must also be submitted. A CD-Rom with the Concept Note in electronic format will be included, along with the paper version, in a sealed envelope as described below. The electronic file must contain **exactly the same** application as the paper version enclosed.

Where applicants send several different Concept Notes (if allowed to do so by the Guidelines of the Call), each one must be sent separately.

The outer envelope must bear the <u>reference number and the title of the call for proposals</u>, together with [the lot number and title] the full name and address of the applicant, and the words 'Not to be opened before the opening session'

```
and, in Dari: " قبل از مجلس داوطلبی باز نگردد " and, in Pushto: " د داوطلبی مجلس نه مخکی باید خلاص نشی ".
```

Concept Notes must be submitted in a sealed envelope by registered mail, private courier service or by hand-delivery (a signed and dated certificate of receipt will be given to the deliverer) to the address below:

Postal address

Delegation of the European Union to the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan FINANCE AND CONTRACTS SECTION
Charahi Sadarat - Opposite the ministry of Interior
Shar-e-Naw – Kabul
Afghanistan

Address for hand delivery or by private courier service

Same as above

Concept Notes sent by any other means (e.g. by fax or by e-mail) or delivered to other addresses will be rejected.

Applicants must verify that their Concept Note is complete using the Checklist for Concept Note (Part A section 2 of the grant application form). <u>Incomplete concept notes may be rejected.</u>

2.2.3. Deadline for submission of Concept Notes

The deadline for the submission of Concept Notes is 09/08/2015 as evidenced by the date of dispatch, the postmark or the date of the deposit slip. In the case of hand-deliveries, the deadline for receipt is at 23:59 hours local time as evidenced by the signed and dated receipt. Any Concept Note submitted after the deadline will be rejected.

However, for reasons of administrative efficiency, the Contracting Authority may reject any Concept Note sent in due time but received after the effective date of approval of the Concept Note evaluation (see indicative calendar under section 2.5.2).

2014 Page 15 of 27

2.2.4. Further information about Concept Notes

An information session on this Call for Proposals will be held on 05/07/2015 at 10:00 am.

For security reasons, those interested in attending this session are required to confirm their participation in advance by sending an e-mail with the name of each attendee and their affiliation to the following e-mail address: DELEGATION-AFGHANISTAN-TENDERS@eeas.europa.eu

The EU delegation reserves the right to cancel or change the date of the information session in light of prevailing security restrictions. Furthermore, it is obliged to limit the number of attendees to 40 on first come, first serve basis, and organisations have to limit the number of attendees representing them to one person.

Questions may be sent by e-mail no later than 21 days before the deadline for the submission of Concept Notes to the address(es) below, indicating clearly the reference of the Call for Proposals:

E-mail address: DELEGATION-AFGHANISTAN-TENDERS@eeas.europa.eu

The Contracting Authority has no obligation to provide clarifications to questions received after this date.

Replies will be given no later than 11 days before the deadline for submission of Concept Notes.

To ensure equal treatment of applicants, the Contracting Authority cannot give a prior opinion on the eligibility of applicants, affiliated entity(ies), an action or specific activities.

Questions that may be relevant to other applicants, together with answers and other important notices in the course of the evaluation procedure, will be published on the EuropeAid website http://webgate.ec.europa.eu/europeaid/online-services/index.cfm?do=publi.welcome, as the need arises. It is therefore advisable to consult the abovementioned website regularly in order to be informed of the questions and answers published.

All questions related to PADOR registration should be addressed to the PADOR help desk:

EuropeAid-IT-support@ec.europa.eu

2.2.5. Full Application forms

An applicant invited to submit a full application form following pre-selection of their Concept Note must do so using Part B of the application form annexed to these Guidelines (Annex A). Applicants should then keep strictly to the format of the application form and fill in the paragraphs and pages in order.

The elements outlined in the Concept Note cannot be modified by the applicant in the full application form. The EU contribution may not vary from the initial estimate by more than 20%, although applicants are free to adapt the percentage of co-financing required within the minimum and maximum amount and percentages of co-financing, as laid down in these Guidelines under section 1.3.

Applicants must submit their full applications in the same language as their Concept Notes.

Please complete the full application form carefully and as clearly as possible so that it can be assessed properly.

Any error related to the points listed in the Checklist (Part B, Section 7 of the Grant Application form) or any major inconsistency in the full application form (e.g. if the amounts in the budget worksheets are inconsistent) may lead to the rejection of the application.

2014 Page 16 of 27

Clarifications will only be requested when information provided is unclear and thus prevents the Contracting Authority from conducting an objective assessment.

Hand-written applications will not be accepted.

Please note that only the full application form and the published annexes which have to be filled in (budget, logical framework) will be transmitted to the evaluators (and assessors, if used). It is therefore of utmost importance that these documents contain ALL the relevant information concerning the action. No supplementary annexes should be sent.

2.2.6. Where and how to send Full Application forms

Applications must be submitted in a sealed envelope by registered mail, private courier service or by handdelivery (a signed and dated certificate of receipt will be given to the deliverer) to the address below:

Postal address

Delegation of the European Union to Afghanistan Finance and Contracts Section Charahi Sedarat, Opposite Ministry of Interior, Shar-e-Naw Kabul, Afghanistan

Address for hand delivery or by private courier service

Delegation of the European Union to Afghanistan Finance and Contracts Section Charahi Sedarat, Opposite Ministry of Interior, Shar-e-Naw Kabul, Afghanistan

Applications sent by any other means (e.g. by fax or by e-mail) or delivered to other addresses will be rejected.

Applications must be submitted in one original and three copies in A4 size, each bound. The full application form, budget and logical framework must also be supplied in electronic format (CD-Rom) in a separate and single file (i.e. the full application form must not be split into several different files). The electronic file must contain **exactly the same** application as the paper version.

The Checklist (Section 7 of Part B of the grant application form) and the Declaration by the applicant (Section 8 of Part B of the grant application form) must be stapled separately and enclosed in the envelope

The outer envelope must bear the **reference number and the title of the Call for Proposals**, together with the number and title of the lot, the full name and address of the applicant, and the words 'Not to be opened before the opening session'

```
and, in Dari: " قبل از مجلس داوطلبی باز نگردد " and, in Pashto: " د داوطلبی مجلس نه مخکی باید خلاص نشی ".
```

Applicants must verify that their application is complete using the checklist (Section 7 of Part B of the grant application form). Incomplete applications may be rejected.

2.2.7. Deadline for submission of Full Application forms

The deadline for the submission of applications will be indicated in the letter sent to the applicants whose application has been pre-selected.

However, for reasons of administrative efficiency, the Contracting Authority may reject any application sent in due time but received after the date of approval of the evaluation report for full applications (see indicative calendar under Section 2.5.2).

2014 Page 17 of 27

2.2.8. Further information about Full Application forms

Questions may be sent by e-mail [or by fax] no later than 21 days before the deadline for the submission of applications to the addresses listed below, indicating clearly the reference of the Call for Proposals:

E-mail address: DELEGATION-AFGHANISTAN-TENDERS@eeas.europa.eu

The Contracting Authority has no obligation to provide clarifications to questions received after this date.

Replies will be given no later than 11 days before the deadline for the submission of applications.

To ensure equal treatment of applicants, the Contracting Authority cannot give a prior opinion on the eligibility of applicants, affiliated entity(ies), or an action.

No individual replies will be given to questions. All questions and answers as well as other important notices to applicants during the course of the evaluation procedure, will be published on the website https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/europeaid/online-services/index.cfm?do=publi.welcome [<other websites>]. It is therefore advisable to consult the abovementioned website regularly in order to be informed of the questions and answers published.

2.3. EVALUATION AND SELECTION OF APPLICATIONS

Applications will be examined and evaluated by the Contracting Authority with the possible assistance of external assessors. All actions submitted by applicants will be assessed according to the following steps and criteria.

If the examination of the application reveals that the proposed action does not meet the <u>eligibility criteria</u> stated in paragraph 2.1, the application will be rejected on this sole basis.

(1) STEP 1: OPENING & ADMINISTRATIVE CHECKS AND CONCEPT NOTE EVALUATION

The following will be assessed:

- Compliance with the submission deadline. If the deadline has not been met, the application will automatically be rejected.
- The Concept Note satisfies all the criteria specified in points 1-5 of the Checklist (Section 2 of Part A of the grant application form). If any of the requested information is missing or is incorrect, the application may be rejected on that <u>sole</u> basis and the application will not be evaluated further. The Concept Notes that pass the first administrative check will be evaluated on the relevance and design of the proposed action.

The Concept Notes that pass the first administrative check will be evaluated on the relevance and design of the proposed action.

The Concept Note will receive an overall score out of 50 using the breakdown in the evaluation grid below. The evaluation will also check on compliance with the instructions on the Concept Note, which can be found in Part A of the Application Form.

2014 Page 18 of 27

The <u>evaluation criteria</u> are divided into headings and subheadings. Each subheading will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

		Scores	
1. Relevance of the action	Sub-score	30	
1.1 How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the Call for Proposals?*	5x2**		
1.2 How relevant to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies) or region(s) is the proposal (including synergy with other EU initiatives and avoidance of duplication)?	5x2*		
1.3 How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately?	5		
1.4 Does the proposal contain specific added-value elements, such as environmental issues, promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities, needs of disabled people, rights of minorities and rights of indigenous peoples, or innovation and best practices and the other additional elements indicated under 1.2. of these Guidelines.	5		
2. Design of the action	Sub-score	20	
2.1 How coherent is the overall design of the action?	5x2**		
In particular, does it reflect the analysis of the problems involved, take into account external factors and relevant stakeholders?			
2.2 Is the action feasible and consistent in relation to the objectives and expected results?	5x2**		

TOTAL SCORE

Once all Concept Notes have been assessed, a list will be drawn up with the proposed actions ranked according to their total score.

Firstly, only the Concept Notes with a score of at least 30 will be considered for pre-selection.

Secondly, the number of Concept Notes will be reduced, taking account of the ranking, to the number of Concept Notes whose total aggregate amount of requested contributions is equal to 200% of the available budget for this Call for Proposals. The amount of requested contributions of each concept note will be based on the indicative financial envelopes for each lot.

After the evaluation of Concept Notes, the Contracting Authority will send letters to all applicants, indicating whether their application was submitted by the deadline, informing them of the reference number they have been allocated, whether the Concept Note was evaluated and the results of that evaluation. The pre-selected applicants will subsequently be invited to submit full applications.

(2) STEP 2: EVALUATION OF THE FULL APPLICATION

Firstly, the following will be assessed:

50

^{**}these scores are multiplied by 2 because of their importance

- Compliance with the submission deadline. If the deadline has not been met, the application will automatically be rejected.
- The full application form satisfies all the criteria specified in points 1-9 of the Checklist (Section 7 of Part B of the grant application form). If any of the requested information is missing or is incorrect, the application may be rejected on that **sole** basis and the application will not be evaluated further.

The quality of the applications, including the proposed budget and capacity of the applicants and affiliated entity(ies), will be evaluated using the evaluation criteria in the evaluation grid below. There are two types of evaluation criteria: selection and award criteria.

<u>The selection criteria</u> help to evaluate the applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s operational capacity and the applicant's financial capacity and to ensure that they:

- have stable and sufficient sources of finance to maintain their activity throughout the proposed action and, where appropriate, to participate in its funding;
- have the management capacity, professional competencies and qualifications required to successfully complete the proposed action. This also applies to any affiliated entity(ies) of the applicants.

<u>The award criteria</u> help to evaluate the quality of the applications in relation to the objectives and priorities, and to award grants to projects which maximise the overall effectiveness of the Call for Proposals. They help to select applications which the Contracting Authority can be confident will comply with its objectives and priorities. They cover the relevance of the action, its consistency with the objectives of the Call for Proposals, quality, expected impact, sustainability and cost-effectiveness.

Scoring:

The evaluation grid is divided into sections and subsections. Each subsection will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

Evaluation Grid

Section	Maximum Score
1. Financial and operational capacity	20
1.1 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient experience of project management?	5
1.2 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient technical expertise? (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)	5
1.3 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient management capacity? (Including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?	5
1.4 Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance?	5
2. Relevance of the action	30
Score transferred from the Concept Note evaluation	
3. Effectiveness and feasibility of the action	20

3.1 Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results? 3.2 Is the action plan clear and feasible? 3.3 Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome of the action? Is any evaluation planned? 3.4 Is the co-applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory? 4. Sustainability of the action 4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? 5. 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? 100 Maximum total score			
3.3 Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome of the action? Is any evaluation planned? 3.4 Is the co-applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory? 4. Sustainability of the action 15 4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? 5. 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 7. 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?			
Is any evaluation planned? 3.4 Is the co-applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory? 4. Sustainability of the action 15 4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? 5. 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 7.5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	3.2 Is the action plan clear and feasible?	5	
4. Sustainability of the action 4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? 5. 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 5. 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: 6 financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) 7 institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) 8 at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) 9 environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 7. 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?			
4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? 5 4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 5 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: 5 - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 7.5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?			
4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.) 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	4. Sustainability of the action	15	
extension and information sharing.) 4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: - financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups?	5	
- financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10		5	
 institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10 	4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?:	5	
of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - at policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action—e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10	- financially (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?)		
 e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 15 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? / 5 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10 	•		
 impact?) 5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action 5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10 			
5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? 5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? 7.5 7.6 7.7 7.7 7.7 7.7 7.7 7.7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? / 10	5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action	15	
<u> </u>	5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?	/ 5	
Maximum total score 100	5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	/ 10	
	Maximum total score	100	

Note on section 1. Financial and operational capacity

If the total score for section 1 is less than 12 points, the application will be rejected. If the score for at least one of the subsections under section 1 is 1, the application will also be rejected.

Provisional selection

After the evaluation, a table will be drawn up listing the applications ranked according to their score and within the limits of the funds available. In addition, a reserve list will be drawn up following the same criteria to be used if more funds should become available during the validity period of the reserve list.

(3) STEP 3: VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY OF THE APPLICANTS AND AFFILIATED ENTITY(IES)

The eligibility verification, based on the supporting documents requested by the Contracting Authority (see Section 2.4) will <u>only</u> be performed for the applications that have been provisionally selected according to their score and within the available financial envelope.

- The Declaration by the applicant (Section 8 of Part B the grant application form) will be cross-checked with the supporting documents provided by the applicant. Any missing supporting document or any incoherence between the Declaration by the applicant and the supporting documents may lead to the rejection of the application on that sole basis.
- The eligibility of applicants, the affiliated entity(ies), and the action will be verified according to the criteria set out in Sections 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.

Any rejected application will be replaced by the next best placed application on the reserve list that falls within the available financial envelope.

2.4. SUBMISSION OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR PROVISIONALLY SELECTED APPLICATIONS

An applicant that has been provisionally selected or placed on the reserve list will be informed in writing by the Contracting Authority. It will be requested to supply the following documents in order to allow the Contracting Authority to verify the eligibility of the applicant, (if any) of the co-applicant(s) and (if any) of their affiliated entity(ies)⁸:

Supporting documents may/must be provided through PADOR, see Section 2.2

- 1. The statutes or articles of association of the applicant, (if any) of each co-applicant(s) and (if any) of each affiliated entity(ies)⁹. Where the Contracting Authority has recognised the applicant's, or the co-applicant(s)'s, or their affiliated entity(ies)'s eligibility for another call for proposals under the same budget line within 2 years before the deadline for receipt of applications, it should be submitted, instead of the statutes or articles of association, a copy of the document proving their eligibility in a former Call (e.g. a copy of the special conditions of a grant contract received during the reference period), unless a change in legal status has occurred in the meantime ¹⁰. This obligation does not apply to international organisations which have signed a framework agreement with the European Commission.
- 2. An external audit report produced by an approved auditor, certifying the applicant's accounts for the last financial year available where the total amount of the grant exceeds EUR 750 000 (EUR 100 000 for an operating grant). The external audit report is not required from (if any) the co-applicant(s)).
 - This obligation does not apply to public bodies and international organisations provided that the international organisation in question offers the guarantees provided for in the applicable Financial Regulation, as described in Chapter 6 of the Practical Guide.
- 3. A copy of the applicant's latest accounts (the profit and loss account and the balance sheet for the last financial year for which the accounts have been closed)¹¹. A copy of the latest account is neither required from (if any) the co-applicant(s) nor from (if any) affiliated entity(ies)).

⁸ No supporting document will be requested for applications for a grant not exceeding EUR 60000.

⁹ Where the applicant and/or a co-applicant(s) and or an affiliated entity(ies) is a public body created by a law, a copy of the said law must be provided.

¹⁰ To be inserted only where the eligibility conditions have not changed from one call for proposals to the other.

¹¹ This obligation does not apply to natural persons who have received a scholarship or that are in most need in receipt of direct support, nor to public bodies and to international organisations. It does not apply either when the accounts are in practice the same documents as the external audit report already provided pursuant to Section 2.4.2.

- 4. Legal entity sheet (see annex D of these Guidelines) duly completed and signed by each of the applicants (i,e by the applicant and (if any) by each co-applicant(s), accompanied by the justifying documents requested there. If the applicants have already signed a contract with the Contracting Authority, instead of the legal entity sheet and supporting documents, the legal entity number may be provided, unless a change in legal status occurred in the meantime.
- 5. A financial identification form of the applicant (not from co-applicant(s)) conforming to the model attached at Annex E of these Guidelines, certified by the bank to which the payments will be made. This bank should be located in the country where the applicant is established. If the applicant has already submitted a financial identification form in the past for a contract where the European Commission was in charge of the payments and intends to use the same bank account, a copy of the previous financial identification form may be provided instead.

Where the requested supporting documents are not uploaded in PADOR they must be supplied in the form of originals, photocopies or scanned versions (i.e. showing legible stamps, signatures and dates) of the said originals. However, the Legal entity sheet and the financial identification form must always be submitted in original.

Where such documents are not in one of the official languages of the European Union or in the language of the country where the action is implemented, a translation into English of the relevant parts of these documents proving the applicant(s)'s eligibility, must be attached for the purpose of analysing the application.

Where these documents are in an official language of the European Union other than English, it is **strongly** recommended, in order to facilitate the evaluation, to provide a translation of the relevant parts of the documents, proving the applicants' eligibility, into English.

If the abovementioned supporting documents are not provided before the deadline indicated in the request for supporting documents sent to the applicant by the Contracting Authority, the application may be rejected.

After verifying the supporting documents, the Evaluation Committee will make a final recommendation to the Contracting Authority, which will decide on the award of grants.

NB: In the eventuality that the Contracting Authority is not satisfied with the strength, solidity, and guarantee offered by the structural link between one of the applicants and its affiliated entity, it can require the submission of the missing documents allowing for its conversion into co-applicant. If all the missing documents for co-applicants are submitted, and provided all necessary eligibility criteria are fulfilled, the above mentioned entity becomes a co-applicant for all purposes. The applicant has to submit the application form revised accordingly.

2.5. NOTIFICATION OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION

2.5.1. Content of the decision

The applicants will be informed in writing of the Contracting Authority's decision concerning their application and, if rejected, the reasons for the negative decision.

An applicant believing that it has been harmed by an error or irregularity during the award process may lodge a complaint. See further Section 2.4.15 of the Practical Guide.

2014 Page 23 of 27

2.5.2. Indicative timetable

	DATE	TIME*
Information meeting (if any)	05/07/2015	10:00 am
Deadline for requesting any clarifications from the Contracting Authority	19/07/2015	23:59
Last date on which clarifications are issued by the Contracting Authority	29/07/2015	-
Deadline for submission of Concept Notes	09/08/2015	23:59
Information to applicants on opening, administrative checks and concept note evaluation (Step 1)	23/08/2015	-
Invitations to submit Full Application Form	24/08/2015	-
Deadline for submission of Full Application Form]	08/10/2015	-
Information to applicants on the evaluation of the Full Application Form (Step 2) ¹²	25/10/2015	-
Notification of award (after the eligibility check) (Step 3)	12/11/2015	-
Contract signature ¹³	13/12/2015	-

^{*}Provisional date. All times are in the time zone of the country of the Contracting Authority.

This indicative timetable may be updated by the Contracting Authority during the procedure. In such cases, the updated timetable will be published on the EuropeAid web site http://webgate.ec.europa.eu/europeaid/online-services/index.cfm?do=publi.welcome.

2014 Page 24 of 27

¹² Note that according to the financial regulation, in direct management, applicants must be notified the outcome of the evaluation of their applications within 6 months following the submission deadline of the full application. This timelimit may be exceeded in exceptional cases, in particular for complex actions (including Multi-beneficiary calls), large number of proposals or in case of delays attributable to the applicants.

¹³ Note that according to the financial regulation, in direct management the grant contract must be signed within 3 months following the notification of the award decision. This time-limit may be exceeded in exceptional cases, in particular for complex actions (including Multi-beneficiary calls), large number of proposals or in case of delays attributable to the applicants

2.6. CONDITIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AFTER THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION TO AWARD A GRANT

Following the decision to award a grant, the Beneficiary(ies) will be offered a contract based on the Contracting Authority's grant contract (see Annex G of these Guidelines¹⁴). By signing the application form (Annex A of these Guidelines), the applicants agree, if awarded a grant, to accept the contractual conditions of the standard grant contract.

<u>Implementation contracts</u>

Where implementation of the action requires the Beneficiary(ies) and its affiliated entity(ies) (if any) to award procurement contracts, those contracts must be awarded in accordance with Annex IV to the standard grant contract.

2.7. EARLY WARNING SYSTEM AND CENTRAL EXCLUSION DATABASE

The applicants and, if they are legal entities, the persons who have powers of representation, decisionmaking or control over them, are informed that, should they be in one of the situations mentioned in:

- Commission Decision of 16.12.2008 on the Early Warning System (EWS) for the use of authorising officers of the Commission and the executive agencies (OJ, L 344, 20.12.2008, p.125) or
- Commission Regulation of 17.12.2008 on the Central Exclusion Database (CED) (OJ L344, 20.12.2008, p.12),

their personal details (name, given name (if natural person), address, legal form and name and given name of the persons with powers of representation, decision-making or control (if legal person)) may be registered in the EWS only or both in the EWS and CED, and communicated to the persons and entities listed in the above-mentioned Decision and Regulation, in relation to the award or the execution of a grant agreement or decision.]

Complemented by the provisions in Annex e3h11 where at least one of the beneficiaries is an international organisation.

3. LIST OF ANNEXES

DOCUMENTS TO BE COMPLETED

Annex A: Grant Application Form (Word format)

Annex B: Budget (Excel format)

Annex C: Logical Framework (Word format)¹⁵

Annex D: Legal Entity Sheet¹⁶

Annex E: Financial identification form

Annex F: PADOR offline Form¹⁷

DOCUMENTS FOR INFORMATION

Annex G: Standard Grant Contract

- Annex II: General conditions

Annex IV: contract award proceduresAnnex V: standard request for payment

- Annex VI: model narrative and financial report

-Annex VII: model report of factual findings and terms of reference for an expenditure verification of

an EU financed grant contract for external action

-Annex IX: standard template for transfer of ownership of assets

Annex H: Daily allowance rates (Per diem), available at the following address:

http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/perdiem_en

Annex K: Guidelines and Checklist for assessing Budget and Simplified cost options.

ANNEX J: Information on the tax regime applicable to grant contracts signed under the call.

Useful links:

Project Cycle Management Guidelines

http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/aid-delivery-methods-project-cycle-management-guidelines-vol-1_en

The implementation of grant contracts

A Users' Guide

http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/companion/document.do?nodeNumber=19&locale=en

Financial Toolkit

http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/funding/procedures-beneficiary-countries-and-partners/financial-management-toolkit en

2014 Page 26 of 27

¹⁵ Optional where the total amount of the grants to be awarded under the Call for Proposals is EUR 100 000 or less.

¹⁶ Only applicable where the European Commission is the Contracting Authority or will make the payments under the contracts to be signed.

^{17 &}lt;a href="http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/pador-line-form-0_en">http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/pador-line-form-0_en . Only applicable in calls under direct management where PADOR is used.

* * *